	•					
	1 2	Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012	18 1995 ()			
	3		ENT OF REAL ESTATE			
	4		Bring .			
	5	By	and Multon			
	6					
	7					
	8					
	9					
1	LO	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
1	11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
1	12	* * * *				
1	13	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) No. H-2097 SD			
1	.4	CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC.; PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY,)			
1	15	a corporation; KIM RENEE ANDERSON, individually and as)			
1	.6	designated officer of CDS Management Company, Inc., and)			
1	17	Prostar Management Company; and, DEBRA FANNING,) .			
1	.8	individually and as designated officer of)			
, 1	.9	Prostar Management Company,)) <u>STIPULATION_AND</u>			
2	20) <u>AGREEMENT IN</u>) <u>SETTLEMENT AND ORDER</u>			
2	1	Respondents.				
2	2	Respondents.) _)			
2	3	It is hereby stipulated by and	between CDS MANAGEMENT			
2	4	COMPANY, INC., PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a corporation, KIM				
2	5	RENEE ANDERSON, individually and as designated officer of CDS				
2	6	Management Company, Inc., and Prostar Management Company and DEBRA				
2'		FANNING, individually and as designated of	officer of Prostar			
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 IREV 8-72	21					

85 34769

Management Company; (sometimes referred to as respondents) and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on April 28, 1994 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all
evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and respondents
at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative
Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be
submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
Stipulation.

13 2. Respondents have received, read and understood the
14 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
15 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
16 proceeding.

Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to 3. 17 Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting 18 a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents 19 hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. 20 Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing 21 said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require 22 the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 23 contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the 24 APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in 25 connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 8-72)

27

-2-

1 in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to 2 cross-examine witnesses.

This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations 4. 3 contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and 4 economy, respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but 5 to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these 6 factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve 7 as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to 8 The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to herein. 9 provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations. 10

This Stipulation is based on respondents' decision 5. 11 not to contest the allegations set forth in the Accusation as a 12 result of the agreement negotiated between the parties. It is 13 expressly limited to this proceeding and any further proceeding 14 initiated by or brought before the Department of Real Estate based 15 upon the facts and circumstances alleged in the Accusation, and 16 made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of 17 this proceeding. The decision of respondents not to contest the 18 factual statements alleged, and as contained in the stipulated 19 Order, is made solely for the purpose of effectuating this 20 Stipulation. It is the intent and understanding of the parties 21 that this Stipulation shall not be binding or admissible against 22 respondents in any actions against respondents by third parties. 23

6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 0-72)

24

25

26

27

-3-

"Order" hereinbelow. In the event that the Commissioner in his 1 discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be void and of $\mathbf{2}$ no effect, and respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and 3 proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA and 4 shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein. 5 The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate 7. 6 Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not 7 constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further 8 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real 9 Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically 10 alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding. 11 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 12 By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and 13 waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending 14 Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the 15 following determination of issues shall be made: 16 17 The acts or omissions of respondent CDS MANAGEMENT 18 COMPANY, INC., a corporation, as described in Paragraph 4, above, 19 are in violation of Sections 10145, 10148 and 10161.8 of the 20 Business and Professions Code and are a basis for the suspension 21 or revocation of its license and license rights pursuant to 22 Section 10177(d) of the Business and Professions Code (Code). Per 23 Section 10103 of the Code the Real Estate Commissioner retains 24 jurisdiction over the expired license and license rights of CDS 25 MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC. 26 27

-4-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

II 1 The acts or omissions of respondent PROSTAR MANAGEMENT $\mathbf{2}$ COMPANY, a corporation, as described in Paragraph 4, above, are in 3 violation of Sections 10145, 10148 and 10161.8 of the Business and 4 Professions Code and are a basis for the suspension or revocation 5 of its license and license rights pursuant to Section 10177(d) of 6 the Code. 7 III 8 The acts or omissions of respondents KIM RENEE ANDERSON, 9 individually and as designated officer of CDS Management Company, 10 Inc., and Prostar Management Company and DEBRA FANNING, 11 individually and as designated officer of Prostar Management 12 Company as described in Paragraph 4, above, are in violation of 13 Sections 10145, 10148 and 10161.8 of the Business and Professions 14 Code and are a basis for the suspension or revocation of their 15 licenses and license rights pursuant to Sections 10177 (d), 16 10177(g) and 10177(h) of the Code. 17 ORDER 18 WHEREFORE THE FOLLOWING ORDER IS MADE PURSUANT TO THE 19 WRITTEN STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES: 20 Ι 21 CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY INC., is granted the right to 22 apply for a corporate real estate broker license which shall be 23 issued to respondent upon the terms and conditions of paragraph II 24 below if said respondent applies for said license before the 25 effective date of the Order. 26 Τ 27 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 6-72) ~5-

II d licensing

All licenses and licensing rights of respondents CDS 1. 2 MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC., PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC., KIM 3 RENEE ANDERSON and DEBRA FANNING under the Real Estate Law are 4 suspended for a period of sixty days (60) days from the effective 5 date of this Order; provided however, that thirty (30) days of 6 said suspensions shall be stayed upon the terms and conditions of 7 this paragraph: 8

(a) Respondents each pay a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$83.33 for each day of said suspension stayed for a total monetary penalty of \$2,500 each for a total of \$10,000;

- (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check(s) must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Order in this matter;
- (c) If respondents fail to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of this paragraph or this Order, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the sixty (60) day stayed suspension, in which event respondents shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.

-6-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 8-72)

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

•					
1	2.	Thirty (30) days of the sixty (60) day suspension			
2	provided in pa	provided in paragraph "II" shall be stayed for two (2) years upon			
3	the following terms and conditions:				
4	(a)	Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and			
5		regulations governing the rights, duties and			
6		responsibilities of real estate licensees in the			
7		State of California;			
8	(ď)	That no final subsequent determination be made,			
9		after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for			
10		disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years			
11		of the effective date of this Order;			
12	(c)	That respondents pay, within 45 days from receipt			
13		of the invoice referred to in paragraph "3" below,			
14		the Commissioner's reasonable costs for an audit;			
15	(d)	If respondents (1) pay the monetary penalty as			
16		provided for herein, and (2) pay, within 45 days			
17		from receipt of the invoice referred to in			
18		paragraph "3" below, the Commissioner's reasonable			
19		cost for an audit, and (3) if no further cause for			
20		disciplinary action against the real estate			
21		licenses of respondents occurs within two (2) years			
22		from the effective date of this Order, the thirty			
23		(30) day stay granted pursuant to this paragraph			
24		shall become permanent.			
25		Respondents shall pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of			
26		nd Professions Code, the Commissioner's reasonable			
27	cost for an au	lit to determine if respondents have corrected the			

-7-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

ł

1	trust fund violations found in Paragraph I and II of the			
2	Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the			
3	Commissioner's reasonable costs, the Commissioner may use the			
4	estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits			
5	of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel			
6	costs, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's place of			
7	work, and per diem. The Commissioner's reasonable costs shall in			
8	no event exceed \$10,000 in total for both the CDS MANAGEMENT			
9	COMPANY INC., and the PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC., reaudit.			
10	(a) Respondents shall pay such cost within 45 days of			
11	receipt of an invoice from the Commissioner			
12	detailing the activities performed during the audit			
13	and the amount of time spent performing those			
14	activities;			
15	(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs "1"			
16	and "2" herein, if respondents fail to pay, within			
17	45 days from receipt of the invoice specified			
18	above, the Commissioner's reasonable costs for an			
19	audit to determine if respondents have corrected			
20	the violations found in Paragraph I and II of the			
21	Determination of Issues, the Commissioner may order			
22	the indefinite suspension of respondent's real			
23	estate licenses and license rights. The suspension			
24	shall remain in effect until payment is made in			
25	full, or until respondents enters into an agreement			
26	satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for			
27	such payment. The Commissioner may impose further			

-8-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV 8-72)

85 34769

reasonable disciplinary terms and conditions upon respondents' real estate license and license rights as part of any such agreement.

* *

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN Counsel for Complainant

7 We have read the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement 8 and Order and its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and 9 acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given 10 to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including 11 but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 12 Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 13 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 14 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 15 hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine 16 witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and 17 mitigation of the charges.

-9-

DATED:

DATED: JANNAN 25, 1995

 $\mathbf{21}$ DATED: 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

23 24

25

26

27

18

19

20

DATED: 2/24/95

31, 195

CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC.

Respondent BY: KIM RENEE ANDERSON, D.O.

amma

PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC. Respondent BY: DEBRA FANNING, D.O.

allis

KIM RENEE ANDERSON, Respondent

COURT PAPER STAYE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 8-72)

B5 34769

bia Janning 1 195 DATED: DEBRA FANNING 2 Respondent 3 10 C 3/7/95 The mm d DATED: ROBERT M. MCLEOD, 4 Attorney for respondents 5 * * * 6 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for 7 Settlement and Order is hereby adopted by the Commissioner and 8 shall become effective at 12 o' clock noon on May 9 9 1995. 10 DATED: March 24 1995. 11 12 JOHN R. LIBERATOR 13 Interim Commissioner Africator 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV. 8-72) -10-85 34769

43	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California, 90012 Telephone (213) 897-3194 DEPARIMENT OF REAL ESTATE By	
	8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
	9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
	10	* * * *	
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)	
	12	CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC;) PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY;)	
	13	a corporation,) and KIM RENEE ANDERSON,)	
	14 15	individually and as) No. H- 2097 SD designated officer of)	
	16	CDS Management Company, Inc.,) and Prostar Management Company;) <u>ACCUSATION</u>	
	17	and, DEBRA FANNING,) individually and as) designated officer of)	
	18	Prostar Management Company,)	
,	19		
/	20	Respondents.)	
	21	The Complainant, J. Chris Graves, a Deputy Real Estate	
	22	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation	
	23	against CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC; PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a	
	24	corporation; and KIM RENEE ANDERSON, individually and as	
	25	designated officer of CDS Management Company, Inc., and Prostar	
	26	Management Company, a corporation; and, DEBRA FANNING,	
COURT PAPE STATE OF CALIE STD. 113 (REV 85 34759	ORNIA	-1-	

individually and as designated officer of Prostar Management Company, is informed and alleges in his official capacity as follows:

I

4 CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC; PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY; 5 and KIM RENEE ANDERSON, individually and as designated officer of 6 CDS Management Company, Inc., and Prostar Management Company, 7 and, DEBRA FANNING, individually and as designated officer of 8 Prostar Management Company, sometimes collectively referred to as 9 respondents, are presently licensed and/or have license rights 10 under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California 11 Business and Professions Code). 12 II 13 All references to the "Code" are to the California 14 Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" 15 are to Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations. 16 III 17 At all mentioned times, CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC., 18 (CDS) was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State 19 of California (Department) as a corporate real estate broker by 20 and through KIM RENEE ANDERSON as designated officer from February 21 28, 1988 until February 26, 1992, the date of CDS' license 22 expiration. 23 IV 24

At all mentioned times, PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a corporation, (PMC) was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (Department) as a corporate real estate

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA SYD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

25

26

27

1

2

3

-2--

broker by and through KIM RENEE ANDERSON and DEBRA FANNING as
designated officer. KIM RENEE ANDERSON was the designated officer
from October 28, 1991 until March 10, 1992. DEBRA FANNING has
been the designated officer since March 10, 1992 to the present.
On October 28, 1991, the date of PMC's license commencement with
the Department of Real Estate, PMC purchased CDS.

V

At all mentioned times, KIM RENEE ANDERSON (ANDERSON) as 8 licensed by the Department as designated officer of CDS and PMC to 9 qualify them and to act for them as a real estate broker and, as 10 provided by Section 10159.2 of the Code, was responsible for the 11 supervision and control of the activities conducted on their 12 behalf by their officers, managers and employees as necessary to 13 secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law 14 including the supervision of the salespeople licensed to the 15 corporation in the performance of acts for which a real estate 16 license is required by Section 10159.2 of the Code. 17

νı

At all mentioned times, DEBRA FANNING (FANNING) as 19 licensed by the Department as designated officer of PMC to qualify 20 PMC and to act for it as a real estate broker and, as provided by 21 Section 10159.2 of the Code, was responsible for the supervision 22 and control of the activities conducted on its behalf by its 23 officers, managers and employees as necessary to secure full 24 compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law including 25 the supervision of the salespeople licensed to the corporation in 26 the performance of acts for which a real estate license is 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORN(A STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

7

required by Section 10159.2 of the Code. FANNING has been the designated officer since March 10, 1992 to the present.

