DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
P. O. Box 187000
Sacramento, CA 95818-7000
Telephone: (916) 227-0789

F JAN 2 : 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Solden Conteras

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

10

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., and DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON,

NO. H-2839 SD

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondents.

It is hereby stipulated by and between DOUGLAS WAYNE
HINTON (hereafter Respondent), and the Complainant, acting by and
through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real
Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the
Accusation filed on May 1, 2003, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

FILE NO. H-2839 SD

\_ 1 .

DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON

- Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in Paragraphs I through V of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in the rest of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that he will not interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation as found below. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest the remaining allegations, but to remain silent, and understands that, as a result thereof,

these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 6. Respondent has received, read and understands the "Notice Concerning Costs of Audits." Respondent understands, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner may charge Respondent for the costs of the following audits that have been and may be conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code:
  - (a) Audit #SD-000007 dated September 11, 2000: Not more than \$6,776;
  - (b) Future follow-up audit: Not more than \$6,700.
- 7. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 8. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further

FILE NO. H-2839 SD

В

administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

# DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON, individually and doing business as MAIN STREAM FUNDING, as stipulated above, constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

## ORDER

- A. All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON are revoked.
- B. A restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to

  Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if he

  makes application therefor and pays to the Department the

  appropriate fee for the license within ninety (90) days of

  the effective date of the Order.
- to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following conditions and limitations imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:

FILE NO. H-2839 SD

- 1) Respondent shall, prior to and as a condition of the issuance of said restricted license, submit proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and completed the continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code from an approved continuing education course provider. Said course may have been completed within one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the effective date of the order herein.
- 2) The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 3) The restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 4) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Order.

25

26

27

5) Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions

Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable

cost for the following audits as a result of the above

found violations:

- (a) Audit #SD-000007 dated September 11, 2000:
  Not more than \$6,776;
- (b) Future follow-up audit: Not more than \$6,700.

In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable costs for each audit, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all Department Audit Section personnel performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such costs within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during each audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full for each audit or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

1	6)	Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the
2		effective date of this Order, present evidence
3		satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that
4		Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an
5		original or renewal real estate license, taken and
6	<u> </u> 	successfully completed the continuing education
7	i : :	requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real
8		Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If
9		Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the
10		Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted
11		license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The
12		Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for
13	:	hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to
14		present such evidence.
15	7)	Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the

effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

23

22

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am 3 waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 6 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 9 10 mitigation of the charges. 11 9-30-03 12 13 Respondent 14 15 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby 16 adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock 17 18 February 11 2004. noon on 19 20 21 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner 22 23 24 25

F JAN 2 2 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Kathan Contraids

CASE NO. H-2839 SD

# BEFORE THE

#### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

12

13

1

2

3

6

. 2

8

9

In the Matter of Accusation of:

MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., and DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON,

Respondents.

14 15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER

On May 1, 2003, an Accusation was filed in this matter against Respondent MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC.

By Declaration signed September 30, 2003, MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender its corporate real estate broker license and license rights pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., for the voluntary surrender of its corporate real estate license and license rights is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the

1	understanding and agreement expressed in the Declaration of					
2	DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON, designated broker officer and President					
3	of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., dated September 30, 2003,					
4	attached hereto as Exhibit "A").					
5	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon					
6	on, 2004.					
7	DATED: MORMICW 12, 2003					
8	DATED. MUVINGOO, 2003					
9	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN					
10	Real Estate Commissioner					
11						
12	Yaula delles					
13						
14 15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	1					
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						

#### BEFORE THE

#### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Accusation of:

MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., and DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON,

Respondents.

