1 2	MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel (SBN 186744) DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007					
3	JUN 1 9 2012					
4	Telephone: (916) 227-0789					
5	$\begin{array}{c c} -\text{or-} & (916) 227-0780 \text{ (Direct)} \\ & (916) 227-9458 \text{ (Fax)} \end{array} \qquad $					
6	BY LIX SYLOUTON					
7						
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE					
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
	* * *					
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of )					
11	MARK JEFFREY LANIER, ) NO. H-2764 FR					
12	PREMIER REAL ESTATE, INC., ) a Corporation, and ) ACCUSATION					
13	BLAIN ARDEN DIERKES, )					
14	Respondents.					
15	The Complainant, PHILLIP IHDE, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the					
16	State of California, for cause of Accusation in his official capacity against MARK JEFFREY					
17	LANIER (herein "LANIER"), PREMIER REAL ESTATE, INC. (herein "PREMIER")					
18	dba "Premier Property Management" (herein "PPM"), and BLAIN ARDEN DIERKES					
19	(herein "DIERKES"), (herein collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:					
20	1					
21	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are licensed and/or					
22	have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and					
23	Professions Code) (herein "the Code").					
24	2					
25	At all times herein mentioned, PREMIER was licensed by the State of California					
26	Department of Real Estate (herein the "Department") as a corporate real estate broker by and					
27	through DIERKES as designated officer-broker of PREMIER to qualify said corporation and to					

- 1 -

act for said corporation as a real estate broker; PREMIER's corporate license expired on about September 16, 2011.

3

At all times herein mentioned, DIERKES was and now is licensed by the Department, as a real estate broker, individually and as designated officer-broker of PREMIER. As said designated officer-broker, DIERKES was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of PREMIER for which a license is required.

4

At all times herein mentioned, LANIER was and now is currently licensed by the
Department as a real estate salesperson in the employ of DIERKES beginning about January 6,
2010. LANIER was in the employ of PREMIER between about February 26, 2004 and about
January 5, 2010.

5

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15 At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in 16 the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act for another or others as real estate licensees within 17 the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code, including the 18 operation and conduct of a property management business with the public, wherein Respondents 19 leased or rented or offered to lease or rent, or placed for rent, or solicited listings of places for 20 rent, or solicited for prospective tenants, or negotiated the sale, purchase or exchanges of leases 21 on real property, or on a business opportunity or collected rents from real property, or 22 improvements thereon, or from business opportunities.

23

In so acting as real estate licensees as described in Paragraph 5 above, Respondents
accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of owners, tenants,
and others in connection with the leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or
improvements thereon.

6

- 2 -

1	7	
2	The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited or	
3	caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (herein "trust fund	
4	accounts") maintained by DIERKES for the handling of trust funds at the Ontario, California	
5	branch of Bank of America, including but not necessarily limited to "Blain Dierkes, Sole Prop	
• 6	DBA Premier Real Estate Property Management Trust Account", account number xxxxx-x2694	
7	(herein "Trust #1").	
8	8	
9	Between about January 1, 2009 and July 30, 2010, in connection with the trust	
10	fund handling activities described in Paragraph 6, above, DIERKES:	
11	a) failed to keep a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction for	
12	Trust #1 containing all the information required by Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.1 of Title 10, Chapter 6, of the California	
13	Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations"); and	
14	b) failed to reconcile at least once a month, the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records with the record of all trust funds	
15 16	received and disbursed for Trust #1, as required by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.	
17	9	
18	On about October 14, 2004, LANIER, as an agent of PREMIER dba PPM,	
19	entered into a Property Management Agreement (herein "Agreement") for a property located on	
20	Stuart Avenue, Fresno, CA (herein "the Property") with out-of-state property owner Beverly P.	
21	(herein "Beverly") after assuring Beverly that "not only would he personally look after the	
22	property, but he lived in the area and thus could easily keep an eye on it." Beverly paid	
23	PREMIER about \$83.65 per month to manage the Property. Pursuant to the Agreement,	
24	LANIER agreed to use due diligence in managing the Property: to collect rents and security	
25	deposits; cancel rental agreements and leases; commence and prosecute eviction actions; recover	
26	rents and other sums due; make and/or supervise maintenance and repairs; and contract, hire,	
27	and supervise persons required for the operation and maintenance of the Property.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

