Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 739-3607

APR 2 3 1992

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

25 Kathloon Contraras

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

18 19

17

20

21

22 23

25

26

24

27

In the Matter of the Accusation of TARON NYLE REEVES,

TARON NYLE REEVES, MICHAEL PETER MARICH,

ES,)
ARICH,)
Respondents.)

NO. H-2705 SAC

STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

It is hereby stipulated by and between MICHAEL PETER MARICH, (sometimes referred to as Respondent) and his attorney of record, David I. Brown, and the Complainant, acting by and through Susan Y. Bennett, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows, for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on August 20, 1991, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation.

- Respondent has received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- On September 16, 1991, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in Paragraphs II, III, IV, V, VI VII and XIV, of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.
- It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in

- 2 -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and the Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity.

ΙI

TARON NYLE REEVES (hereinafter "REEVES") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code"). At all times herein mentioned, REEVES was licensed as a real estate broker.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

FILE NO. H-2705 SAC

1

3 4

5

6 7

9

8

11

10

13

12

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23 24 25

26

27

URT PAPER

MICHAEL PETE MARICH (hereinafter "Respondent MARICH") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code. all times herein mentioned, Respondent MARICH was licensed as a real estate salesperson in the employ of REEVES.

ΙV

Beginning on or before June 1, 1990, REEVES and Respondent MARICH engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California, including selling or offering to sell, buy or offering to buy, solicit prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicit or obtain listings of, or negotiate the purchase, sale or exchange of business opportunities on behalf of another or others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

In connection with the business opportunity activities described in Paragraph IV, REEVES and Respondent MARICH engaged in the business of claiming, demanding, charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of advance fees within the meaning of Sections 10026 and 10131.2 (advance fees) of the Code, including but not limited to the following:

BORROWER	DATE	ADVANCE FEE		
Wayne Kurahara and Ben Williams Doug Allen and Suzan Allen Len Pacheco Mary Ellen Williams Betsy Webster and Rick Mendota John Kuwamoto	June 4, 1990 July 16, 1990 Nov. 12, 1990 Dec. 21, 1990 Jan. 2, 1991 Feb 13, 1991	\$500.00 \$400.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00		
Sukvinder Cheeme	Mar. 2, 1991	\$500.00		

Said advance fees were trust funds within the meaning of Sections 10145 and 10146.

VT

In connection with the collection and handling of said advance fees, REEVES and Respondent MARICH failed to cause the advance fee contracts and materials to be submitted to the Department of Real Estate prior to use.

VII

In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph V, REEVES and Respondent MARICH failed to deposit said funds into a trust fund account in the name of REEVES as trustee at a bank or other financial institution.

Said funds were deposited in accounts known as Michael Marich,
Account Number 116107, and FM Business Brokers, Account Number 119380, Mather Federal Credit Union, Mather Air Force Base,
California.

VIII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MARICH, who is licensed as a salesperson, acted as a real estate broker in conducting business opportunity activities more particularly described in Paragraph IV, including but not limited to the following:

(a) Requesting and receiving compensation from California Escrow

System for Escrow Number 33946-GB for the sale of Valley Tire

and Brake, 2349 N. Texas, Fairfield, California;

26 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

FILE NO. H-2705 SAC

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 8-72)

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Conducting business opportunity activities under the (b) fictitious business name, FM Business Brokers, while being the sole holder of said fictitious name; and,
- Making deposits and disbursements of trust funds to the (c) bank accounts more particularly described in Paragraph VII, while being the sole signatory on the account and without having written authority from REEVES to maintain the accounts.

