JUL 0 5 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jan auroli

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

8

9

1

3

In the Matter of the Accusation of

STANLEY DURAN GENTRY,

Respondent.

No. H-2362 SD

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

24

. .

27

111

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On April 1, 1998, an Order was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on June 10, 1998, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent since that time.

On April 13, 1999, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

1

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine months from the date of this Order:

- Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- Submittal of evidence of having, since the most 2. recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately. ance DATED:

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN

Real Estate Commissioner

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



Laurie A. Zin

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of STANLEY DURAN GENTRY.

NO. H-2362 SD

OAH NO. N-1998010238

Respondent.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent STANLEY DURAN GENTRY (hereinafter "Respondent"), individually and by and through William Logan, Esq., Respondent's attorney of record herein, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on December 2, 1997 in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"):

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.



H-2362 SD

- 1 -

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

- Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notices of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in the Accusation are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the "Order" set forth below. In the event that

8

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

- The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.
- Respondent understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, the findings set forth below in the Determination Of Issues become final, and that the Commissioner may charge said Respondent for the costs of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code to determine if the violations have been corrected. The costs of said audit will not exceed \$5,100.00.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

111 ///

///

H-2362 SD

	1
	Ï
1	H
	ĺ
_	il
2	\parallel
3	
•	
4	I
	1
5	
	li
0	ij.
O	il
	1
7	1
8	1
_	
_	
9	i
	II.
10	ij.
1.1	3
	-
	ľ
13	1
13	1
14	1

16

17

18

19

20

21

The acts and omissions of Respondent STANLEY DURAN

GENTRY described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension

or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent

under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) As to Paragraph VI(a) under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) As to Paragraph VI(b) under Section 2831.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Paragraph VI(c) under Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As to Paragraph VI(d) under Section 2832 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) As to Paragraph VII under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (f) As to Paragraph VIII under Section 10148 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (g) As to Paragraph IX under Section 10161.8 of the Code in conjunction with Sections 10165 and 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

I

27

DURAN GENTRY under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within ninety (90) days from the effective

date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate

 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most

5 --



recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

- Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.
- 6. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost, not to exceed \$5,100.00, for an audit to determine if Respondent has corrected the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I of the Determination of Issues. calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the



1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H-2362 SD

activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to respondent pending a hearing held in 3 accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if 4 payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner: The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held 10 pursuant to this condition.

7. Any restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.

1 arch

JAMES L. BÉAVER, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my attorney, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in



H-2362 SD

1	the Accusacion at a hearing at which I would have the right to		
2	cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in		
3	defense and mitigation of the charges.		
4	3-13-98		
6	DATED STANLEY DURAN GENTRY Respondent		
7	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to		
8	form and content and have advised my client accordingly.		
9			
10	DATED WILLIAM LOCAN		
11	DATED WILLIAM LOGAN Attorney for Respondent		
12	* * *		
13	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement		
14	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision		
15	and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on		
16	May 4, 1998.		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED, 1998.		
18	JIM ANTT, JR. Real_Estate Commissioner		
19			
so	An Cents of		
51			
22			
23			
24			
25			
86			
27			

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FEB 0 3 1998

Comparison of Real Estate

In the Matter of the Accusation of

STANLEY DURAN GENTRY,

Case No. <u>H-2362</u> SD

OAH No. <u>L-1998010238</u>

Respondent

FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department	t of Real Estate at the
Office of Administrative Hearings, 1350 Front	Street, Room 6022,
San Diego, CA 92101	
Monday, March 23rd, 1998 or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served up nearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding admi will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.	oon you. If you object to the place of

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: February 3, 1998

AMES L. BEAVER

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Counsel

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

-or- (916) 227-0788 (Direct)



Laurie a. Zin

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

STANLEY DURAN GENTRY,

Respondent.

NO. H-2362 SD

<u>ACCUSATION</u>

The Complainant, J. Chris Graves, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against STANLEY DURAN GENTRY (hereinafter "Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:

I

The Complainant, J. Chris Graves, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

II

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was and now is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "the Code") as a real estate broker.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3:95) 95 28391

23

24

25

26

27

_

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) At all times herein mentioned, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(a) and 10131(b) of the Code, including:

- (a) The operation and conduct of a real estate resale brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent sold and offered to sell, bought and offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers and purchases of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase and sale of real property; and
- (b) The operation and conduct of a property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent collected rents from real property or improvements thereon.

ΙV

In so acting as a real estate broker, as described in Paragraph III, above, Respondent accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of sellers, buyers, owners, tenants and others in connection with the resale brokerage and property management activities described in Paragraph III, above, and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said trust funds.

///

///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-85)

95 28391

Some, but not necessarily all, of the aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondent was deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondent into one or more bank accounts (hereinafter "trust fund accounts") maintained by Respondent for the handling of trust funds, including but not necessarily limited to:

- (a) The "Real Estate Center of Southern California Trust Account", Account Number 0240509037, maintained by Respondent at the San Diego, California, branch of San Diego National Bank (hereinafter "Account #1"); and
- (b) The "Real Estate Center of San Diego County Trust Account", Account Number 0240507712, maintained by Respondent at the San Diego, California, branch of California Federal Bank (hereinafter "Account #2").

VI

Between on or about January 1, 1995 and June 30, 1997, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, Respondent:

- (a) Failed to keep a record in columnar form of all trust funds received into and disbursed from Account #1 and Account #2, as required by Section 2831 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the Regulations");
- (b) Failed to maintain a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, accounting therein for all said trust received into and disbursed from Account #1 and Account #2, in the manner required by Regulation 2831.1;

(c) Failed to reconcile, at least once a month, the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records with the record of all trust funds received into and disbursed from Account #1 and Account #2; and

(d) Failed to conform to the requirements of Section 2832 of the Regulations, in that Account #1 and Account #2 were not maintained in the name of Respondent as trustee.

VII

Between on or about January 1, 1995 and June 30, 1997, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, Respondent failed to keep a record in columnar form of trust funds received, but not deposited into any trust bank account as required by Section 2831 of the Regulations.

VIII

Between on or about January 1, 1995 and June 30, 1997, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, Respondent:

- (b) Failed to retain for three (3) years copies of cancelled checks and other trust records executed or obtained by Respondent in connection with transactions for which a real estate broker license is required; and/or
- (c) Failed after notice to make such cancelled checks and other trust records available for examination, inspection and copying by the designated representative of the Real Estate Commissioner.

27 ///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

IX

Between on or about January 1, 1995 and June 30, 1997, in the course of the real estate brokerage activities described in Paragraph III, above, Respondent failed to notify the Department in writing when Respondent terminated Respondent's employment of real estate salespersons.

X

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) As to Paragraph VI(a), under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) As to Paragraph VI(b), under Section 2831.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Paragraph VI(c), under Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As to Paragraph VI(d), under Section 2832 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) As to Paragraph VII, under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (f) As to Paragraph VIII, under Section 10148 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (g) As to Paragraph IX, under Section 10161.8 of the Code in conjunction with Sections 10165 and 10177(d) of the Code.

26 ///

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

J: CHRIS GRAVES

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Diego, California,

this <u>05</u> day of November, 1997.

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STD, 113 (REV. 3-95)