- 3 -

	I	
	-	
	1	10
	2	On about January 31, 2005, LANIER, as an agent of PREMIER dba PPM on
	3	Beverly's behalf, entered into a one (1) year lease of the Property with George and Frankie V.
	4	(herein "George and Frankie"), commencing on about January 31, 2005 and due to terminate on
	5	about January 31, 2006. LANIER, and George and Frankie completed and signed a Move
	6	In/Move Out Inspection of the Property to show the condition of the Property at the time
	7	George and Frankie first occupied the Property, which was in satisfactory condition, except for
	8	some spots on the carpet in bedroom #1. LANIER failed to have George and Frankie complete
	9	Paragraph 10 of the lease, "CONDITION OF THE PREMISES," in violation of Section 10177(g)
	. 10	of the Code.
	11	11
	12	On about February 9, 2006, after George and Frankie vacated the Property,
•	13 -	LANIER, as an agent of PREMIER dba PPM on Beverly's behalf, entered into a new lease of
	14	the Property with George and Frankie's "live-in" granddaughter, Lori H. (herein "Lori"), which
`	15	lease was due to terminate on about July 31, 2006. LANIER failed to have George and Frankie
	16	complete and sign a second Move In/Move Out Inspection to show the condition of the Property
	17	upon their vacation, failed to have Lori complete and sign a new Move In/Move Out Inspection
	18	of the Property, and failed to have Lori complete Paragraph 10 of the Lease, "CONDITION OF
	19	THE PREMISES," to show the condition of the Property at the time Lori began occupying the
	20	Property, in violation of Section 10177(g) of the Code.
	21	12
	22	On about September 10, 2006, Lori's husband, Tom H. (herein "Tom"), and their
	23 ·	three (3) children moved onto the Property. LANIER, as an agent of PREMIER dba PPM on
	24	Beverly's behalf, entered into a third lease of the Property, this time with Lori and Tom, which
	25	lease was due to terminate on about July 31, 2007. LANIER failed to have Lori and Tom
	26	complete and sign a new Move In/Move Out Inspection, and failed to have them complete
	27	Paragraph 10 of the Lease, "CONDITION OF THE PREMISES," to show the condition of the
		- 4 -
	1	

, 1	Property at the time Lori, Tom, and their three (3) children began occupying the Property, in
2	violation of Section 10177(g) of the Code.
3	13
4	All three (3) of the leases described in Paragraphs 10 through 12, above, provided
5	that:
6	a) rent would be \$1,195.00 per month, payable on the first of the month;
7	b) the security deposit shall not be used for payment of last month's rent;
8	c) tenant made an examination of the condition of the Premises;
9	d) tenant shall properly use, operate and safeguard the Premises,
10	including appliances, electrical and plumbing fixtures, landscaping,
11	and keep them clean and sanitary;
12	e) no pets shall be kept on or about the Premises;
13	f) tenant shall not make any repairs, alterations or improvements in or
14	about the Premises;
15	g) tenant shall not deduct from rent the costs of any repairs; and
1,6	h) tenant shall clean and deliver the Premises in the same condition as it was when tenant occupied the premises and remove all debris.
17	was when tenant becupied the premises and remove an debris.
18	14
19	Between about February 2007 and about March 2010, pursuant to the Agreement
20	described in Paragraph 8, above, LANIER as an agent of PREMIER dba PPM on Beverly's
21	behalf, hired Rene Lopez (herein "Lopez") to mow both the front and back yards, paying him
22	about \$80.00 per month from the proceeds of the rent.
23	15
24	In about April 2010, when Lori and Tom failed to pay the rent, LANIER,
25	DIERKES' agent, failed to commence and prosecute an eviction action, in violation of Section
26	10177(g) of the Code.
27	///
	- 5 -

1	16
2	On about June 27, 2010, after Lori and Tom abandoned the Property, LANIER,
. 3	DIERKES' agent, discovered:
4 5	a) Lori and Tom kept multiple dogs on the Property, causing substantial damages to the inside and outside of the Property;
6	b) Lori and Tom put in a large outdoor portable swimming pool in the backyard, significantly damaging the backyard landscaping;
7 8	c) Lopez failed to mow the backyard, in violation of his agreement with LANIER, contributing to the significant damage to the backyard landscaping;
9	<ul> <li>d) the Property sustained extensive damage throughout, consisting of total ruin of the carpets and aggregate floors due to canine urine;</li> </ul>
10	damage to at least two (2) door locks; a large hole in the glass door of the pellet stove; torn or missing screens; disconnected smoke alarms;
11 12	two (2) toilets broken from the floor bolts; two (2) doors and jambs
12	irreparably chewed by the dogs; backyard and wooden play swing and climbing structures severely chewed by the dogs; walls requiring repair
14	due to canine urine; and damage requiring replacement of hardware and lighting fixtures throughout the house;
15	e) the Property was "very filthy dirty," including kitchen appliances that were "filthy beyond cleaning"; and
16	f) a considerable amount of debris remained on the Property.
17	17
18	Between about January 1, 2005 and about June 27, 2010, in connection with the
19	property management activities described in Paragraph 5, above, LANIER failed to "personally
20	look after the property and keep an eye on it," contrary to his verbal assurances to Beverly,
-21	and failed to use due diligence in managing the Property, contrary the Agreement described in
22	Paragraph 9, above, in that LANIER:
23	a) ceased making visits to the Property when Beverly was deployed to Iraq, in
24	about February 2007, in violation of Sections 10176(b) and 10177(g) of the Code;
25	b) paid Lopez about \$80.00 per month for mowing both the front and back yards
26	described in Paragraph 14, above, but failed to supervise Lopez, in that Lopez failed to mow the backyard, contributing to significant damage to the backyard
27	landscaping in violation of Sections 10176(b) and 10177(g) of the Code;
	- 6 -