IX

The conduct of Respondent MARICH as described in Paragraphs IV through VIII is grounds for the suspension or revocation of all of the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent under the following sections of the Code and Sections of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "Regulation"):

- As to Paragraph VI, under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10085 of the Code and Sections 2970 and 2972 of the Regulations;
- As to Paragraph VII, under Section 10145(c) of the Code in (b) conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code; and
- As to Paragraph XIII under Sections 10130, 10131, and 10131.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of respondent MICHAEL PETER MARICH under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business

- 6 -

and Professions Code, if Respondent makes application therefor and 1 pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the 2 restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this 3 The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be 4 Decision. subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the 5 Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, 6 conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 7 8 10156.6 of that Code:

- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be (1) suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- The restricted license issued to Respondent may (2) be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the (3) issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations, or restrictions of a restricted license until one year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

7 -

111 26

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

COURT PAPER E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 8-72)

- (4) Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
- (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and
- (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
- effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional
 Responsibility Examination administered by the Department

Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may 2 order the suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent 3 4 passes the examination. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent 5 (6) under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of thirty 6 (30) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, 7 8 however, that: Fifteen (15) days of said suspension shall be 9 stayed for one (1) year upon the following terms and conditions: 10 Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and 11 (1)regulations governing the rights, duties, and responsibilities of 12 13 a real estate licensee in the State of California; and, That no final subsequent determination be 14 (2) made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for 15 disciplinary action occurred within one (1) year of the effective 16 date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the 17 Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay 18 order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. 19 Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein 20 21 shall become permanent. 22 March 4, 1992 23 24 Counsel for Complainant 25

including the payment of the appropriate examination fee.

///

26

27

1

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its 1 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. 2 I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the 3 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited 4 to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government 5 Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those 6 rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove 7 the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would 8 have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to 9 present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. 10 11 MICHAEL 12 13 Counsel for Respondent 14 15 16 17

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement is hereby adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on May 13 , 1992.

CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner

Miller

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

IT IS SO ORDERED

Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 739-3607



By Kathlow Contraras

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

'1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

In the Matter of the Accusation of TARON NYLE REEVES,

MICHAEL PETER MARICH,

Respondents.

NO. H-2705 SAC

STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

It is hereby stipulated by and between TARON NYLE REEVES (sometimes referred to as Respondent) and his attorney of record, David I. Brown, and the Complainant, acting by and through Susan Y. Bennett, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows, for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on August 20, 1991, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

B5 34769

FILE NO. H-2705 SAC

- 1 -

TARON NYLE REEVES

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On September 16, 1991, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in Paragraphs II, III, IV, V, VI VII, VIII, IX, X, and XIII, of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in

- 2 -

his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and the Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

Ι

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity.

ΙI

TARON NYLE REEVES (hereinafter "Respondent REEVES") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code"). At all times herein mentioned, Respondent REEVES was licensed as a real estate broker.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

MICHAEL PETE MARICH (hereinafter "MARICH") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code. At all times herein mentioned, MARICH was licensed as a real estate salesperson in the employ of REEVES.

IV

Beginning on or before June 1, 1990, Respondent REEVES and MARICH engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California, including selling or offering to sell, buy or offering to buy, solicit prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicit or obtain listings of, or negotiate the purchase, sale or exchange of business opportunities on behalf of another or others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

V

In connection with the business opportunity activities described in Paragraph IV, Respondent REEVES and MARICH engaged in the business of claiming, demanding, charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of advance fees within the meaning of Sections 10026 and 10131.2 (advance fees) of the Code, including but not limited to the following:

27

FILE NO. H-2705 SAC

BORROWER	DATE .	ADVANCE FEE		
Wayne Kurahara and Ben Williams Doug Allen and Suzan Allen Len Pacheco Mary Ellen Williams Betsy Webster and Rick Mendota John Kuwamoto Sukvinder Cheeme	June 4, 1990 July 16, 1990 Nov. 12, 1990 Dec. 21, 1990 Jan. 2, 1991 Feb 13, 1991 Mar. 2, 1991	\$500.00 \$400.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00		

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72) Said advance fees were trust funds within the meaning of Sections 10145 and 10146.

VI

In connection with the collection and handling of said advance fees, Respondent REEVES and MARICH failed to cause the advance fee contracts and materials to be submitted to the Department of Real Estate prior to use.

