FILED

JUL 2 2 2008

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean Bremon

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

2

In the Matter of the Accusation of AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP.,

and JERRY LA OTTO STAPP

No. H-1637 FR

13

14

Respondent.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On August 5, 2004, an Order was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker licenses of Respondents but granting Respondents the right to apply for restricted real estate broker licenses upon terms and conditions. Restricted real estate broker licenses were issued to Respondents on October 14, 2004 and Respondents have operated as restricted licensees since that time.

On May 25, 2007, Respondents petitioned for reinstatement of their real estate broker licenses and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petitions.

27 1///

1 I have considered the petitions of Respondents and the

evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondents' records as restricted licensees. Respondents have demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondents meet the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondents of unrestricted real estate broker licenses and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said licenses to Respondents.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondents' petition for reinstatement is granted and that real estate broker licenses be issued to Respondents if Respondents satisfy the following conditions within nine months from the date of this Order:

- Submittal of completed applications and payment of the fees for real estate broker licenses.
- 2. Submittal of proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of Respondent JERRY LA OTTO STAPP having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall become effective immediately. DATED: 2008.

> JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Kathlan Contraras

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP.

and

JERRY LA OTTO STAPP, et al.,

Respondents.

NO. H-1637 FR

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP. and JERRY LA OTTO STAPP only (hereafter

Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L.

Respondents), represented by Guyton N. Jinkerson, Attorney at

Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows

for the purpose of settling and disposing the First Amended

Accusation as to Respondents filed on February 25, 2004 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative

- 1 - AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP.-STAPP

FILE NO. H-1637 FR

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 ' 19

20

21

23

24 25

26

Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondents have each received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On November 14, 2003, and March 9, 2004,
 Respondents filed their Notice of Defense pursuant to
 Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of
 requesting a hearing on the allegations in the First Amended
 Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw
 said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they each
 understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they will
 each thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to
 prove the allegations in the First Amended Accusation at a
 contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the
 APA, and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in
 connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence
 in defense of the allegations in the First Amended Accusation and
 the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations pertaining to them in Paragraphs I through IX of the First Amended Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in the rest of the First Amended Accusation pertaining to each Respondent, Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation as found below. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondents each choose not to contest the allegations that pertain to them, but to remain silent, and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall each retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the First Amended Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not

specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending First Amended Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent AMERICAN GOLD CORP. as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10137, 10176(a), 10176(i), 10177(d), and 10177(g) of the Code.

II

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent JERRY LA OTTO STAPP as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 2725 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations.

ORDER

Ι

A. All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondents

AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP. and JERRY LA OTTO STAPP are revoked.

A restricted real estate broker corporation license shall be issued to Respondent AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP., and a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to

FILE NO. H-1637 FR

- 4 - AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP.-STAPP

Respondent JERRY LA OTTO STAPP pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if they each make application therefor and pay to the Department the appropriate fee for each license within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the Order.

The restricted licenses issued to Respondents shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following conditions and limitations imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:

- 1) The restricted license issued to Respondent AMERICAN
 GOLD MORTGAGE CORP. pursuant to this Decision shall be
 suspended for thirty (30) days from the date of issuance
 of said restricted license; provided, however, that if
 said Respondent petitions, the suspension (or a portion
 thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that:
 - (a) Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions

 Code at the rate of \$150 per day, for each day of the suspension, for a total maximum monetary penalty of \$4,500;
 - (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund.

 Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter;

(c) No further cause for disciplinary action
against the real estate license of Respondent
AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP. occurs within two (2)
years from the effective date of the Decision in
this matter;

(d) If Respondent AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP. fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision; and (e) If Respondent AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP.

pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

GOLD MORTGAGE CORP. and JERRY LA OTTO STAPP may each be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of each Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to that Respondent's fitness or

2) The restricted licenses issued to Respondents AMERICAN

capacity as a real estate licensee.

- A) Respondents shall each not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of their respective restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Order.
- months from the effective date of this Order, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of his restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

John 14, Day
DATED

DEIDRE L JOHNSON Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

б

7-9-04

MERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP

Respondent By

JERRY LA OTTO STAPP

1	
2	2-9-04 Leur L. Stope
3	DATED JERRY A CATO STAPP Respondent
4	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5	7-12-04 And A//)
6	DATED GUYTOW M CINKERSON Attorney for Respondents
7 8	
9	
10	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
11	adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock
12	noon on October 14 , 2004.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED August 5 , 2004.
15 16	JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner
17	
18	_ flin Khileston
19	
20	-
21	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	

9 - AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP.-STAPP

FILE NO. H-1637 FR

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jathleen contraids

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

10

2

3

7

Я

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-1637 FR

ARACELI ORTIZ, et al.,

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

Respondents.

It is hereby stipulated by and between ARACELI ORTIZ (Respondent), represented by Harry Pascuzzi, Attorney at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the First Amended Accusation as to her

22 | filed on February 25, 2004, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the First Amended Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place

FILE NO. H-1637 FR

_ 1 .

ARACELI ORTIZ

thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On November 5, 2003, Respondent filed her Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in this matter. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense she will thereby waive her right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations pertaining to her in the First Amended Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that she will waive other rights afforded to her in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations pertaining to her in the First Amended Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to her in Paragraphs I through IX of the First Amended Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations pertaining to her contained in the remaining paragraphs of the First Amended Accusation, Respondent stipulates that she will not

FILE NO. H-1637 FR

interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations pertaining to Respondent contained in the First Amended Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as the basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the First Amended Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

27 | ///

К

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and for the purpose of settlement of the pending First Amended Accusation as to Respondent without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent ARACELI ORTIZ as stipulated above violate Section 10130 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code), and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real estate salesperson license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10176(i), 10177(d), and 10177(f) of the Code.

ORDER

A. All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent ARACELI ORTIZ are hereby revoked.

- B. A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if she makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the decision.
- C. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

(1) The restricted license issued to Respondent

pursuant to this Decision shall be suspended for

sixty (60) days from the date of issuance of said

restricted license; provided, however, that if

Respondent petitions, said suspension (or a

portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition

that:

- (a) Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions

 Code at the rate of \$50 per day, for each day of the suspension, for a total maximum monetary penalty of \$3,000;
- (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund.

 Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter;
- against the real estate license of Respondent

 Two A.O.

 occurs within ene year from the effective date of
 the Decision in this matter;
- (d) If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in

			-	
			2	
			3	
			4	
			5	•
			6	
			7	
			8	
			9	
	1		0	
	1		1	
	1		2	
	1		3	
	1		4	
	1		5	
:	1	. (б	
	1		7	
			8	
			9	
)	
2				
2				
2				
2				
2	•	•	•	

27

which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision; and

- (e) If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real
 Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's
 conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime
 which is substantially related to Respondent's
 fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (4) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the

restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

- (5) Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- (6) Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

27

1

2

5

6

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

24

25

1	(7)	Respondent shall submit with any application for
2		license under an employing broker, or any
3		application for transfer to a new employing
4	,	broker, a statement signed by the prospective
5		employing real estate broker on a form approved by
6		the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
7		(a) That the employing broker has read the
8		Decision of the Commissioner which granted the
9		right to a restricted license; and
10		(b) That the employing broker will exercise close
11		supervision over the performance by the restricted
12		licensee relating to activities for which a real
13		estate license is required.
14		
15		

Counsel for the complainant

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

16

17

18

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. . I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations as to me in the First Amended Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right

	I I							
1	to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in							
2	defense and mitigation of the charges.							
3								
4	7/12/04 Aracli Ostis							
5	DATED ARACELI ORTIZ							
6	Respondent							
7	APPROVED AS TO FORM:							
8								
9	DATED HARRY PASCUZZI							
10	Attorney for Respondent							
11								
12	* * *							
13	mba favorina Obiovlation and Deveronat in bounti							
14	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby							
15								
16	noon on October 14 , 2004.							
17	IT IS SO ORDERED August 5 , 2004.							
18	JOHN R. LIBERATOR							
19	Acting Real Estate Commissioner							
20	Al Alila A							
21	Mrs 11 Sheaton							
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
23								
24								
25								
26								
I								

ARACELI ORTIZ

FILE NO. H-1637 FR

F SEP 2 4 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Thrown contress

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

12

13

14

10

8

2

In the Matter of the Accusation of

HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., et al.,

NO. H-1637 FR

Respondent.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

26

27

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER

On October 28, 2003, an Accusation was filed in this matter.

By Declaration signed July 9, 2004, Respondent HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., by Jerry La Otto Stapp, President, through its Attorney Guyton N. Jinkerson petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender its real estate license(s) pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition of Respondent
HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., for the voluntary surrender of its real
estate license(s) is accepted as of the effective date of this
Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and

agreement expressed in the Declaration of HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., dated July 9, 2004 (attached hereto as Exhibit "A"). This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon October 14 2004. on August 5, 2004. JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner Alm Rhiberator

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of:

) No. H-1637 FR

HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., et al.

Respondent.

DECLARATION

My name is JERRY LA OTTO STAPP and I am an officer of HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. is licensed as a real estate broker corporation, and/or have license rights with respect to said license. HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. is one of the Respondents in the above matter.

HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. and JERRY LA OTTO STAPP are represented by Guyton N. Jinkerson, Attorney at Law, in this matter.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter as to HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the

ANO

Business and Professions Code), HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. wishes to voluntarily surrender its real estate license(s) issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

1

2

3

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. understands that, by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it can only have its license reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code and this Declaration.

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP.'s petition for voluntary surrender. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement that HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. waives all rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations pertaining to it contained in the First Amended Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that it also waives other rights afforded to it in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations pertaining to it in the First Amended Accusation, and the right to cross examine HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. further agrees that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations pertaining to it contained in the First Amended Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-1637 FR may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the



purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of its license pursuant to Government Code Section 11522. In the interests of expedience and economy, HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. chooses not to contest the evidence and allegations, but to remain silent, and its petition for voluntary surrender shall not be construed to be an admission in any subsequent civil or criminal proceeding.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that we are acting freely and voluntarily to surrender the real estate license(s) of HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. and all license rights attached thereto.

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

26

10

11

1

3

5

7

DATED:

7-9-04

HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP. BY

JERRY LA OTTO STAPP, President

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

20 DATED: 7-12-04

Pron n. Jinkerson

Attorney for Respondent

F SEP 2 4 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Fallsteen Contraras

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER

On October 28, 2003, an Accusation was filed in this matter.

By Declaration signed July 9, 2004, Respondent SAUNDRA S. STAPP, through her Attorney Guyton N. Jinkerson petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender her real estate license(s) pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition of Respondent SAUNDRA S. STAPP, for the voluntary surrender of her real estate license(s) is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and

agreement expressed in the Declaration of SAUNDRA S. STAPP, dated July 9, 2004 (attached hereto as Exhibit "A"). This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon October 14 2004. August 5 , 2004. JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner flu R priberto

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

No. H-1637 FR

In the Matter of:

SAUNDRA S. STAPP, et al.

Respondent.

DECLARATION

My name is SAUNDRA S. STAPP and I am currently licensed as a real estate broker, and/or have license rights with respect to said license. I am one of the Respondents in the above matter.

SAUNDRA S. STAPP is represented by Guyton N. Jinkerson, Attorney at Law, in this matter.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter as to SAUNDRA S. STAPP in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Business and Professions Code), I wish to voluntarily surrender my real estate license(s) issued by the Department of Real Estate

("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

I understand that, by so voluntarily surrendering my license(s), I can only have my license reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code and this Declaration.

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as my petition for voluntary surrender. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by me that I waive all rights I have to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations pertaining to me contained in the First Amended Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that I also waive other rights afforded to me in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations pertaining to me in the First Amended Accusation, and the right to cross examine witnesses. I further agree that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations pertaining to me contained in the First Amended Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-1637 FR may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of my license pursuant to Government Code Section 11522. interests of expedience and economy, I choose not to contest the



1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

evidence and allegations, but to remain silent, and my petition for voluntary surrender shall not be construed to be an admission for any civil or criminal purpose.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that I am acting freely and voluntarily to surrender my real estate license(s) and all license rights attached thereto.

DATED: 7-9-04

SAUNDRA S. S' Respondent

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DATED: 7-

GUYTON N. JINKERSO

Attorney for Respondent





BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARACELI ORTIZ, SAUNDRA S. STAPP, JERRY LA OTTO STAPP. AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP., and HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP.,

Respondents

Case No. H-1637 FR

OAH No. N-2003120160

FIRST CONTINUED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at

THE STATE BUILDING

2550 MARIPOSA MALL, ROOM 1027

FRESNO, CALIFORNIA 93721

on JULY 14, 2004, JULY 15, 2004, and JULY 16, 2004, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: MARCH 4, 2004

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

2 DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel (SBN 66322) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 (Direct) (916) 227-0425 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 6 7 8 9 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 No. H-1637 FRESNO ARACELI ORTIZ, 13 SAUNDRA S. STAPP, 14 JERRY LA OTTO STAPP, FIRST AMENDED AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP., ACCUSATION 15 HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., Respondents. 16 17 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real 18 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 19 First Amended Accusation against ARACELI ORTIZ (hereinafter 20 "Respondent ORTIZ"), SAUNDRA S. STAPP (hereinafter "SAUNDRA 21 STAPP"), JERRY LA OTTO STAPP (hereinafter "JERRY STAPP"), 23 AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP (hereinafter "Respondent AMERICAN"), and HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP (hereinafter "Respondent HAVEN"), is 24 informed and alleges as follows: 25

1

111

111

26

Ш

I

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real

Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this First

Amended Accusation in his official capacity.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

ΙI

Respondents ORTIZ, SAUNDRA STAPP, JERRY STAPP,

AMERICAN and HAVEN are presently licensed and/or have license
rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the
California Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code").

TTT

At various times herein mentioned, Respondent ORTIZ was not licensed as a real estate salesperson. Beginning on or about March 2, 2002, and continuing thereafter, Respondent ORTIZ was licensed as a real estate salesperson.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP was licensed as a real estate salesperson in the employ of Respondent AMERICAN. Beginning on or about June 24, 2002, and continuing thereafter, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP was licensed as a real estate broker.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent JERRY STAPP was licensed as a real estate broker and as designated broker-officer for Respondent AMERICAN and Respondent HAVEN.

///

VI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent AMERICAN was licensed as a real estate broker corporation, acting by and through Respondent JERRY STAPP as designated broker-officer.

VII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent HAVEN was licensed as a real estate broker corporation acting by and through Respondent JERRY STAPP as designated broker-officer.

VIII

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of "Respondents", such allegation shall be deemed to mean the act or omission of each of the Respondents named in the caption hereof, acting individually, jointly and severally.

IX'

At various times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of a compensation.

б

Х

At various times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in loan transactions with buyers of residential real property wherein such buyers needed or desired special financial assistance to qualify to borrow purchase money financing to buy their homes, including federal reduced down payment programs and/or reduced financial eligibility programs sponsored by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereafter HUD), wherein HUD and the Federal Housing Administration (hereafter FHA) would insure qualifying purchase money mortgage loans brokered and originated by brokers and lenders approved by HUD to participate in FHA loan programs.

XI

In connection with negotiating the above types of loans, both Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN from time to time acted in the capacity of brokers, and Respondent HAVEN from time to time acted in the capacity of a lender approved to do business with HUD in FHA insured loan programs, wherein Respondents, and each of them, were charged with knowledge of, and obligated to comply with HUD regulations, rules, and guidelines to qualify borrowers for available HUD/FHA programs, including but not limited to underwriting, credit, and minimum cash down payment requirements.

XII

In connection with the transactions alleged above, Respondents AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, and SAUNDRA STAPP

intentionally, recklessly, and/or negligently devised, permitted, and/or ratified courses of conduct to qualify borrowers for such HUD/FHA loan programs, and to induce lenders, HUD and FHA to qualify, approve and insure loans for borrowers or loans that might not otherwise qualify for the above federal program criteria, including but not limited to conduct by which Respondents: (1) prepared and/or ratified preparation of false documentation to verify that borrowers had adequate cash on hand or funds to close escrow; 2) prepared and/or ratified preparation of false documentation that licensed real estate brokers or salespersons interviewed borrowers in face-to-face interviews; (3) submitted or approved submission of such loan documentation to lenders and HUD; and (4) intended lenders and HUD to approve, fund and insure such loans under the HUD/FHA insured loan programs.

XIII

The loan transactions referred to above include but are not limited to the transactions alleged in the Second and Fourth causes of action herein, and which allegations are incorporated herein by this reference.