VII

3 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in the 4 accusation to an act or omission of CDS or PMC, such allegation 5 shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, managers, 6 employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or 7 associated with them, including ANDERSON and FANNING respectively, 8 committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of 9 their business or operation and while acting within the course and 10 scope of their corporate authority, agency and employment. 11 VIII 12 At all mentioned times respondents, CDS, PMC, ANDERSON 13 and FANNING, respectively, were acting as the agent or employee of 14 the other and within the course and scope of such agency or 15 employment. 16 IX 17 At all times herein mentioned, in the city of San Diego, 18 San Diego County and the city of Ontario in Riverside County, 19 respondents CDS and PMC engaged in the business of corporate real 20 estate brokers and respondents ANDERSON and FANNING, as real 21 estate brokers, within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the 22 Code, including the operation of property management businesses 23 including collecting rent for real property.

Х

On October 27, 1993, the Department completed an audit examination of CDS and PMC's books and records as real estate

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

24

25

26

27

1

2

-4-

brokers operating requiring a real estate broker license for the 1 period commencing on April 1, 1991 and ending on September 30, 2 1993, which revealed violations of the Real Estate Law, Code and 3 the Regulations described below. 4 XI 5 At all times mentioned herein, in connection with the 6 activities described in Paragraph X, above, respondents accepted 7 or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of 8 actual or prospective borrowers and lenders, and thereafter made 9 disposition of such funds. Respondents maintained all their trust 10 accounts into which they deposited certain of these funds at Bank 11 of America and Wells Fargo Banks in general and specifically for 12 the following properties: 13 14 Trust Accounts 15 (Account #1) The Boulders Property #1 (Account #2) Windrose Property #2 16 (Account #3) Barham Villas Property #3 17 XII 18 With respect to the trust funds referred to in Paragraph 19 XI, it is alleged that ANDERSON and FANNING on behalf of CDS and 20 PMC: 21 Disbursed monies from the trust accounts set forth (a) 22 in Paragraph XI for unauthorized purposes and to unauthorized 23 payees with respect to the properties under management for Edward 24 Cleve, including the payment of a \$109.34 check from trust account 25 for Barham Villas #4952-036044 on December 9, 1991 to PMC after 26 said account was closed, in violation of Section 10145 of the 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 8-72)

-5-

Code.

1

2

4

5

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Failed to maintain a control record for the daily (b) balance of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds in the 3 trust accounts received by CDS and PMC, as required by Regulation 2831.

Failed to maintain a separate record for each (c)6 beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all 7 trust funds received, deposited, and disbursed by the trust 8 accounts, as required by Regulation 2831.1. 9

Failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the (d) 10 columnar record for the receipt and disposition of all trust funds 11 received by CDS and PMC for the trust accounts, and the balance of 12 all separate beneficiary or transaction records, as required by 13 Regulation 2831.2. 14

Failed to designate all property management (e) 15 accounts as trust accounts in the name of the broker-corporation, 16 PMC, as trustee, in violation of Regulation 2830. 17

Failed to obtain the written permission of the (f) owner of the funds to establish an interest-bearing account for La Jolla Del Sol and six other such accounts and failed to designate the interest bearing property management accounts as trust accounts in the name of the broker-corporations as trustees, in violation of Section 10145(d) of the Code and Regulation 2830.1.

(d) Permitted, Sharon Warren, Maria (Pietroforte) (q) Keener, Jeffrey Ryan and Jeffrey Bailey, licensees of PMC to sign on accounts used for trust accounts and permitted Kay Drake, Linn King, Carl Goin, Kay Hobson and Michael Reyes and William Fanning,