VII

In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph V, Respondent REEVES and MARICH failed to deposit said funds into a trust fund account in the name of Respondent REEVES as trustee at a bank or other financial institution. Said funds were deposited in accounts known as Michael Marich, Account Number 116107, and FM Business Brokers, Account Number 119380, Mather Federal Credit Union, Mather Air Force Base, California.

VIII

In connection with the bank accounts described in Paragraph VII, Respondent REEVES opened or caused to be opened interest-bearing accounts using said trust funds described in Paragraph V. Said interest-bearing accounts failed to comply with the requirements of Section 10145(d) of the Code.

IX

In connection with the deposit and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph V, REEVES failed to deposit and maintain said trust funds in such a manner that as of April 30, 1991, there was an overage of \$1,539.13 of said trust funds.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

Х

Beginning on or about June 1, 1990, and continuing through the present, in connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph V and other trust funds collected in the course of the business opportunity activities described in Paragraph IV, Respondent REEVES failed to:

- (a) Maintain a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, accounting therein for all said trust funds received, deposited and disbursed;
- (b) Maintain a record of all trust funds received, including uncashed checks held pursuant to instructions of his principal in conformance with Section 2831 of the Regulations;
- (c) Furnish verified accounting of the receipt, deposit and disbursement of said trust funds to each principal pursuant to Section 10146 of the Code;
- (d) Maintain and perform a reconciliation with the records of all trust funds received and disbursed as required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations, at least once a month, in conformance with Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; and,
- (e) Maintain for three years copies of cancelled checks and deposit slips in conformance with Section 10148 of the Code.

ΧI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent REEVES failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the activities for which a real estate license is required by MARICH, including, but not limited to, the following, Respondent REEVES:

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (a) Failed to keep or cause MARICH to keep trust fund records and failed to cause trust funds received in the course of business to be deposited and maintained in a bank trust account;
- (b) Failed to review, initial and date all instruments which may have a material effect upon the rights or obligations of a party to the transaction that were prepared or signed by MARICH;
- (c) Caused or permitted MARICH to collect advance fees as defined in Section 10026 of the Code without submission of the advance fee contracts and materials to the Department of Real Estate prior to use, and failed to cause said advance fees to be handled in accordance with law; and,
- (d) Caused or permitted MARICH to make withdrawals from the bank accounts more particularly described in Paragraph VII without providing authorization in writing.

XII

The conduct of Respondent REEVES, as described in Paragraphs IV through XI is grounds for the suspension or revocation of all of the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent under the following sections of the Code and Sections of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "Regulations"):

(a) As to Paragraph VI, under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10085 of the Code and Sections 2970 and 2972 of the Regulations;

///

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. B-72)

- (b) As to Paragraphs VII and VIII, under Section 2830 of the Regulations and Section 10145 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Paragraph IX, under Section 2832 and Section 10145 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As to Paragraph X, under Sections 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, and 2972 of the Regulations and Sections 10145, 10146, and 10148 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (e) As to Paragraph XI, under Sections 2725 and 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and Section 10146 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and Section 10177(h) of the Code.

ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of respondent TARON

NYLE REEVES under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided,
however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued
to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and
Professions Code, if Respondent makes application therefor and
pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the
restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this
Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be
subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the
Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations,
conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section
10156.6 of that Code:

27 1 ///

FILE NO. H-2705 SAC

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

- suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea
 of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related
 to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate

 licensee.
- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (3) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations, or restrictions of a restricted license until one year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- (4) Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the

19

17

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

TE OF CALIFORNIA

Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

- Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective (5) date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.
- All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent under the (6) Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided,_ however, that:
 - Fifteen (15) days of said suspension shall be stayed for (a) one (1) year upon the following terms and conditions:
 - (1) Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties, and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and,
 - (2) That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within one (1) year of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and

reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension.

Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

March 4, 1992

SUSAN Y. BENNETT, Counsel for Complainant

7 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

Ī

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

March 18,1952

DATED

ח א שרבים

TARON NYLE REEVES, Respondent

11 211

PAVID I. BROWN, Counsel for Respondent

* *

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement is hereby adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on May 13 , 1992.

