- [
1	MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel (SBN 186744)		
2	Bureau of Real Estate 1651 Exposition Blvd.		
3	P. O. Box 137007		
4	Sacramento, CA 95813-7007	DEC 1 0 2013	
5	Telephone: (916) 263-8670 (Main) -or- (916) 263-7303 (Direct)	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
6	-or- (916) 263-3767 (Fax)	By Combalas	
7		•	
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of		
12	MONTEREY PENINSULA CAPITAL))	
13	PARTNERS, INC., a Corporation,	NO. H-2853 FR	
14	MARIO PADILLA, and RICHARD S. KOSTKAS,	ACCUSATION	
15	Respondents.))	
16	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of		
17	the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity against MONTEREY		
18	PENINSULA CAPITAL PARTNERS, INC. (herein "MPCPI"), MARIO PADILLA (herein		
19	"PADILLA"), and RICHARD S. KOSTKAS (herein "KOSTKAS") (herein collectively		
20	"Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:		
21	. 1		
22	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are, licensed and/or		
23	have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and		
24	Professions Code) (herein "the Code").		
25	2		
26	At all times herein mentioned, MPCPI was and now is licensed by the State of		
27	California Bureau of Real Estate (herein the "Bureau") as a corporate real estate broker by and		

through PADILLA, as designated officer-broker of MPCPI to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker until February 27, 2013 and beginning February 28, 2013, by and through KOSTKAS, as designated officer-broker of MPCPI to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, PADILLA was and now is licensed by the Bureau as a real estate broker individually and was licensed as designated officer-broker of MPCPI until February 27, 2013. As said designated officer-broker, PADILLA was at all relevant times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of MPCPI, for which a license is required.

At all times herein mentioned, KOSTKAS was and now is licensed by the Bureau as a real estate broker individually and has been licensed as designated officer-broker of MPCPI beginning February 28, 2013. As said designated officer-broker, KOSTKAS was at all relevant times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of MPCPI, for which a license is required.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of MPCPI, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with MPCPI committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of such corporate respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers wherein, on behalf of others,

for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, wherein Respondents solicited borrowers or lenders for or negotiated loans or collected payments or performed services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property.

In so acting as a real estate brokers, as described in Paragraph 6 above,
Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of
lenders, investors, borrowers, and others in connection with Respondents' mortgage loan
brokerage activities, and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said trust funds.

The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (herein "trust fund accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds at the following branches of Comerica Bank in Carmel, California:

- (a) "Monterey Peninsula Capital Partners Inc dba Peninsula Capital Group Trust Account," account number xxxxxx5822 (herein "Trust Account #1"); and,
- (b) "Monterey Peninsula Capital Partners Inc dba Peninsula Capital Group Escrow Account," account number xxxxxx5830 (herein "Bank Account #1").

Between about January 1, 2011 and January 31, 2013, Respondents MPCPI and PADILLA, in connection with the mortgage loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph 6, above:

(a) failed to place trust funds entrusted to Respondents into the hands of a principal on whose behalf the funds were received, into a neutral escrow depository, or into a trust fund account in the name of MPCPI, as trustee at

25

26

27

a bank or other financial institution, in connection with Bank Account #1, in conformance with the requirements of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of Title 10, Chapter 6, of the California Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations");

- (b) failed to keep a columnar record in chronological sequence of all trust funds received and disbursed from Trust Account #1 containing all the information required by Section 2831 of the Regulations;
- (c) failed to reconcile at least once a month, the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records with Trust Account #1, as required by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations;
- (d) authorized Sherylin Morita and Monica Tseng, unlicensed persons without fidelity bond coverage, to make withdrawals from Bank Account #1 and Trust Account #1, in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations;
- (e) caused, suffered or permitted money of others which was received and held by Respondents in Trust Account #1 to be commingled with Respondents' own money, in violation of Section 10176(e) of the Code;
- (f) failed to timely submit Trust Funds Status Reports for the first and second quarter ending March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively, in violation of Section 10232.25(a) of the Code; and
- (g) failed to timely submit Trust Account Reports for the first and second quarter ending March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively, in violation of Section 10238(k)(3) of the Code.

10

Between about February 28, 2013 and September 5, 2013, Respondents MPCPI and KOSTKAS, in connection with the mortgage loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph 6, above, failed to place trust funds entrusted to Respondents into the hands of a principal on whose behalf the funds were received, into a neutral escrow depository, or into a

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

trust fund account in the name of MPCPI, as trustee at a bank or other financial institution, in connection with Bank Account #1, in conformance with the requirements of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations.

11

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) as to Paragraph 9(a) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) as to Paragraph 9(b) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) as to Paragraph 9(c) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) as to Paragraph 9(d) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) as to Paragraph 9(e) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section 10176(e) of the Code;
- (f) as to Paragraph 9(f) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section 10232.25(a) of the Code in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code;
- (g) as to Paragraph 9(g) and MPCPI and PADILLA, under Section10238(k)(3) of the Code in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code;and
- (h) as to Paragraph 10 and MPCPI and KOSTKAS, under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

27

COST RECOVERY

Audit Costs

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above entitle the Bureau to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) of the Code.

Investigation and Enforcement Costs

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

BRENDA SMITH

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

23 Dated at Fresno, California

24 this 12 - 6 day of 2013.