c) failed to contract, hire, and supervise persons required for the operation and maintenance of the Property, which failure caused and/or contributed to extensive damage to the Property described in Paragraph 16, above, in violation of Sections 10176(b) and 10177(g) of the Code; d) failed to "recover rents" due for April, May, and June in violation of Section 10177(g) of the Code; e) failed to recover "other sums due" in the amount of about \$23,367.00, representing the cost of repairs made to the Property in order to restore it back to the same condition as it was when LANIER first began managing the Property, in violation of Section 10177(g) of the Code; and f) permitted, facilitated, and/or otherwise allowed Lori and Tom to violate their lease, in violation of Sections 10176(b) and 10177(g) of the Code, in that Lori and Tom: 1. failed to make an examination of the condition of the Property, pursuant to Paragraph 10 "CONDITION OF THE PREMISES"; 2. owned and kept dogs on and about the Property, both inside the house and outside in the backyard, contributing to significant damage to the interior of the house and the backyard landscaping and wooden play swing and climbing structures described in Paragraph 16(a) and (d), above: 3. were advised by LANIER to deduct the cost of repairs to the property from the rent; 4. made alterations or improvements to the Property, in that Lori and Tom put a large portable outdoor swimming pool in the backyard, which caused significant damage to the backyard landscaping; 5. failed to pay rent in the amount of about \$1,195.00 per month, beginning in about April 2010, and continued through about June 2010: 6. used the security deposit for payment of the last month's rent; 7. failed to properly use, operate and safeguard the Property including appliances, in that Lori and Tom left the kitchen appliances "filthy beyond cleaning"; 8. failed to properly use, operate and safeguard the Property including electrical and plumbing fixtures, in that replacement of hardware and lighting fixtures were required throughout the house described in Paragraph 16(f), above; and 9. failed to deliver the Property in the same condition as it was when Lori and Tom first occupied the Property, failed to remove all debris, and failed to keep the Property clean and sanitary, in that Lori and Tom abandoned the Property leaving it "very filthy dirty" and left behind a considerable amount of debris.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 7 -

18 1 At all times mentioned herein, DIERKES failed to exercise reasonable 2 3 supervision over the acts of Respondents PREMIER and LANIER, described above, in violation 4 of Sections 10177(g) and (h) and 10159.2 of the Code, and Section 2725 of the Regulations. 5 19 The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the 6 7 licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations: 8 9 (a) as to Paragraph 8(a) and Respondent DIERKES, under Section 10 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the of the Code; 11 as to Paragraph 8(b) and Respondent DIERKES, under Section (b) 12 2831.2 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of 13 14 the Code; as to Paragraphs 10, 11, 12 and 15, and Respondents PREMIER and 15 (c) 16 LANIER, under Section 10177(g) of the Code; 17 (d) as to Paragraph 17(a) through (c) and Respondents PREMIER and LANIER, under Sections 10176(b) and 10177(g) of the Code; 18 19 (e) as to Paragraph 17(d) and (e) and Respondents PREMIER and 20 LANIER, under Section 10177(g) of the Code; (f) as to Paragraph 17(f) and Respondents PREMIER and LANIER, 21 22 under Sections 10176(b) and 10177(g) of the Code; and as to Paragraph 18 and Respondent DIERKES, under Sections 23 (g) 24 10177(g) and (h) and 10159.2 of the Code and Section 2725 of the 25 Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 26 27 ///

- 8 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1
of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and
enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under
other applicable provisions of law.

PHILLIP IHDE Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Los Angeles, California  $\frac{5'}{2}$  day of <u>JUNE</u>, 2012. this / 

- 9 -