IT IS SO ORDERED

CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner

Cultur

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE OCT 2 4 1991 STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of	By Lathleon Contrara
TARON NYLE REEVES, MICHAEL PETER MARICH,	Case No. H-2705 SAC
	OAH No. <u>N-39389</u>
Respondent	,

CONTINUED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You a	ire hereby no	tified that	a hearing	g will be h	eld before	the De	partmen	t of Real	Estate a	at the	Off	<u>ice</u>
of Admin	nistrative	Hearing	s, 501	J Stree	<u>et, Suit</u>	e 220	(2nd)	Floor),	Sacra	mento,	CA '	<u>9581</u> 4
on the 10, as the matt	11 & 12 ^t d er can be hea	ay ofN	larch le Accus	ation serve	, 19 <u>92</u> ed upon y	, at the	hourof	9:00	<u>AM</u> ,	or as soon	there	after

You may be present at the hearing, and you may be represented by counsel, but you are neither required to be present at the hearing nor to be represented by counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

Dated: October 24, 1991

By Man Man De Bennett Counsel

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of	Case No. H-2705 SAC
TARON NYLE REEVES, MICHEAL PETER MARICH,	OAH No. N-39389
Respondent	

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 501 J Street, Suite 220 (2nd Floor), Sacramento, CA 95814 on the 24, 25 & 26th day of February, 19 92, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing, and you may be represented by counsel, but you are neither required to be present at the hearing nor to be represented by counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

Dated: October 16, 1991

By SUSAN YAMAMOTO BENNETT - Counsel

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

SUSAN YAMAMOTO BENNETT, Counsel 1 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 (916) 739-3607 Telephone: 4 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 NO. H-2705 SAC 12 TARON NYLE REEVES, **ACCUSATION** MICHAEL PETER MARICH, 13 Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 16 Commissioner of the State of California for cause of Accusation 17 against TARON NYLE REEVES and MICHAEL PETER MARICH (hereinafter 18 "Respondents") is informed and alleges as follows: 19 FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 20 Ι 21 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 22 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation 23 against Respondents in his official capacity. 24 ΙI 25 TARON NYLE REEVES (hereinafter "Respondent REEVES") is 26 presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code"). At all times herein mentioned, Respondent REEVES was licensed as a real estate broker.

III

MICHAEL PETER MARICH (hereinafter "Respondent MARICH") is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MARICH was licensed as a real estate salesperson in the employ of Respondent REEVES.

ΙV

Beginning on or before June 1, 1990, Respondents REEVES and MARICH engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California, including selling or offering to sell, buy or offering to buy, solicit prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicit or obtain listings of, or negotiate the purchase, sale or exchange of business opportunities on behalf of another or others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

V

In connection with the business opportunity activities described in Paragraph IV, Respondents REEVES and MARICH engaged in the business of claiming, demanding, charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of advance fees within the meaning of Sections 10026 and 10131.2 (advance fees) of the Code, including but not limited to the following:

25 ///

26 ///

27 | ///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

BORROWER	DATE	ADVANCE FEE
Wayne Kurahara and Ben Williams Doug Allen and Suzan Allen Len Pacheco Mary Ellen Williams Betsy Webster and Rick Mendota John Kuwamoto Sukvinder Cheeme	June 4, 1990 July 16, 1990 Nov. 12, 1990 Dec. 21, 1990 Jan. 2, 1991 Feb 13, 1991 Mar. 2, 1991	\$500.00 \$400.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00 \$500.00

Said advance fees were trust funds within the meaning of Sections 10145 and 10146.

VI

In connection with the collection and handling of said advance fees, Respondents REEVES and MARICH failed to cause the advance fee contracts and materials to be submitted to the Department of Real Estate prior to use.

VII

In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph V, Respondents REEVES and MARICH failed to deposit said funds into a trust fund account in the name of Respondent REEVES as trustee at a bank or other financial institution. Said funds were deposited in accounts known as Michael Marich, Account Number 116107, and FM Business Brokers, Account Number 119380, Mather Federal Credit Union, Mather Air Force Base, California.

