

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

21

24

25

23

26

27

RAYMOND LORENZO JETER,

In the Matter of the Accusation of

Respondent.

No. H-2329 FR

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On November 30, 2009, in Case No. H-2329 FR, an Order was executed which accepted the petition for the voluntary surrender of the real estate broker license of Respondent. The Order became effective on December 21, 2009.

On March 10, 2017, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license. On December 29, 2017, a Decision was rendered denying the reinstatement of the real estate broker license of Respondent effective January 26, 2018.

On October 17, 2023, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence submitted in support thereof.

The Department has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:

Regulation 2911(10) Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging, adjudicated debts or monetary obligations to others.

The Department of Real Estate made payment from the Consumer Recovery Account toward satisfaction of a judgment against Respondent in the amount of \$50,000 on December 23, 2010, (R-4426). Respondent has failed to repay the Consumer Recovery Account the amount of \$50,000 plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of payment on R-4426 pursuant to Section 10475 of the Business and Professions Code.

Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license at this time.

Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that Respondent has satisfied Regulations 2911(10), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a real estate broker license.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license is denied.

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

IT IS SO ORDERED 3/10/2025

CHIKA SUNQUIST REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

APR 0 9 2025

By: Marcus L. McCarther Chief Deputy Real Estate Commissioner