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

-б-

non-licensees, who are not bonded in an amount equal to the 1 maximum amounts of the trust funds to which said non-licensed 2 employees had access to, to be authorized signatories on the trust 3 accounts, in violation of Regulation 2834. 4 XIII 5 The conduct of respondents CDS, PMC, ANDERSON and 6 FANNING, described in Paragraph XII, above, violated the Code and 7 the Regulations as set forth below: 8 PROVISIONS VIOLATED PARAGRAPH 9 of the Code; and Sec. 10145 XII(a) 10 of the Regulations; Sec. 2831 XII(b) of the Regulations; Sec. 2831.1 XII(c) 11 of the Regulations; Sec. 2831.2 XII(d) of the Regulations; Sec. 2830 XII(e) 12 of the Code; Sec. 10145 XII(f) of the Regulations, and Sec. 2830.1 13 of the Regulations. Sec. 2834 XII(g) 14 Each of the foregoing violations separately constitutes cause for 15 the suspension or revocation of all of the respective real estate licenses and license rights of respondents CDS, PMC, ANDERSON and 16 17 FANNING under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code. 18 XIV 19 The audit examination further revealed that PMC, 20 ANDERSON and FANNING, in reference to Paragraph IX, failed to 21 notify the Department of the employment of Dana Hickman, real 22 estate salesperson licensed to PMC. Moreover, PMC failed to notify the Department of the termination of the Carolyn, Barbara 23 24 Lane and Shirley Evenson, as required by Section 10161.8 of the Code and Regulation 2752. Said conduct is cause to suspend or 25 revoke all licenses and license rights of the respondents PMC, 26 27 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

85 34769

-7--

ANDERSON and FANNING under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

XV

The investigative audit also revealed that FANNING failed to initiate and maintain written Broker-Salesman agreements with PMC's salespeople, in violation of Regulation 2726. This conduct and violation are also cause to suspend or revoke PMC and FANNING's respective licenses and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the Code.

XVI

The investigative audit also revealed that FANNING failed to review, initial and date the instruments prepared or signed by PMC's salespeople, in violation of Regulation 2725. This conduct and violation are also cause to suspend or revoke respondent's respective licenses and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the Code.

XVII

During the post audit investigation, Jennifer Borromeo, a designated representative of the Department gave notice and made demand to examine and inspect the books, accounts, and records received or generated by respondents, and by and through their agents and employees including Kay Drake, in the course of the activities described in Paragraph IX, above. At all times since said notice and demand, through their agents and employees, respondents have failed or been unable to provide all the said books, accounts, records such as invoices, check duplicates and reports, and files requested and further failed to keep the documentation relating to said properties under management for

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

2

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

-8-

three years because it was the routine practice of CDS and PMC to 1 return said documentation to owners of said properties upon 2 termination of their respective management agreements where such 3 period was before the three years recording keeping requirement 4 had elapsed including the payment of a \$109.34 check from trust 5 account #4952-036044 on December 9, 1991 to CDS and PMC after said 6 account was closed. This conduct constitutes a violation of 7 Section 10148 of the Code and is cause to suspend or revoke 8 respondent's respective real estate licenses and license rights 9 under Section 10177(d). 10 XVIII 11 The investigative audit also revealed that ANDERSON and 12 FANNING failed to retain the salesperson's license certificates 13 for Kay Chiraravalle, in violation of Regulation 2710(c) and 2753. 14 This conduct is cause to suspend or revoke the licenses and 15 license rights of respondent's under Sections 10177(d) and 16 10177(h) of the Code. 17 XIX 18 PMC closed its Sacramento branch office without 19 notifying the Department of its closure. This conduct constitutes 20 a violation of Regulation 2715 and is cause to suspend or revoke 21 the respective real estate licenses and license rights of 22 respondents under Section 10177(d). 23 XX 24 The conduct of respondent ANDERSON and FANNING, in 25 allowing respondents CDS and PMC to violate Sections 10145, 10148, 26 10161.8, of the Code and Sections 2710(c), 2725, 2726, 2752, 2753, 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

-9--

1 2830, 2830.1, 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, and 2834, as described above, during the time that ANDERSON and FANNING were, respectively the designated officers of CDS and PMC, constitutes negligence or incompetence and a lack of supervision. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of respondent ANDERSON and FANNING pursuant to Sections 10177(g) and 10177(h).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted 8 on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof 9 a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all 10 licenses and license rights of respondents CDS MANAGEMENT COMPANY, 11 INC; PROSTAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a corporation; and KIM RENEE 12 ANDERSON, individually and as designated officer of CDS Management 13 Company, Inc., and Prostar Management Company, and DEBRA 'FANNING, 14 individually and as designated officer of Prostar Management 15 Company under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the 16 Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further 17 relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. 18

J. CHRIS GRAVES

J. CHRIS GRAVES Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Los Angeles, California this **28th** day of April, 1994.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 IREV. 8.721

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

-10-