CASE NO. H-2839 SD

# DECLARATION

My name is DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON. I am licensed as the designated broker officer of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., a licensed California real estate broker corporation. I am the President of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., I am acting on behalf of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, Inc., in this matter, and I am authorized to sign this declaration on behalf of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Section 11400 et seq. of the California Government Code), MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., wishes to voluntarily surrender its corporate real estate

H-2839 SD

MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC.

broker license issued by the Department, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., understands, by so voluntarily surrendering its license, that it can only have the license reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code. It also understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license, MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., agrees to the following:

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed to be the petition of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., to voluntarily surrender its real estate license and license rights. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., that it waives all rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter as to MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Section 11400 et seq.), and that it also waives other rights afforded to it in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross examine witnesses. MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., further agrees that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all other relevant statements, declarations and evidence obtained in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations as to it contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-2839 SD may be

EXHIBIT.

3

5

7

8

9

10

1.1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of its real estate license, pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 11522. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of 5 the State of California that the above is true and correct and б that I am acting freely and voluntarily on behalf of MAIN STREAM FUNDING, INC., to surrender its corporate real estate broker license and all license rights attached thereto. 10 MAIN STREAM FUNDING; INC. 11 12 9-30-03 13 14 15 16

H-2839 SD

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26.



DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel SBN 66322 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 10 12 13

MAY - 1 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

# BEFORE THE

# DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

MAIN STREAM FUNDING INC. and DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON,

Respondents.

NO. H-2839 SD

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, CHARLES W. KOENIG, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of Accusation against MAIN STREAM FUNDING INC. and DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON, is informed and alleges as follows:

21

22

23

24

25

26

PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

The Complainant, CHARLES W. KOENIG, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity and not otherwise.

ΙI

Respondents MAIN STREAM FUNDING INC. and DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MAIN STREAM FUNDING INC. (hereafter MSFI) was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department) as a real estate broker corporation, individually and doing business as Dynamic Capital Group.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent DOUGLAS WAYNE HINTON (hereafter HINTON) was, and presently is licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker, and as the designated broker officer of MSFI.

V

At all times herein mentioned, for or in expectation of compensation, Respondent MSFI engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised and/or assumed to act as (a) a real estate lender, originating and funding loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property as a principal lender; and (b) a real estate mortgage loan broker under Sections 10131(d), 10131(e), and/or 10131.1 of the Code, wherein lenders, investors, and/or borrowers were solicited for loans and/or note purchases secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein such loans

- 2 -

\_

•

were made, arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others.

3

1

2

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

VI

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Beginning in or about August of 2000, the Department conducted an audit of the books and records of MSFI for the period of January 1 through July 31, 2000 (hereafter the audit period), as more particularly set forth in Audit Report No. SD 000007 dated September 11, 2000, and all supporting exhibits and working papers.

VII

During the course of the mortgage lending and mortgage loan activities described above for the audit period, as to at least twelve loans where MSFI purported to act as the principal lender, MSFI did not have sufficient funds to act as a lender. MSFI used and relied on monies received during the audit period from third-party investors and purchasers of purportedly funded loans to actually fund some or all of said loans, as more particularly described in Audit Report No. SD 000007 referred to above. Since MSFI in fact brokered said loans, the third-party monies used were trust funds.

#### VIII

During the audit period, MSFI received and disbursed funds in trust on behalf of others, including but not limited to third-party purchaser funds in the circumstances described in Paragraph VII above, and deposited or caused the deposit of the

trust funds into bank accounts at Bank of America in La Mesa, California, as follows:

- (a) Account No. 02318-02133, entitled "Main
   Stream Funding Inc. Funding Account,"
   (hereafter Bank Account #1) and
- (b) Account No. 02318-02135, entitled "Main
   Stream Funding Inc. General Account,"
   (hereafter Bank Account #2)

IX

In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds as described above, MSFI failed to deposit and maintain the funds in a trust account, or in a neutral escrow depository, or to deliver them into the hands of the owners of the funds as required by Section 10145 of the Code. MSFI commingled said trust funds with general funds of the company in Bank Account #1 and Bank Account #2.