VIII

In connection with the bank accounts described in Paragraph VII, Respondent REEVES opened or caused to be opened interest-bearing accounts using said trust funds described in Paragraph V. Said interest-bearing accounts failed to comply with the requirements of Section 10145(d) of the Code.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-7)

17 |

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In connection with the deposit and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph V, Respondent REEVES failed to deposit and maintain said trust funds in such a manner that as of April 30, 1991, there was an overage of \$1,539.13 of said trust funds.

Х

Beginning on or about June 1, 1990, and continuing through the present, in connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph V and other trust funds collected in the course of the business opportunity activities described in Paragraph IV, Respondent REEVES failed to:

- (a) Maintain a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, accounting therein for all said trust funds received, deposited and disbursed;
- (b) Maintain a record of all trust funds received, including uncashed checks held pursuant to instructions of his principal in conformance with Section 2831 of the Regulations;
- (c) Furnish verified accountings of the receipt, deposit and disbursement of said trust funds to each principal pursuant to Section 10146 of the Code;
- (d) Maintain and perform a reconciliation with the records of all trust funds received and disbursed as required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations, at least once a month, in conformance with Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; and,

22

23

24

25

26

27

(e) Maintain for three years copies of cancelled checks and deposit slips in conformance with Section 10148 of the Code.

XT

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses of Respondents REEVES and MARICH under the following sections of the Code and Sections of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "Regulations"):

- (a) As to Paragraph VI and Respondents REEVES and MARICH, under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10085 of the Code and Sections 2970 and 2972 of the Regulations;
- (b) As to Paragraphs VII and VIII and Respondent REEVES, under Section 2830 of the Regulations and Section 10145 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Paragraph VII and Respondent MARICH, under Section 10145(c) of the Code in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As to Paragraph IX and Respondent REEVES, under Section 2832 and Section 10145 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (e) As to Paragraph X and Respondent REEVES, under Sections 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, and 2972 of the Regulations and Sections 10145, 10146, and 10148 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XII

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, and IV of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if set forth fully herein.

IIIX

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent REEVES failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the activities for which a real estate license is required by Respondent MARICH. Including, but not limited to, the following, Respondent REEVES:

- (a) Failed to keep or cause Respondent MARICH to keep trust fund records and failed to cause trust funds received in the course of business to be deposited and maintained in a bank trust account;
- (b) Failed to review, initial and date all instruments which may have a material effect upon the rights or obligations of a party to the transaction that were prepared or signed by Respondent MARICH;
- (c) Caused or permitted Respondent MARICH to collect advance fees as defined in Section 10026 of the Code without submission of the advance fee contracts and materials to the Department of Real Estate prior to use, and failed to cause said advance fees to be handled in accordance with law; and,

111

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

Caused or permitted Respondent MARICH to make (d) withdrawals from the bank accounts more particularly described in Paragraph VII without providing authorization in writing.

VIX

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MARICH, who is licensed as a salesperson, acted as a real estate broker in conducting business opportunity activities more particularly described in Paragraph IV, including but not limited to the following:

- Requesting and receiving compensation from California (a) Escrow System for Escrow Number 33946-GB for the sale of Valley Tire and Brake, 2349 N. Texas, Fairfield, California;
- Conducting business opportunity activities under the (b) fictitious business name, FM Business Brokers, while being the sole holder of said fictitious name; and,
- Make deposits and disbursements of trust funds to the (c) bank accounts more particularly described in Paragraph VII, while being the sole signatory on the account and without having written authority from Respondent REEVES to maintain the accounts.

ΧV

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses of Respondents REEVES and MARICH under the following sections of the Code and Regulations:

///

(a) As to Respondent REEVES and Paragraph XI under Sections 2725 and 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and Section 10146 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and Section 10177(h) of the Code; and,

(b) As to Respondent MARICH and Paragraph XII under Sections 10130, 10131, and 10131.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG
Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California, this 15th day of August, 1991.