10		
LU		Department of Real Estate
O	າ	107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, CA 90012 FEE-5 1993
γ	3	(213) 897-3937 DEPARIMENT OF REAL ESENTE
. V	4	Jama B. Osan
	5	
	6	
	7	
8		DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10	* * * *
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-1386 SA
,	12) STUART-WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., ') <u>STIPULATION AND</u>
	13	and LEON W. CRAGER as designated) <u>AGREEMENT IN</u> officer of said corporation,) <u>SETTLEMENT AND ORDER</u>
	.14	and BRIJ SOOD, formerly) designated officer of said)
5 - -	15	corporation,
2.4	16	Respondents.)
	17	It is hereby stipulated by and between STUART-WRIGHT
¦ ♥ • •,	18	MORTGAGE, INC., LEON W. CRAGER and BRIJ SOOD (sometimes referred
	19	to as Respondents), and their attorney of record, Daniel C.
ι,	20	Carlton, and the Complainant, acting by and through James R.
•	21	Peel, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for
	22	the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on
	23	May 27, 1992 in this matter:
	24	1. All issues which were to be contested and all
•	25	evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and
	26	Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing
	27	was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the
COURT PAPER		

>

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

85 34769

- 1 -

.

Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement.

2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

On June 10, 1992, Respondents filed a Notice of 8 3. Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the 9 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 10 Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw 11 said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they 12 understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they 13 thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove 14 the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 15 accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will 16 waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the 17 hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 18 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 19 20 witnesses.

4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth
 below, hereby admit that the factual allegations of the
 Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the
 Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide
 further evidence of such allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in

- 2 -

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

1 Settlement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the 2 penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and 3 license rights as set forth below in the "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the 4 Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of 5 no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing 6 and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA 7 and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein. 8

9 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real
10 Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and
11 Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger
12 or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the
13 Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were
14 not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
15 proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The conduct of Respondents, as found above, is grounds for the suspension or revocation of all of the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provision of Section 10177(g) of the Business and Professions Code.

- 3 -

Ι

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORDER

. ..

٦.

• •	<u> </u>	ORDER	
v	2	1. A. The real estate broker licenses and licensing	
	3	rights of STUART-WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., are hereby revoked.	
	4	B. A restricted real estate broker license shall	
	5	be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the	
	6	Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application	
	7	therefor, and pays to the Department of Real Estate the	
	8	appropriate fee for said license within 90 days from the	
	9	effective date of the Order herein. The restricted license	
	10	issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions	
· • .	. 11	of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to	
	12	the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed	
	13	under authority of Section 10156.6 of the Code.	
	14	(1) The restricted license may be suspended prior to	
	15	and pending final determination after formal hearing, by Order	
	16	of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's	
	17	conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a	
	18	significant relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as	
	19	a real estate licensee.	
	20	(2) The restricted license may be suspended, prior to	
	21	and pending final determination after formal hearing, by order	
	22	of the Real Estate Commissioner based upon evidence satisfactory	
• .	23	to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of	
,	24	the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law,	
	25	Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions	
	26	attaching to the restricted license.	
	27		
COURT PAPER	2		
STD. 113 (REV.		- 4 -	
85 34769			

ι.

.

(3) Respondent shall be required to pay to the 1 Department of Real Estate the cost of any audit conducted by the 2 Department of Respondent's books and records performed within 3 one year from the date of issuance of the restricted license. 4 The cost of the audit to be determined by the Department. 5 Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the (4) 6 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal 7 of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of the 8 restricted license until at least one year has elapsed from the 9 10 date of this Order. 11 The real estate broker licenses 2. Α. and licensing rights of LEON W. CRAGER are hereby revoked. 12 A restricted real estate broker license shall в. 13 be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the -14 Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application 15 therefor, and pays to the Department of Real Estate the 16 appropriate fee for said license within 90 days from the 17 effective date of the Order herein. The restricted license 18 issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions 19 of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to 20 the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed 21 under authority of Section 10156.6 of the Code. 22 The restricted license may be suspended prior to (1)23 and pending final determination after formal hearing, by Order 24 of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's 25 conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a 26 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

- 5 -

significant relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

3 (2) The restricted license may be suspended, prior to
4 and pending final determination after formal hearing, by order
5 of the Real Estate Commissioner based upon evidence satisfactory
6 to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of
7 the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law,
8 Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions
9 attaching to the restricted license.

10 (3) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 11 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal 12 of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of the 13 restricted license until at least one year has elapsed from the 14 date of this Order.

(4) Respondent shall, within 12 months from the 15 effective date of the Order herein, present evidence 16 satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that he has, since 17 the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate 18 license, taken and successfully completed the continuing 19 education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real 20 Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent 21 fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the 22 suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent 23 presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford 24 Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the 25 Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. 26

- 6 -

27

1

2

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

(5) Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

3. A. All real estate broker licenses and licensing
 rights of BRIJ SOOD are hereby suspended for thirty (30) days.
 B. Said suspension shall be permanently stayed in

the event Respondent, pursuant to his petition pays to the Department of Real Estate, the sum of \$1,000 prior to the effective date of this Order with a cashier's check made payable to the Department of Real Estate-Recovery Fund.

15 DATED: 1- 12-93

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

* * * * I have read the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently

ounsel for Complainant

and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

- 7 -

cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 1 2 defense and mitigation of the charges. DATED: 2-21-92 3 STUART WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC. Respondent 4 5 DATED: 12-29-92 LEON W. 6 Respondent 7 DATED: 12-22-92 8 BRIJ SOOD Respondent 9 10 DATED: C. CARLTON 11 ÍEL. DAH Counsel for Respondents 12 13 14 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement 15 is hereby adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become 16 effective at 12 o' clock noon on February 25 ____, 1993__. 17 January_ , 19**893** IT IS SO ORDERED 26 . 18 19 CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner 20 21 22 lbo 23 John R. Liberator **Chief Deputy Commissioner** 24 25 26 27 COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72) - 8 -85 34769



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OAH No.

In the Matter of the Accusation of

STUART-WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., et al.,

Case No.	<u>H-1386</u>	SA

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at _____

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

314 W. FIRST STREET, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

on <u>OCTOBER 30, 1992</u>

or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

Dated: ____June 24, 1992

cc: Stuart-Wright Mortgage, Inc. Leon W. Crager Brij Sood Daniel L. Carlton, Esg. Sacto, OAH, DKB DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Di poli	JAMES R. PEEL, Counsel		
0 2	107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, CA 90012		
3	(213) 897-3937 DEFANCE OF REAL ESTATE		
4	Juna B. Ohm		
5			
6			
7			
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-1386 SA		
12	STUART-WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC.,) ACCUSATION		
13	and LEON W. CRAGER as designated) officer of said corporation,)		
14	and BRIJ SOOD, formerly) designated officer of said)		
15	corporation,)		
16	Respondents.)		
17			
18	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate		
19	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation		
20	against STUART-WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., and LEON W. CRAGER as		
21	designated officer of said corporation, and BRIJ SOOD, formerly		
22	designated officer of said corporation, alleges as follows:		
23	I ·		
24	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate		
25	Commissioner of the State of California makes this Accusation in		
26	his official capacity.		
27	/		
	/		
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)	·		
85 34769	- 1 -		

2 STUART-WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., and LEON W. CRAGER as 3 designated officer of said corporation, and BRIJ SOOD, formerly 4 designated officer of said corporation (hereinafter jointly 5 referred to as respondents) are presently licensed and/or have 6 license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of 7 the California Business and Professions Code, hereinafter Code). 8 III 9 At all times herein mentioned, respondent STUART-10 WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., was licensed by the Department of Real 11 Estate of the State of California (hereinafter Department) as a real estate broker corporation. Respondent BRIJ SOOD was licensed 12 13 as the designated broker officer of said corporation from on or about October 1, 1990, through on or about March 6, 1991. 14 15 Respondent LEON W. CRAGER was licensed as the designated broker officer of said corporation from on or about March 6, 1991, to 16 date of the filing of this Accusation. 17 IV 18 At all times herein mentioned, respondent engaged in the 19 business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to 20 act as a real estate broker in the State of California within the 21 meaning of Section 10131(d) & (e) of the Code, including 22 soliciting borrowers or lenders for or negotiating loans or 23 servicing loans in connection with loans secured directly by liens 24 on real property on behalf of others for or in expectation of 25 26 compensation. 27

II

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

- 2 -

1 2 During 1990 and 1991, in connection with the aforesaid 3 real estate brokerage activities, respondents accepted or received 4 funds from borrowers and lenders and thereafter made disbursements 5 of such funds. These funds were deposited by respondents in their 6 Freddie Mac Trust Account (T/A 1) and Impound Account (T/A 2), 7 Guardian Bank, 800 South Figueroa, Los Angeles, California. 8 VI 9 In connection with respondents' activities as a real 10 estate broker as described above respondents acted in violation of 11 the Real Estate Law, Business and Professions Code (hereinafter Code), and California Code of Regulations (hereinafter 12 Regulations), Title 10, Chapter 6, as follows: 13 Respondents violated Section 10177(g) of the Code by 1. - 14 maintaining inadequate accounting records with the result that the 15 accountability of T/A 1 and T/A 2 could not be determined. 16 Respondents violated Regulation 2830 in that T/A 2 17 2. 18 was not in the broker's name as trustee. 19 3. Respondents violated Regulation 2831 in that the control records for T/A 1 and T/A 2 did not include the date funds 20 were received, from whom the funds were received, and the check 21 number of each disbursement. 22 Respondents violated Regulation 2831.1 in that the 23 4. separate records for T/A 2 did not identify which funds were being 24 held in T/A 1, which funds were being held in T/A 2, and the check 25 number of each disbursement. 26 27

v

COURT PAPER TE OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 8-72)

- 3 -

5. Respondents violated Regulation 2831.2 by failing to perform a reconciliation of records maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831 with records maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1.

6. Respondents violated Section 10145(d) of the Code in
that T/A 1 and T/A 2 were interest bearing accounts which held
funds for multiple beneficiaries, and the interest earned was
withdrawn from both accounts and deposited into the corporate
general account without the permission of the beneficiaries who
were the rightful owners of the funds.

11 7. Respondents violated Section 10145(a) of the Code by
12 depositing credit report and appraisal fees collected from loan
13 applicants into the corporate general account.

14 8. Respondents violated Section 10137 of the Code by
15 employing and compensating unlicensed individuals for activities
16 requiring a real estate license.

17 9. Respondents violated Section 10240 of the Code by
18 not providing a Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement to borrowers
19 when applicable.

20 10. Respondents violated Regulation 2741(b) by
21 employing Bruce Rodriguez as a real estate salesperson during a
22 period of time when he was an officer of the corporation.

23 11. Respondents violated Section 10160 of the Code by
24 not retaining in their possession the license of each employed
25 salesperson during the period of such employment.

26 27

1

2

3

4

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

- 4 -

1 12. Respondents violated Regulation 2726 by failing to maintain a signed broker-salesperson relationship agreement with 3 each salesperson in their employment.

5 The conduct of respondents, as alleged above, subjects 6 their real estate licenses and license rights to suspension or 7 revocation pursuant to Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h) of 8 the Code.

10 " WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be conducted 11 on the allegations of this Accusation and, that upon proof 12 thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action 13 against all licenses and license rights of respondent STUART-14 WRIGHT MORTGAGE, INC., and LEON W. CRAGER as designated officer of 15 said corporation, and BRIJ SOOD, formerly designated officer of 16 said corporation, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 17 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and 18 further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions 19 of law. 20 Dated at Santa Ana, California 21 this 27th day of May, 1992. THOMAS MCCRADY 22 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 23 24 cc: Stuart-Wright Mortgage Inc. Leon W. Crager 25 Brij Sood Sacto. 26 DKB 27 lbo

2

4