XIV

The acts and/or omissions as set forth above constitute fraud and dishonest dealing, and constitute cause under Sections 10176(a), 10176(i), 10177(g), and/or 10177(j) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, and SAUNDRA STAPP under the Real Estate Law.

1///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

XV

The allegations contained in Paragraphs I through XIII of the First Cause of Action are hereby incorporated herein.

XVI

Beginning on or about November 6, 2000 and continuing thereafter, Respondent ORTIZ, without a real estate license and while in the employ of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, negotiated a loan on behalf of Raymundo Ruiz Ramirez and Victor Manuel Ruiz (hereinafter "the RUIZ") to be secured by a lien on real property being purchased by the RUIZ commonly known as 454 S. Bush Ave., Fresno, California (hereinafter "the Bush Property").

XVII

On or about November 9, 2000, ORTIZ prepared or assisted in the preparation of a Uniform Residential Loan Application form with the RUIZ. Respondents AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, and SAUNDRA STAPP, pursuant to the plans and conduct alleged in the First Cause of Action above, directly or indirectly instructed ORTIZ, who was not licensed, not to execute the loan application as the representative taking a "face to face" interview with the RUIZ, but to leave it blank. Between about November 9 and December 4, 2000, Respondents AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, and SAUNDRA STAPP directed, caused and/or ratified the execution of the RUIZ application by SAUNDRA STAPP, who was licensed, as an ostensible employee of Respondent

HAVEN, falsely representing that SAUNDRA STAPP took the application.

XVIII

Prior to close of escrow, Respondents determined that the RUIZ would apply for a HUD/FHA insured loan. On or about December 4, 2000, in connection with the loan transaction described above, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP prepared or caused to be prepared a false and fraudulent document wherein Respondent falsely stated that Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP while at the Borrower's residence had seen \$4,400.00 in cash. In truth and in fact Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP never visited the RUIZ residence and did not see \$4,400.00 in cash at said residence.

XIX

Prior to close of escrow, in connection with obtaining a loan for the RUIZ, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP provided or caused to be provided to Haven Mortgage Corporation (hereafter "the Lender") the false and fraudulent documents described in Paragraphs XVII and XVIII above, thereby falsely representing material facts to the Lender in order to induce the Lender into making a loan to the RUIZ for the purchase of the Bush Property.

XX

In addition, or in the alternative to Paragraph XVIII above, prior to close of escrow, in connection with obtaining a loan for the RUIZ, Respondents AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, and SAUNDRA STAPP provided or caused to be provided to the Lender the false and fraudulent documents described in Paragraphs XVII,

XVIII, and XIX above, thereby falsely representing material facts in the loan application package in order to induce HUD/FHA to insure the RUIZ loan originated by HAVEN.

XXI

On or about December 15, 2000, in reliance on the false representations described above, the Lender made said loan to the RUIZ on the purchase of the Bush Property, escrow closed, and HUD agreed to and did insure the loan in the HUD/FHA program.

IIXX

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents

AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, AND SAUNDRA STAPP constitute cause
for suspension or revocation of all licenses and/or license
rights of Respondents under Sections 10176(a), 10176(i),

10177(g), and/or 10177(j) of the Code.

IIIXX

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP constitute her employment by HAVEN for acts for which a license is required during a time when she was employed by another broker, AMERICAN, and constitute cause for disciplinary action under Section 10137 of the Code.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

XXIV

The allegations of Paragraphs XV through XXI of the Second Cause of Action are hereby incorporated herein.

26 | ///

27 11///

XXV

2

1

3

5

6

7

,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 | ///

On or about December 15, 2000, in connection with the RUIZ loan on the purchase of the Bush Property described in the Second Cause of Action above, Stewart Title Company mailed a check in the amount of \$289.11 to the RUIZ.

IVXX

On or before December 20, 2000, Respondent ORTIZ wrongfully obtained said check made payable to the RUIZ.

IIVXX

On or about December 20, 2000, Respondent ORTIZ forged the signatures of the RUIZ on said check and converted said funds to her own use or uses by depositing said check into Respondent ORTIZ' personal bank account.

IIIVXX

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent ORTIZ described above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license and/or license rights of Respondent ORTIZ as follows:

- (1) As to Paragraphs XVI and XVII, under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10130 of the Code, and under Section 10177(f) of the Code.
- (2) As to Paragraphs XXVI and XXVII, under Section 10176(i) of the Code and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XXIX

The allegations contained in Paragraphs I through XIII of the First Cause of Accusation are hereby incorporated herein by this reference.

XXX

Beginning on or about November 20, 2000 and continuing through on or about February 6, 2001, Respondent ORTIZ, without a real estate license and while in the employ of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, negotiated a loan on behalf of Gonzalo Tamayo and Veronica Alaniz (hereinafter "the Borrowers") to be secured by a lien on real property commonly known as 1536 W. Thomas Avenue, Fresno, California (hereinafter "the Thomas Property").

XXXI

On or about November 20, 2000, ORTIZ prepared or assisted in the preparation of a Uniform Residential Loan Application form with the RUIZ. Respondents AMERICAN, HAVEN, JERRY STAPP, and SAUNDRA STAPP, pursuant to the plans and conduct alleged in the First Cause of Action above, directly or indirectly instructed ORTIZ, who was not licensed, not to execute the loan application as the representative taking a "face to face" interview with the RUIZ, but to leave it blank. Between about November 20 and close of escrow, Respondents AMERICAN and JERRY STAPP directed, caused and/or ratified the execution of the RUIZ application by JERRY STAPP, who was

licensed, as the representative of Respondent AMERICAN, falsely representing that JERRY STAPP took the application.

IIXXX

Prior to close of escrow, Respondents determined that the borrowers would apply for a HUD/FHA loan. Prior to close of escrow, in connection with obtaining a loan for the Borrowers, Respondents AMERICAN and JERRY STAPP provided or caused to be provided to the Lender the false and fraudulent documents described in Paragraph XXX above, thereby falsely representing material facts in the loan application package in order to induce the Lender and HUD/FHA to originate and insure the Borrowers' loan. In reliance thereon the loan was funded and on or about February 6, 2001, escrow closed on said mortgage loan insured by HUD/FHA.

XXXIII

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent ORTIZ described in this Third Cause of Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license of Respondent ORTIZ under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10130 of the Code, and Section 10177(f) of the Code.

VIXXX

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents

AMERICAN and JERRY STAPP constitute cause for suspension or revocation of all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents under Sections 10176(a), 10176(i), 10177(g), and/or 10177(j) of the Code.

 \parallel / / /

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

VXXX

All of the allegations contained in the First Cause of Accusation above are hereby incorporated herein.

IVXXX

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of the Accusation and continuing through on or after February 6, 2001, in connection with the mortgage loan brokerage activities described in herein above in the First, Second, Third, and Fourth Causes of Accusation, Respondents JERRY STAPP, AMERICAN and HAVEN employed and compensated, directly or indirectly, Respondent ORTIZ to perform acts requiring a real estate license at a time when Respondent ORTIZ was not licensed by the Department of Real Estate.

IIVXXX

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of the Accusation and continuing at various times thereafter, Respondent JERRY STAPP, as designated broker-officer for Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN required by Section 10159.2 of the Code, in that Respondent JERRY STAPP permitted Respondent ORTIZ, an employee of Respondent AMERICAN and HAVEN, at a time when Respondent ORTIZ was not licensed by the Department of Real Estate, to conduct the mortgage loan brokerage activities described in the First Cause of Accusation and the Second Cause of Accusation herein above.

IIIVXXX

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of the Accusation and continuing at various times thereafter, Respondent JERRY STAPP, as designated broker-officer for Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN required by Section 10159.2 of the In particular, STAPP caused, permitted, and/or ratified the conduct described in each and every cause of action above, and/or failed to take reasonable steps to implement effective supervision that would have prevented it, including but not limited to both the establishment of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect and manage the activities of the company, including but not limited to the solicitation and negotiation of secured loans by duly licensed employees, the qualification of borrowers for HUD/FHA loans, the preparation of truthful and accurate loan applications and supporting documentation, and the submission of such loan packages to lenders, HUD, and FHA; and the establishment of a system for monitoring compliance with such policies, rules, procedures, and systems, to ensure compliance by the company with the Real Estate Law.

XXXXX

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents JERRY STAPP,

AMERICAN and HAVEN as described in this Third Cause of

Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

licenses and/or license rights of Respondents JERRY STAPP,

AMERICAN and HAVEN under the following Sections of the Code:

- (1) As to Paragraph XXXVI, under Section 10137 of the Code as to Respondents JERRY STAPP, AMERICAN and HAVEN; and
- (2) As to Paragraphs XXXVII and XXXVIII, under Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 2725 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations as to Respondent JERRY STAPP.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this <u>a5</u> day of February, 2004.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE DEC 3 0 2003 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARACELI ORTIZ, SAUNDRA S. STAPP, JERRY LA OTTO STAPP, AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP., HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP.,

Respondents

Case No. H-1637 FRESNO

OAH No. N-2003120160

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE STATE BUILDING, 2550 MARIPOSA MALL, ROOM 1038, FRESNO, CA 93721 on MARCH 3 - 5, 2004, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DRE L. JOHNSØN, Counsel

Dated: DECEMBER 30, 2003

DAVID A. PETERS, Counsel (SBN 99528) 1 Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187000 OCT 2 8 2003 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 DEPARTMENT OF REALESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 (916) 227-0781 (Direct) -or-5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-1637 FRESNO ARACELI ORTIZ, 12 SAUNDRA S. STAPP, ACCUSATION 13 JERRY LA OTTO STAPP, AMERICAN GOLD MORTGAGE CORP., 14 HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP., Respondents. 15 16 17 The Complainant, John Sweeney, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 18 19 against ARACELI ORTIZ (hereinafter "Respondent ORTIZ"), SAUNDRA S. STAPP (hereinafter "SAUNDRA STAPP"), JERRY LA OTTO 20 STAPP (hereinafter "JERRY STAPP"), AMERICAN GOLDMORTGAGE CORP 21 (hereinafter "Respondent AMERICAN"), and HAVEN MORTGAGE CORP 22 (hereinafter "Respondent HAVEN"), is informed and alleges as follows: 24 25 111 26 111

27

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

Ι

The Complainant, John Sweeney, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

ΙI

Respondents ORTIZ, SAUNDRA STAPP, JERRY STAPP, AMERICAN and HAVEN are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code").

III

At various times herein mentioned, Respondent ORTIZ was not licensed as a real estate salesperson. Beginning on or about March 2, 2002, and continuing thereafter, Respondent ORTIZ was licensed as a real estate salesperson.

IV

At all times herein mentioned Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP was licensed as a real estate salesperson in the employ of Respondent AMERICAN. Beginning on or about June 24, 2002, and continuing thereafter, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP was licensed as a real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent JERRY STAPP was licensed as a real estate broker and as designated broker-officer for Respondent AMERICAN and Respondent HAVEN.

111

27 111

2 -

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

VI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent AMERICAN was licensed as a real estate broker corporation, acting by and through Respondent JERRY STAPP as designated broker-officer.

VII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent HAVEN was licensed as a real estate broker corporation acting by and through Respondent JERRY STAPP as designated broker-officer.

VIII

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of "Respondents", such allegation shall be deemed to mean the act or omission of each of the Respondents named in the caption hereof, acting individually, jointly and severally.

IX

At various times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of a compensation.

7 11///

_ 3 -

_

_

2

1

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

111

111

111

26

27

Beginning on or about November 6, 2000 and continuing thereafter, Respondent ORTIZ, without a real estate license and while in the employ of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, negotiated a loan on behalf of Raymundo Ruiz and Victor Manuel Ruiz (hereinafter "the RUIZ") to be secured by a lien on real property being purchased by the RUIZ commonly known as 454 S. Bush Ave., Fresno, California (hereinafter "the Bush Property").

XI

On or about December 4, 2000, in connection with the loan transaction described in Paragraph X above, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP prepared a false and fraudulent document wherein Respondent falsely stated that Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP while at the Borrower's residence had seen \$4,400.00 in cash. and in facts Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP never visited the RUIZ residence and did not see \$4,400.00 in cash at said residence.

XII

On or before December 15, 2000, in connection with obtaining a loan for the RUIZ, Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP provided or caused to be provided to Haven Mortgage Corporation (hereinafter "the Lender") the false and fraudulent document described in Paragraph XI above, thereby falsely representing a material fact to the Lender in order to induce the Lender into making a loan on the purchase of the Bush Property.

XIII

On or about December 12, 2000, in reliance on the false representation described in Paragraphs XI and XII above, the Lender made said loan to the RUIZ on the purchase of the Bush Property.

XIV

On or about December 15, 2000, in connection with the loan on the purchase of the Bush Property described above, Stewart Title Company mailed a check in the amount of \$289.11 to the RUIZ.

VX

On or before December 20, 2000, Respondent ORTIZ wrongfully obtained said check made payable to the RUIZ.

XVI

On or about December 20, 2000, Respondent ORTIZ forged the signatures of the RUIZ on said check and converted said funds to her own use or uses by depositing said check into Respondent ORTIZ' personal bank account.

XVII

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents ORTIZ and SAUNDRA STAPP described above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and/or license rights of Respondents ORTIZ and SAUNDRA STAPP under the following sections of the Code:

(1) As to Paragraph X, under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10130 of the Code and Section 10177(f) of the Code as to Respondent ORTIZ;

(2) As to Paragraph XI, under Section 10176(i) of the Code and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code as to Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP;

(3) As to Paragraph XII, under Section 10176(a) of

(3) As to Paragraph XII, under Section 10176(a) of the Code and Section 10176(i) of the Code and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code as to Respondent SAUNDRA STAPP; and

(4) As to Paragraph XV and XVI, under Section 10176(i) of the Code and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code as to Respondent ORTIZ.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XVIII

There is hereby incorporated in this second, separate and distinct cause of accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through IX of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XIX

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of this Accusation through on or about March 2, 2002, Respondent ORTIZ, at a time when Respondent ORTIZ was unlicensed by the Department of Real Estate and while in the employ of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate salesperson in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured

directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of a compensation within the meaning of Section 10132 of the Code.

XX

Beginning on or about November 20, 2000 and continuing through on or about February 6, 2001, Respondent ORTIZ, without a real estate license and while in the employ of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, negotiated a loan on behalf of Gonzalo Tamayo and Veronica Alaniz (hereinafter "the Borrowers") to be secured by a lien on real property commonly known as 1536 W. Thomas Avenue, Fresno, California (hereinafter "the Thomas Property").

XXI

On or about February 6, 2001, escrow closed on said mortgage loan.

IIXX

The acts and or omissions of Respondent ORTIZ described in this Second Cause of Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license of Respondent ORTIZ under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10130 of the Code and Section 10177(f) of the Code.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

IIIXX

There is hereby incorporated in the third, separate and distinct cause of accusation, all of the allegations

- 7 -

_

วา

contained in Paragraphs I through IX of the First Cause of Accusation and Paragraphs XIX and XX of the Second Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

VIXX

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of this Accusation and continuing through on or after February 6, 2001, in connection with the mortgage loan brokerage activities of Respondent ORTIZ described in hereinabove in the First Cause of Accusation and the Second Cause of Accusation, Respondents JERRY STAPP, AMERICAN and HAVEN employed and compensated, directly or indirectly Respondent ORTIZ to perform acts requiring a real estate license at a time when Respondent ORTIZ was not licensed by the Department of Real Estate.

XXV

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of this Accusation and continuing at various times thereafter, Respondent JERRY STAPP, as designated broker-officer for Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN, failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of Respondents AMERICAN and HAVEN required by Section 10159.2 of the Code in that Respondent JERRY STAPP permitted Respondent ORTIZ, an employee of Respondent AMERICAN and HAVEN, at a time when Respondent ORTIZ was not licensed by the Department of Real Estate, to conduct the mortgage loan brokerage activities described in the First Cause of Accusation and the Second Cause of Accusation herein above.

. XXVI

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents JERRY STAPP
AMERICAN and HAVEN as described in this Third Cause of
Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
licenses and/or license rights of Respondents JERRY STAPP,
AMERICAN and HAVEN under the following Sections of the Code:

- (1) As to Paragraph XXIV, under Section 10137 of the Code as to Respondents JERRY STAPP, AMERICAN and HAVEN; and
- (2) As to Paragraph XXV, under Section 10177(h) and or Section 10177(g) of the Code as to Respondent JERRY STAPP.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

JOHN SWEENEY

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Fresno, California,

this 24th day of October, 2003.