X

As to the loans referred to above, MSFI purported to fund the loans as the principal lender with approximately eighty-five MSFI checks drawn on Bank Account #1 and/or Bank Account #2, in such a manner that only two checks cleared the bank prior to the receipt of third-party purchaser funds, and most of the checks either bounced, and/or had to be replaced, and/or only cleared after receipt of third-party purchaser funds, including but not limited to the following:

26 || / / /

27 | ///

Borrower	Documents signed	Loan Amount	Date Funded
Trainor	4/24/00	\$25,000	5/4/00
Mohler	4/28/00	\$21,000	5/5/00
O'Keefe	4/21/00	\$35,000	5/16/00
Brown	4/27/00	\$35,000	5/25/00
Gizzarelli	4/24/00	\$37,366	5/25/00
Elaseque	5/26/00	\$50,000	6/8/00
Jett	4/22/00	\$26,324	6/15/00
Hyman	5/18/00	\$35,000	6/15/00
Jinkens	5/17/00	\$60,000	6/15/00
Salas	5/18/00	\$35,000	6/21/00
Cunningham	5/26/00	\$20,024	6/22/00
Samples	6/14/00	\$35,000	7/11/00

XΙ

For the audit period, Respondent MSFI failed to maintain a columnar record of all trust funds received and disbursed, and a separate trust fund record for each beneficiary or transaction as required under Sections 2831 and 2831.1 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereafter the Regulations).

XII

As to some or all of the loans referred to in

Paragraph X above, Respondent MSFI failed to prepare and deliver
to borrowers, or cause to be delivered, a written borrower
disclosure statement containing all information required by

Section 10240 of the Code within three business days after receipt of a completed written loan application, or prior to the borrower becoming obligated on the note, whichever is earlier; and/or failed to retain executed copies of such statements with the records of the company.

XIII

As to some or all of the loans referred to in Paragraph X above, Respondent MSFI authorized, permitted, and/or ratified the execution of loan obligation and funding documents by borrowers in advance of actual underwriting and loan approval by MSFI. Prematurely obligating borrowers on loans that had not yet been approved for loan commitment was done with the intent or effect of appearing to third-party purchasers that the loans had been approved and funded earlier than the actual dates of funding alleged in Paragraph X above.

XIV

As to some or all of the loans referred to in Paragraph X above, Respondent MSFI failed to record the deed of trust securing the loan naming as the beneficiary the lender or the lender's nominee prior to the time that funds were disbursed as required by Section 10234 of the Code, and instead named MSFI itself as the lender.

ΧV

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent MSFI as alleged above constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of all license(s) and license rights of MSFI under the following provisions:

As to Paragraphs VII, VIII, IX, and X, under 1 (a) Section 10145 of the Code in conjunction with 3 Section 10177(d) of the Code. (b) As to Paragraph IX, under Section 10176(e) of the 5 Code. As to Paragraph XI, under Sections 2831 and 2832.1 of 6 (c) 7 the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 8 of the Code. 9 (d) As to Paragraph XII, under Sections 10240 and 10241 of 10 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of 11 the Code. As to Paragraph XIII, under Sections 10176(a) and/or 12 (e) 13 10177(q) of the Code. 14 (f) As to Paragraph XIV, under Section 10234 of the Code in 15 conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 16 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 17 XVI 18 At all times above mentioned, Respondent HINTON was 19 responsible, as the designated officer of MSFI, for the 20 supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of 21 the corporation by its officers and employees. HINTON failed to 22 exercise reasonable supervision and control over the mortgage 23 loan broker activities of MSFI. In particular, HINTON caused, 24 permitted, and/or ratified the conduct described above, and/or 25 failed to take reasonable steps to implement effective 26 supervision that would have prevented it, including but not 27

limited to both the establishment of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect and manage the handling 3 of trust funds, trust fund books and records, loan commitment and the funding of loans, mortgage loan disclosures, and other matters alleged above; and the establishment of systems for 5 6 monitoring compliance with such policies, rules, procedures, and systems, to ensure compliance by the company with the Real Estate Law. XVII The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent HINTON 10 constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of all license(s) and license rights of HINTON of under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

21

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this \

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner