In the Matter of the Accusation of

DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY,

Respondent.

F L E D
JAN 29 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Tros

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

No. H-2414 SD

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On March 3, 1999, in Case No. H-2414 SD, a Decision was rendered revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective April 12, 1999, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted salesperson license. No restricted salesperson license was ever issued to Respondent.

On July 23, 2007, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of the petition.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

_ 1

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate salesperson license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within twelve (12) months from the date of this order: Respondent shall qualify for, take and pass the real estate salespserson 1. license examination. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real 2. estate salesperson license. This Order shall become effective immediately. DATED: Real Estate Commissioner

- 2 -

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27



DEPARTMENT OF REALESTAT

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., and FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN, Respondents.

DRE No. H-2414 SD

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., (hereinafter "WESTSTAR") and FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN (hereinafter "JORGENSEN"), individually and by and through DAVID S. BRIGHT, Esq., attorney of record herein for Respondents WESTSTAR and JORGENSEN (hereinafter "Respondents"), and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on July 8, 1998 in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"):

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing



H-2414 SD

WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On July 20, 1998, Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, solely for the purposes of this stipulation and any proceedings hereafter to which Respondent and the Department are parties, and pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations pertaining to Respondents in the Accusation are true and correct

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Τ

The acts and omissions of Respondents as described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of

the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code") and/or Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the Regulations"):

- (a) As to Respondent WESTSTAR and the First and Second Causes for Accusation under Section 10137 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (b) As to Respondent JORGENSEN and the Sixth Cause for Accusation under Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

Ι

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., under the Real Estate Law are
revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker
license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section
10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days
from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this
Order Respondent:

- (a) makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor; and
- (b) pays a fine pursuant to Section 10139.5 of the
 Business and Professions Code in the sum of \$10,000.00 in the
 form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the
 Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Respondent WESTSTAR
 shall be liable jointly and severally with Respondent JORGENSEN

2 3

4

5

6

7

9

8

11

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

for payment of the entire amount of said \$10,000.00 fine.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- Any restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent FREDRICK
MARTIN JORGENSEN under the Real Estate Law are suspended for
ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision;
provided, however, that the suspension shall be stayed upon the
following terms and conditions:

- 1. Respondent's license and license rights shall be actually suspended for a period of forty-five (45) days. If Respondent petitions, said forty-five (45) day suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$4500.00.
- 2. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California.
- determination is made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred during the term of the stay provided for above, vacate and set aside the stay order, including any further stay imposed pursuant to Section 10175.2. Should no order vacating the stay be made pursuant to this condition or condition "4" below, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.
- 4. The Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order if payment is not timely made by Respondent WESTSTAR or Respondent JORGENSEN of the \$10,000 fine

pursuant to Section 10139.5 of the Code described in Paragraph I of the Order herein. The vacation and set aside of the stay shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Should no order vacating the stay be made pursuant to this condition or condition "3"

DATED DATED JANES L. BEAVER. Counse

JANES L. BEAVER, Counsel . Department of Real Estate

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and have discussed its terms with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and

23 //27/99 DATED

mitigation of the charges.

WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., Respondent

By Aldrich Martin Jorgensen
FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN
Designated Officer - Broker

///

27

26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

H-2414 SD

1	1/20/99 fredrick Martin Gorgmon
2	DATED FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN Respondent
3	* * *
4	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to
5	form and content and have advised my client accordingly.
6	1-27-99 David Rut
7	DATED DAVID S. BRIGHT) Attorney for Respondents
8	* * *
10	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
11	adopted by as my Decision in this matter and shall become
12	effective at 12 o'clock noon onApril 12, 1999.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED
14	JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner
15	
16	John A Libeaton
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22 ,	
23	
24	· i :
25	
26	
27	

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

H-2414 SD

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 95818-7000 Sacramento, CA

227-0789 Telephone: (916)



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTA

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-2414 SD

DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY,

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondent.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY (hereinafter "Respondent"), individually and by and through Wesley R. Thompson, Esq., attorney of record herein for Respondent, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on July 8, 1998 in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"):

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On October 5, 1998, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to Respondent in the Accusation are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and

sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights
as set forth in the "Order" set forth below. In the event that
the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the
Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of
no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing
and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the
APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made
herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and omissions of Respondent DANIEL VINCENT
BEASLEY described in the Accusation are grounds for the
suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of
Respondent under the following provisions of the Business and
Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code"):

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H-2414 SD

1	
2	2
3	t
4	
5	2
6	
7	
8	
9	7
10	ŀ
11	l i
12	E
13	•
14	F
15	t
16	
17	5
18	E
19	c
20	ļ
21	-
22	F
23	
24	I F. C. t

	(a)	As	to	the	Third	Cause	for A	Accusatio	n under	
Section	10130	the	Cod	e in	conju	nction	with	Section	10177(d)	o i
the Code	e; and									

(b) As to the Fourth Cause for Accusation under Sections 10176(a) and 10176(i) the Code;

ORDER

Ι

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section '10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. Any restricted real estate license issued to Respondent pursuant to the Decision entered pursuant to this Order shall be suspended for one hundred eighty (180) days from the date of issuance of said restricted license.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of

25 |

26

nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- 3. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate

 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 4. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 5. Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law' for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- 6. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the

Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

- 7. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
 - (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and
 - (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
- Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by
 Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.

February 2, 1999

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel Department of Real Estate

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and have discussed its terms with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I

understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 2 limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the 3 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and 4 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring 5 the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 6 hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 8 mitigation of the charges. 10 DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY Respondent 11 12 I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to 13 form and content and have advised my/glient accordingly. 14 15 WESLEY R. THOMPSON Attorney for Respondent 16 17 18 19 effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 20

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by as my Decision in this matter and shall become. 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED

> JOHN R. LIBERATOR Interim Real Estate Commissioner

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H-2414 SD

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

227-0789 Telephone: (916)

FEB 1 9 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-2414 SD JOEL E. FORRAL, et al. OAH No. L-1998100497 Respondent.

In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-2466 SD

JOEL E. FORRAL,

Respondent.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent JOEL E. FORRAL ("Respondent"), individually and by and through Frank M. Buda, Esq., attorney of record herein for Respondent, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on July 8, 1998 in Case No. H-2414 SD ("the H-2414 SD Accusation") and the Accusation filed on January 15, 1999 in Case No. H-2466 SD ("the H-2466 SD Accusation")(jointly, "the Accusations"): 111

STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

H-2414 SD and 2466 SD

JOEL E. FORRAL

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusations, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusations filed by the Department of Real Estate in these proceedings.

On July 20, 1998, Respondent filed a Notice of

Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the H-2414 SD Accusation. On January 22, 1999, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the H-2466 SD Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notices of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusations at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusations and the right to cross-examine

3.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) witnesses.

- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in the Accusations are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" set forth below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusations under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in these proceedings.
- 7. Respondent understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, the findings set forth below in the Determination Of Issues become final, and that the

Commissioner may charge said Respondent for the costs of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code to determine if the violations have been corrected. The maximum costs of said audit shall not exceed \$5,200.00.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and omissions of Respondent JOEL E. FORRAL described in the H-2466 SD Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code") and/or Chapter 6, title 10, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations"):

- (a) as to Paragraph VI(a) under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) as to Paragraph VI(b) under Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) as to Paragraph VI(c) under Section 2834(a) of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) as to Paragraph VI(d) under Section 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of



COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) the Code;

- (e) as to Paragraph VI(e) under Section 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code;
- (f) as to Paragraph VI(f) under Section 10176(e) of the Code;
- (g) as to Paragraph VII(a) Section 10161.8(a) of the Code in conjunction with Sections 10165 and 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (h) as to Paragraph VII(b) under Section 2731(a) of the Regulations in conjunction with Sections 10159.5 and 10177(d) of the Code.

ΙI

The acts and omissions of Respondent JOEL E. FORRAL described in the H-2414 SD Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under Section 10177(g) and Section 101%77(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

<u>ORDER</u>

Ι

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JOEL E.

FORRAL under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however,

a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to said

Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and

Professions Code if, within 90 days from the effective date of

the Decision entered pursuant to this Order (hereinafter "the

Decision"):

- (a) Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that, as of January 31, 1999, there is on deposit in one or more trust bank accounts for the use and benefit of all owners of funds entrusted to said Respondent an amount or amounts equal to the individual and aggregate trust fund liability of said Respondent to such owners;
- (b) Respondent pays a fine pursuant to Section

 10139.5 of the Business and Professions Code in the sum of

 \$2,500.00 in the form of a cashier's check or certiufied check

 made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund; and
- (c) Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

Respondent pursuant to the Decision entered pursuant to this Order shall be suspended for thirty (30) days from the date of issuance of said restricted license; provided however, that if Respondent petitions, said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each day of the supension for a total monetary

13.

penalty of \$3,000.00, and upon condition that no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision in this matter. Any stay granted pursuant to this paragraph shall be subject to the following terms:

- of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- determination is made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred during the one (1) year period following the effective date of the Decision in this matter, vacate and set aside the stay and order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.
- (c) If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no order vacating the stay is made pursuant to Paragraph (b), above, the stay granted pursuant to this Decision shall become permanent.
- 2. <u>Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Code</u>,
 Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost, not to
 exceed \$5,200.00, for an audit to determine if Respondent has
 corrected the trust fund violations found in paragraph I of the

Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

3. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of the Decision herein, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the

26

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STATE 113 (REV. 3-95)

Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

- 4. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.
- 5. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 6. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 7. Any restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 8. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the

removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this pecision Department of Real Estate 6 7 I have read the stipulation and Agreement and its 8 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the 10 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 11 limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the 12 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and 13 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring 14 the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 15 hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 16 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 17 mitigation of the charges. 18 19 Respondent 20 21 I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to 22 form and content and have advised my client accordingly. 23 DATED FRANK M. BUDA, ESO. 24 /// 25 111 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) ///

1	* * *
2	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
3 ,	adopted by as my Decision in this matter and shall become
4	effective at 12 o'clock noon on <u>March 12</u> , 1999.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED February 10, 1999.
6	JOHN R. LIBERATOR
7	Acting Real Estate Commissioner
8	Al. RZidesta
9	
10	·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

H-2414 SD and 2466 SD

F [L E D . NOV - 6 1998 D

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATES STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., a corporation; JOEL E. FORRAL; FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN; and DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY,

Respondent

Case No. <u>H-2414 SD</u>

OAH No. <u>L-1</u>998100497

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at ___the__

To the above named respondent:

affidavits, without any notice to you.

Office of Admini	strative Hearings, 1350 Fro	ont Street, Room 6022,
San Diego, CA 9	2101	
onFebr	ruary 2, 3 & 4, 1999	, at the hour of 9:00 AM,
hearing, you must notify the	natter can be heard, upon the Accusation serve presiding administrative law judge of the Off served on you. Failure to notify the presiding in the place of the hearing.	fice of Administrative Hearings within ten
are not entitled to the appoir yourself without legal coun	the hearing. You have the right to be represented timent of an attorney to represent you at pubsel. If you are not present in person nor resinary action against you based upon any expressions.	plic expense. You are entitled to represent expresented by counsel at the hearing, the

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: November 6, 1998

Counsel

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel (SBN 60543) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 (916) 227-0788 -or-4 . 5 6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 In the Matter of the Accusation of 10 NO. H-2414 SD WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., 11 a corporation; JOEL E. FORRAL; ACCUSATION FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN; 12 and DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY, 13 Respondents. 14 The Complainant, J. Chris Graves, a Deputy Real Estate 15 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 16 against WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC., a corporation; JOEL E. 17 FORRAL; FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN; and DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY 18 (hereinafter "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 20 21 Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license 22 rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the 23 Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"). 24 111 25 /// 26 /// 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

The Complainant, J. Chris Graves, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity.

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent WESTSTAR FINANCIAL GROUP, INC. (hereinafter "WESTSTAR") was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker:

- (a) To and until April 24, 1997, by and through
 Respondent JOEL E. FORRAL (hereinafter "FORRAL") as designated
 officer-broker of Respondent WESTSTAR to qualify said corporation
 and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker; and
- (b) From and after April 24, 1997, by and through Respondent FREDRICK MARTIN JORGENSEN (hereinafter "JORGENSEN") as designated officer-broker of Respondent WESTSTAR to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent FORRAL was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and, to and until April 24, 1997, as designated officer-broker of Respondent WESTSTAR. As said designated officer-broker, Respondent FORRAL was, at all times mentioned herein to and until April 24, 1997, responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities

of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of Respondent WESTSTAR for which a license is required.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent JORGENSEN was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and, from and after April 24, 1997, as designated officer-broker of Respondent WESTSTAR. As said designated officer-broker, Respondent JORGENSEN was, at all times mentioned herein from and after April 24, 1997, responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of Respondent WESTSTAR for which a license is required.

VI

On April 29, 1996, the real estate salesperson license of Respondent DANIEL VINCENT BEASLEY (hereinafter "BEASLEY") expired and was not renewed until on and after January 24, 1998. At no time mentioned herein from April 29, 1996 through January 24, 1998 was Respondent BEASLEY licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker or as a real estate salesperson. At all times herein mentioned from and after January 24, 1998, Respondent BEASLEY was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson.

VII

Whenever'reference is made in an allegation in this
Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent WESTSTAR, such
allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,
employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or



associated with Respondent WESTSTAR committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of such corporate Respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

VIII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised and assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein Respondents arranged, negotiated, and processed such loans, and conducted the escrows through which such loans were consummated.

IX

At all times mentioned herein from on or about July 8, 1995 until on or about December 31, 1997, both prior and subsequent to April 24, 1997, Respondent WESTSTAR employed and compensated Robert P. Haskins (hereinafter "Haskins") to perform the acts and conduct the activities described in Paragraph VIII, above.

Х

Between on or about July 8, 1995 and on or about

December 31, 1997, both prior and subsequent to April 24, 1997, in
the course of the activities and employment described in



5.

Paragraphs VIII and IX, above, Haskins solicited and obtained applications from at least twenty-six different borrowers for at least twenty-six different loans secured by deeds of trust encumbering real property within the State of California, and thereafter received compensation for such services from WESTSTAR.

XI

The mortgage loan applications obtained by Haskins in course of his employment by Respondent WESTSTAR, as described in Paragraphs IX and X, above, included but were not limited to the

following loan applications:

A	PPLICATION DATE	BORROWER	SECURITY PROPERTY	LOAN AMOUNT
	07/08/95	JoAnn Novak-Eggding	8321 Barranca Court Spring Valley, CA	\$91,000
	11/21/95	Luis Vazquez	1024 Gallery Drive Oceanside, CA	\$154,857
	05/23/96	Robt. C. Steele	13032 Trigger St. San Diego, CA	\$170,000
	04/05/97	Erasmo Lopez	131 Christen Way San Marcos, CA	\$86,000
	09/15/97	William Nichols	331 Vista Marazul San Diego, CA	\$86,000
	10/21/97	Raul Martinez	436 N. Citrus Ave. San Diego, CA	\$103,000

XII

At no time mentioned herein from or after August 14, 1994 was Haskins licensed by the Department as either a real estate salesperson or as a real estate broker.

26 ///

27 ///

3.



SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XIII

There is hereby incorporated in this second, separate and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through VIII, inclusive, of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

VIX

At all times mentioned herein from on or about April 29, 1996 until on or about December 31, 1997, both prior and subsequent to April 24, 1997, Respondent WESTSTAR employed and compensated Respondent BEASLEY to perform the acts and conduct the activities described in Paragraph VIII, above.

XV

Between on or about April 29, 1996 and on or about December 31, 1997, both prior and subsequent to April 24, 1997, in the course of the activities and employment described in Paragraphs VIII and XIV, above, Respondent BEASLEY solicited and obtained applications from borrowers for loans secured by deeds of trust encumbering real property within the State of California, and thereafter solicited lenders for, arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans, and received compensation for such services from WESTSTAR.

IVX

The mortgage loan applications and mortgage loans obtained, negotiated and arranged by Respondent BEASLEY, in course of Respondent BEASLEY's employment by Respondent WESTSTAR, as



described in Paragraphs XIV and XV, above, included but were not

limited to the following loans:

2	_				
3	APPLICATION DATE	BORROWER	SECURITY PROPERTY	LOAN AMOUNT	
4 ; 5 !	09/01/96	Hugh W. Power	Maybritt Circle San Marcos, CA		
6	10/17/96	Marilyn Prue	516 Hemphill Drive San Marcos, CA	\$118,278	
7	12/10/96	Michael Dovidio	1780 Lindsley Park Dr., San Marcos, CA	\$140,700	
9	01/07/97	Scott Ereckson	270 Puffin Drive Vista, CA	\$118,000	
10	01/14/97	Patrick Hubbard	3839 Vista Campana So., Vista, CA	\$47,450	
12	02/14/97	Carl Fleckner	9674 Babauta Road San Diego, CA	\$301,000	
13 14	04/17/97	Robert Musso	4978 Yuma Avenue Oceanside, CA	\$120,000	
15	06/17/97	Glen Goglia	3698 Camino De Las Lomas, Vista, CA	\$310,000	
16	06/20/97	Mary Peifer	1170 Tower Place Vista, CA	\$75,000	
18	07/03/97	Thomas Reilly	1003 Tiger Tail Rd. Vista, CA	\$108,000	
20	11/27/97	Kirk Garcilaso	520 Monterey Drive Oceanside, CA		

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

IIVX

There is hereby incorporated in this third, separate and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through VIII, inclusive, of the First Cause of Accusation and Paragraphs XIII through XVI, inclusive, of



95 28391

21

22

23

24

25

26

 the Second Cause of Accusation, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XVIII

Throughout the period from on or about April 29, 1996 through on or about December 31, 1997, Respondent BEASLEY acted as a real estate broker in conducting the mortgage loan brokerage and escrow business and activities described in Paragraph VIII and Paragraphs XIII through XVI, inclusive, above, in that:

- (a) In conducting such activities, Respondent BEASLEY held himself out as, advertised himself to be, and assumed to act as a real estate broker to borrowers, lenders, title companies and others;
- (b) Respondent BEASLEY maintained offices for the transaction of said mortgage loan brokerage and escrow business and activities in Vista, California, separate and apart from offices maintained by Respondent WESTSTAR;
- (c) In the transaction of said mortgage loan brokerage business and activities, Respondent BEASLEY represented himself to be the president of "American West Mortgage", a fictitious business name utilized and registered with the Department by Respondent WESTSTAR;
- (d) Respondent BEASLEY used the fictitious business name "Beasley Financial and Property Management" in the transaction of said mortgage loan brokerage business and activities;
- (e) Respondent BEASLEY employed Jerry Diverde and Dean Johnson, neither of whom were licensed by the Department at the

time as either a real estate broker or as a real estate salesperson, to solicit borrowers to apply for loans secured by a lien on real property;

(f) Respondent BEASLEY conducted escrows for loan transactions initiated by Respondent BEASLEY by and through sole proprietorships operated by Respondent BEASLEY under the fictitious names "Vista Escrow" and "Coast Side Escrow", identifying himself as an escrow officer for Vista Escrow and Coast Side Escrow with the fictitious name "Dan Marrone".

XIX

In acting as described in Paragraph XVII through XVIII, above, Respondent BEASLEY violated Section 10130 of the Code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XX

There is hereby incorporated in this fourth, separate and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through VIII, inclusive, of the First Cause of Accusation, and Paragraphs XIII through XIX, inclusive, of the Second and Third Causes of Accusation, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

IXX

On or about February 28, 1997, in the course of mortgage loan brokerage and escrow business and activities described above, Respondent BEASLEY submitted an application to First Franklin Mortgage Corporation (hereinafter "First Franklin") ostensibly on behalf of Robert Musso and Paula Musso as co-borrowers for a \$120,000 mortgage loan to be secured by the lien of a deed of



trust encumbering real property at 4978 Yuma Avenue, Oceanside, California.

IIXX

In order to induce First Franklin to make the loan described in Paragraph XXI, above, Respondent BEASLEY represented to First Franklin, among other things, that (hereinafter "the representations"):

- (a) Execution of the borrowers' escrow instructions to the escrow holder, Vista Escrow, to consummate the loan was obtained on January 29, 1997 by an escrow officer for Vista Escrow named Dan Marrone;
- (b) The loan application had been executed by Paula Musso in the presence of Respondent BEASLEY on February 24, 1997;
- (c) Execution of the deed of trust to secure the loan, a Warranty and Compliance Agreement with First Franklin, and an Occupancy Declaration to First Franklin, had been duly acknowledged by Paula Musso before Cheryle A. Lintz (hereinafter "Lintz"), a notary public, on February 24, 1997; and
- (d) The loan application and supporting documents were being submitted to First Franklin in good faith by Respondent BEASLEY on behalf of Paula Musso as co-applicant for the loan.

XXIII

The representations were material to a decision by First Franklin to make the loan, as Respondent BEASLEY knew at the time the representations were made.

1//

1.3



VIXX

The representations were false, as Respondent knew at the time the representations were made. In truth and fact, as Respondent knew at the time:

- (a) Vista Escrow was a sole proprietorship operated by Respondent BEASLEY to conduct escrows for loan transactions initiated by Respondent BEASLEY; "Dan Marrone" is a fictitious name used by Respondent BEASLEY to conceal the fact that the loan escrow was being handled by Respondent BEASLEY; and Paula Musso did not execute the borrowers escrow instructions to the escrow holder, Vista Escrow, to consummate the loan;
- (b) No loan application was executed by Paula Musso in the presence of Respondent BEASLEY on February 24, 1997, or at any other time, or at all;
- (c) Execution of the deed of trust to secure the loan, the Warranty and Compliance Agreement with First Franklin, and the Occupancy Declaration to First Franklin, had not been acknowledged by Paula Musso before Lintz, a notary public, on February 24, 1997, or at any other time, or at all, but instead, Respondent BEASLEY knowingly solicited and obtained false notary acknowledgments from Lintz on said instruments and caused Lintz to affix her notary seal next to the false acknowledgments; and
- (d) Respondent BEASLEY knew that Paula Musso was not a co-applicant for the loan.

XXV

The acts and omissions of Respondent BEASLEY described in Paragraphs XX through XXIV, inclusive, above constituted the



substantial misrepresentation of a material fact, and fraud or dishonest dealing.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

IVXX

There is hereby incorporated in this fifth, separate and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through XXV, inclusive, of the First, Second, Third and Fourth Causes of Accusation, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

TIVXX

At all times mentioned herein to and until April 24, 1997, Respondent FORRAL failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of Respondent WESTSTAR and its agents and employees in such a manner as to allow the acts and omissions on the part of Respondents WESTSTAR and BEASLEY during the period ending April 24, 1997, described above, to occur.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

IIIVXX

There is hereby incorporated in this sixth, separate and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through XIX, inclusive, of the First, Second, and Third Causes of Accusation, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XXIX

At all times mentioned herein from and after April 24, 1997, Respondent JORGENSEN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of Respondent WESTSTAR and its agents



10 -

and employees in such a manner as to allow the acts and omissions on the part of Respondents WESTSTAR and BEASLEY during the period beginning April 24, 1997, described above, to occur.

XXX

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code:

- (a) As to Respondent WESTSTAR and the First Cause for Accusation under Section 10137 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) As to Respondent WESTSTAR and the Second Cause for Accusation under Section 10137 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Respondent BEASLEY and the Third Cause for Accusation under Section 10130 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As to Respondent BEASLEY and the Fourth Cause for Accusation under Sections 10176(a) and or 10176(i) the Code;
- (e) As to Respondent FORRAL and the Fifth Cause for Accusation under Section 10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (f) As to Respondent JORGENSEN and the Sixth Cause for Accusation under Section 10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

27 ///



WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the imposition of a fine of up to \$10,000.00 pursuant to the provisions of Section 10139.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

CHRIS GRAVES

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Diego, California this _____ day of July, 1998.

22 .

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)