DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 3 AUG - 9 2011 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-2491 FR 14 SERGIO CHAVEZ, STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT 15 Respondent. IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER 16 17 It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent SERGIO CHAVEZ (herein 18 "Respondent CHAVEZ"), individually, by and through Jeff Reich, attorney of record herein for 19 20 Respondent CHAVEZ, and the Complainant, acting by and through Mary F. Clarke, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate (herein "the Department"), as follows for the purpose of settling 21 and disposing of the Accusation filed on May 29, 2010, in this matter: 22 23 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent CHAVEZ at a formal hearing on the Accusation, 24 which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure 25 26 Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order. 27

-1-

SERGIO CHAVEZ

H-2491 FR

2. Respondent CHAVEZ has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA, and the Accusation filed by the Department in this proceeding.

- 3. A Notice of Defense was filed on June 14, 2010, by Respondent CHAVEZ pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent CHAVEZ hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent CHAVEZ acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will thereby waive his right to require the Real Estate Commissioner (herein "the Commissioner") to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent CHAVEZ, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to him in the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order as his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent CHAVEZ's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent CHAVEZ shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order shall not constitute an estoppel, merger, or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

## **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

I

The acts and omissions of Respondent CHAVEZ as described in the Accusation, in that he failed to act under a real estate broker's supervision when he collected an advance fee for a loan modification prior to having obtained an advance fee agreement, are violations of Sections 10130, 10085, and 10085.5 of the Business and Professions Code (herein "the Code"); and Section 2970 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations.

## **ORDER**

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent CHAVEZ under the Real Estate

Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate sales license shall be issued to

Respondent CHAVEZ pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Code if, within 90 days from the

effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, Respondent CHAVEZ, prior to and
as a condition of the issuance of said restricted license makes application for the restricted

license and pays to the Department the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent CHAVEZ shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Code and to the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent CHAVEZ may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Commissioner in the event of Respondent CHAVEZ's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent CHAVEZ's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent CHAVEZ may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Commissioner until such time as Respondent provides to the Department proof satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has within 60-days of the effective date of the Order herein, reimbursed Francisco Dominguez (Dominguez) in full the sum of \$2,500.00; the amount of the advance fee he collected from Dominguez.

H-2491 FR

for a hearing pursuant to the APA to present such evidence.

SERGIO CHAVEZ

27

H-2491 FR

1	7. Respondent CHAVEZ shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the						
2	restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by						
3	the Department, including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent						
4	CHAVEZ fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the						
5	restricted license until Respondent CHAVEZ passes the examination.						
6							
7	6-LR-11 MM. [] Will						
8	DATED MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel						
9	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE						
10	***						
11	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order and have						
12	discussed it with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and						
13	acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California APA						
14	(including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government						
15	Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of						
16	requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I						
17	would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense						
18	and mitigation of the charges.						
- 19	6.22-11						
20	DATED SERGIO CHA EZ, Respondent						
21							
22	***						
23	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order as to form						
24	and content and have advised my client accordingly.						
25	0.22-11						
26	6-22-11 Out Reich						
27	DATED JEFF REICH Attorney for Respondent						

SERGIO CHAVEZ

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order is hereby

adopted	by me as m	y Decision in	n this matter	and shall	become effe	ctive at-12	o'clock	noon on

August 29 ,2011.

BARBARA BIGBY
Acting Real Estate Commissioner

Bayung & Doffer

H-2491 FR

1 2	MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel (SBN 186744) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007							
3								
4	Telephone: (916) 227-0789 MAY <b>2 9</b> 2010 -or- (916) 227-0780 (Direct)							
5	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE							
6	By Contresas							
7								
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE							
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	***							
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of )							
12	) NO. H- 2491 FR SERGIO CHAVEZ, )							
13	) ACCUSATION							
14	Respondent. )							
15	The Complainant, LUKE MARTIN, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the							
16	State of California, for Accusation against SERGIO CHAVEZ (herein "Respondent"), is							
17	informed and alleges as follows:							
18	1							
19	The Complainant makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacit							
20	2							
21	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was licensed and has license rights							
22	under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein							
23	"the Code").							
24	3							
25	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was and now is licensed by the							
26	Department as a real estate salesperson; however, Respondent was not in the employ of any							
27	broker between July 13, 2008 and about March 4, 2010.							

At all times herein mentioned Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein Respondent solicited borrowers or lenders for or negotiated loans or collected payments or performed services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, including loan modification services.

On about July 26, 2008, Respondent solicited and met with Francisco Dominguez (herein "Dominguez") to discuss a loan modification for Dominguez' residence located at 4582 E. Cambridge Avenue, Fresno, CA. Respondent promised to obtain an affordable loan modification for Dominguez, had him sign a Loss Mitigation Agreement and Holding Instructions, and collected an advanced fee in the amount of \$1,250 payable to Respondent, in violation of Section 10130 of the Code.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent failed to submit to the Department any or all materials used in advanced fee agreements, including but not limited to the contract form, any letters or cards used to solicit prospective sellers, and radio and television advertising, at least 10 calendar days before it was used in obtaining said advance fee agreement, as described in Paragraph 5, above, in violation of Section of 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations").

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent claimed, demanded, charged, and collected an advanced fee from Dominguez for performing services in connection with a loan to be secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property, as described in Paragraphs 5 and 6,

1

above, before Dominguez became obligated to complete the loan, in violation of Section 10085.5 of the Code.

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license and license rights of Respondent under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- as to Paragraph 5 under Section 10130 of the Code, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- as to Paragraph 6 under Section 10085 of the Code, and Section 2970 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- as to Paragraph 7 under Section 10085.5 of the Code, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

23

Dated at Fresno, California,

day of May, 2010.

26

27

Lemant.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner