JUN 1 0 1998

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Khelekhly

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-1329 SA

JOHN NORMAN BELL

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On April 3, 1993, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, JOHN NORMAN BELL (hereinafter "Respondent"), effective April 29, 1993. In said Decision Respondent was given the right to apply for and receive a restricted real estate broker license which was issued to him on April 29, 1993, and then immediately suspended for a period of six months.

On or about May 28, 1997, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

demonstrated to my satisfaction that grounds do not presently 1 exist to deny the issuance of an unrestricted real estate 2 broker license to Respondent. 3 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that an 5

unrestricted real estate broker license be issued to Respondent, JOHN NORMAN BELL, after Respondent satisfies the following conditions within one (1) year from the date of this Order:

Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.

Submittal of evidence satisfactory to the Real 2. Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since his restricted license was last renewed, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate <u>license.</u>

> This Order shall become effective immediately. DATED:

> > JIM ANTT, JR.

Real Estate Commissioner

JOHN NORMAN BELL 306 Lexington Cr.

Newport Beach, California

27

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 .

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

113 (REV. 3-95)

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



By trily Takedo

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY HARRIS, Each Individually Doing Business as Bankers Capital Association,

Respondents.

NO. H-1329 SA

AMENDED STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

It is hereby stipulated by and between JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY HARRIS (sometimes referred to as Respondents), and the Complainant, acting by and through Susan Y. Bennett, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows, for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on March 3, 1992:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

FILE NO. H-1329 SA (AMENDED)

-1-

JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY HARRIS

5

11 12

13

10

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

- Respondents have received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- On March 13, 1992, Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense, they waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in Paragraphs II through XVII, and Paragraphs XXII and XXIII of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate
Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his
decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions
on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set
forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in
his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and the Agreement in
Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents
shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the
Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be
bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity.

111

1

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER

1

.3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

•

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

FILE NO. H-1329 SA (AMENDED)

Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, herein "the Code").

III

At all times mentioned herein, Respondents JOHN NORMAN BELL ("BELL") and LEE KELLY HARRIS ("HARRIS") were and now are licensed by the Department as real estate brokers.

IV

All further references herein to "Respondents" include the parties identified in Paragraph III, above, and also include the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with said parties and who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

v

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2 of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation, and

also including the operation of an advance fee mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents charged, received, collected and contracted for the collection of an advance fee in connection with employment undertaken to obtain loans secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity.

VI

In connection with the aforesaid mortgage loan brokerage activities, throughout a period unknown to the Real Estate Commissioner, but well known to Respondents, including but not limited to the period from on or about March 8, 1990, through on or about June 7, 1991, Respondents claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected, and contracted for fees in advance from borrowers for services Respondents were to perform thereafter, including but not limited to soliciting lenders for and negotiating loans to be secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity.

VII

The agreements, advance fees, loans, and borrowers described in Paragraph VI above included, but were not limited to:

(a) An \$85,000.00 advance fee claimed, demanded, charged, and contracted for by Respondents (of which Respondents actually received at least \$42,500.00) on or about March 29, 1990, from Larry and Mark Furer doing business as Prospect Plaza Associates for services Respondents were to perform thereafter soliciting lenders for and negotiating an \$8,500,000.00 loan to Larry and Mark Furer to be secured by a lien encumbering real

 σ

property consisting of Prospect Plaza, a 5.5-acre office park located at 475 Prospect Avenue, West Orange, New Jersey; and,

An \$85,000.00 advance fee claimed, demanded, (b) charged, and contracted for by Respondents (of which Respondents actually received at least \$8,458.00) on or about April 9, 1990, from Paul Sweetman and Country Wide Construction Co., Inc., for services Respondents were to perform thereafter soliciting lenders for and negotiating an \$8,500,000.00 loan to Paul Sweetman and Country Wide Construction Co., Inc., to be secured by a lien encumbering real property consisting of Sea Breezes Estates, a 36.721-acre parcel of undeveloped land at Napaegue Beach, Amangansett, East Hampton, New York.

VIII

The fees described in Paragraph VII above, which were claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected, or contracted for by Respondents were for compensation advanced or to be advanced to Respondents for soliciting lenders for and for negotiating loans to be secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity, and as such were "advance fees" as that term is used in Sections 10026, 10085, and 10131.2 of the Code, and Section 2970 of the Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations") in conjunction with Section 10085 of the Code.

IX

The agreements for those advance fees were each an "advance fee agreement" as that term is used in Section 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations.

///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

borrowers, Respondents and the borrowers signed "Applica-

In obtaining each advance fee agreement from the

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 8-72)

FILE NO. H-1329 SA (AMENDED)

tion/Commission Agreement" and "Commitment Letter" forms under which Respondents undertook to solicit lenders for, and arrange or attempt to arrange a loan for the borrowers to be secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity. The "Application/Commission Agreement" and "Commitment Letter" forms so used to obtain the advance fee agreements were "advance fee materials" as that term is used in Section 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations.

Respondents collected the advance fees described in Paragraph VII, above, from the borrowers without first submitting the "Application/Commission Agreement" and "Commitment Letter" forms for review and approval by the Commissioner as required by Section 2970 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10085 of the Code.

XII

At all times mentioned herein, in connection with the advance fee mortgage loan brokerage activities described in Paragraphs V through VII, inclusive, above, Respondents accepted or received advance fees in trust ("trust funds") from or on behalf of prospective borrowers and thereafter made disbursement of said trust funds. Said trust funds were maintained by Respondents in bank accounts, including but not necessarily limited to Account Number 7306333011, located at Household Bank,

MacArthur Blvd., Newport Beach, California ("said bank account"), an ordinary commercial account and not an account maintained in the name of Respondents, or either of them, as trustee.

XIII

On or about June 7, 1991, the Department completed an examination of Respondents' books and records pertaining to Respondents' activities, as described in Paragraphs II through X, above, for the fifteen-month period ending March 31, 1991, which revealed violations of the Code and Regulations, as set forth in Paragraphs XIV through XVII, below.

XIV

In connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph XII, above, during the fifteen-month period described in Paragraph XIII above:

- (a) Respondents deposited advance fees entrusted to Respondents in said bank account described in Paragraph XII, above, thereby failing to effect compliance with Section 10146 of the Code, by placing said funds into a trust account at a bank or other recognized depository;
- (b) Respondents caused or permitted the withdrawal or disbursement of advance fees entrusted to Respondents from said bank account, thereby reducing the balance in said account as of March 31, 1991, to an amount which was \$63,955.04 less than Respondents' liability to all owners of the advance fees entrusted to Respondents deposited in said account, without the prior written consent of all said owners, thereby violating Section 2832.1 of the Regulations; and,

(c) Respondents failed to account to the owners of advance fees entrusted to Respondents as required by Section 10146 of the Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations.

ΧV

In connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph XII, above, during the fifteen-month period described in Paragraph XIII, above, Respondents deposited Respondents' own money with advance fees entrusted to Respondents.

IVX

In connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph XII, above, during the fifteen-month period described in Paragraph XIII, Respondents disbursed advance fees entrusted to Respondents that were deposited in said bank account for Respondents' personal or business expenses, thereby fraudulently converting approximately \$63,955.04 to Respondents' own use and benefit or for purposes not authorized by the rightful owners of said trust funds.

XVII

In the course of the activities described in Paragraphs
V through XV, above, Respondents used the fictitious name "Bankers
Capital Association." At no time mentioned herein did Respondent
HARRIS obtain or maintain a license from the Department bearing
the fictitious name "Bankers Capital Association."

IIIVX

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraphs XI through XIV, above, violated the Code and Regulations as set forth below:

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

• 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	PARAGRAPHS PRO	VISIONS VIOLATED				
2	§ <u>29</u>	085 of the Code and 70 of the Regulations;				
3	XIV(a) § <u>10</u>	146 of the Code;				
4	XIV(b) §28	32.1 of the Regulations;				
5 6	XIV(c) §10	146 of the Code and 72 of the Regulations				
7	Each of the foregoing violations separately constitutes					
8	cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate license					
9	and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Section					
10	<u>10177(d)</u> of the Code.					
11	xix					
12	2 The acts and omissions of Respondents described in					
13	Paragraph XV, above, constitute cause for the suspension or					
14	revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of					
15	Respondents under the provisions of Section 10176(e) of the Code.					
16	xx					
17	The acts and omissions of Respondents described in					
18	Paragraph XVI, above, constitute cause	for the suspension or				
19	revocation of all real estate licenses	and license rights of				
20	Respondents under the provisions of Sec	tion 10176(i) of the Code.				
21	xxI					
22	The acts and omissions of Respondent HARRIS described					
23	in Paragraph XVII, above, violated Section 2731(a) of the					
24	Regulations in conjunction with Section	10159.5 of the Code, and				
25	are cause for the suspension or revocat	ion of all real estate				
26	licenses and license rights of Respondent HARRIS under the					
27 provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.						

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

Ι

1

.3

4 5

6

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

FILE NO. H-1329 SA (AMENDED)

-11-

JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY HARRIS

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JOHN NORMAN BELL under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and Professions Code, if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this The restricted license issued to Respondent BELL shall be Order. subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of the Code:

The restricted license issued to Respondent BELL may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent BELL's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent BELL's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

B. The restricted license issued to Respondent BELL may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent BELL has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 8-72)

- D. Respondent BELL shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Order, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent BELL has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent BELL fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent BELL presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent BELL the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- E. Respondent BELL shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Order, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent BELL fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent BELL passes the examination.
- Respondent BELL pursuant to this Order shall be suspended for six

 (6) months from the date of issuance of said restricted license.

11

12

13

17

16

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

111

OURT PAPER TE OF CALIFORNIA

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent LEE KELLY HARRIS under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and Professions Code, if Respondent HARRIS makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Order. The restricted license issued to Respondent HARRIS shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and, the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of the Code:

A. The restricted license issued to Respondent HARRIS may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent HARRIS' conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent HARRIS' fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

В. The restricted license issued to Respondent HARRIS may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent HARRIS has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

-13-

- p. Respondent HARRIS shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Order, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent HARRIS has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent HARRIS fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent HARRIS presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent HARRIS the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- E. Respondent HARRIS shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Order, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department, including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent HARRIS fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent HARRIS passes the examination.

(7

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 8-72) Any restricted real estate license issued to

COURT PAPER STATE OF GALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

27

1

HARRIS

Respondent

* *

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 29 , 1993.

CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner

CHIAOOoce

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 8-72)

Bar

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APR-1 1992

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY HARRIS, etc.,

OAH No. L-56730

Case No. H-1329 SA

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 314 W. First Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

on Mon., October 26 and Tues., October 27, 1992, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

Dated: April 1, 1992

cc: John Norman Bell Lee Kelly Harris

Sacto.

OAH

BSV

RE 501 (1/92)

DERARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

JAMES L. BEAVER, Couns

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

COURT PAPER

James L. Beaver, Counsel Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Telephone (213) 897-3937

MAR - 3 1992

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY) HARRIS, each individually and doing business as Bankers Capital Association,

Respondents.

No. H-1329 SA

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, THOMAS McCRADY, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against JOHN NORMAN BELL and LEE KELLY HARRIS (herein "Respondents"), each individually and doing business as Bankers Capital Association, is informed and alleges as follows:

The term "the Regulations" as used herein refers to the provisions of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

II

The Complainant, THOMAS McCRADY, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity.

III

Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, herein "the Code").

IV

At all times mentioned herein Respondents JOHN NORMAN
BELL (herein "BELL") and LEE KELLY HARRIS (herein "HARRIS") were
and now are licensed by the Department as real estate brokers.

V

All further references herein to "Respondents" include the parties identified in Paragraph IV, above, and also include the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with said parties and who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

VI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2 of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation, and

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

also including the operation of an advance fee mortgage loan
brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents charged,
received, collected and contracted for the collection of an
advance fee in connection with employment undertaken to obtain
loans secured by a lien on real property or a business
opportunity.

VII

In connection with the aforesaid mortgage loan brokerage activities, throughout a period unknown to the Real Estate Commissioner, but well known to Respondents, including but not limited to the period from on or about March 8, 1990, through on or about June 7, 1991, Respondents claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected, and contracted for fees in advance from borrowers for services Respondents were to perform thereafter, including but not limited to soliciting lenders for and negotiating loans to be secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity.

VIII

The agreements, advance fees, loans, and borrowers described in Paragraph V, above, included, but were not limited to:

(a) an \$85,000 advance fee claimed, demanded, charged, and contracted for by Respondents (of which Respondents actually received at least \$42,500) on or about March 29, 1990, from Larry and Mark Furer doing business as Prospect Plaza Associates for services Respondents were to perform thereafter soliciting lenders for and negotiating an \$8,500,000.00 loan to Larry and Mark Furer

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1.9

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72) to be secured by a lien encumbering real property consisting of Prospect Plaza, a 5.5 acre office park at 475 Prospect Avenue, West Orange, New Jersey;

- (b) an \$85,000 advance fee claimed, demanded, charged, and contracted for by Respondents (of which Respondents actually received at least \$8,459) on or about April 9, 1990, from Paul Sweetman and Country Wide Construction Co., Inc. for services Respondents were to perform thereafter soliciting lenders for and negotiating an \$8,500,000.00 loan to Paul Sweetman and Country Wide Construction Co., Inc. to be secured by a lien encumbering real property consisting of Sea Breeze Estates, a 36.721 acre parcel of undeveloped land at Napeague Beach, Amagansett, East Hampton, New York;
- (c) a \$50,000 advance fee claimed, demanded, charged, and contracted for by Respondents on or about May 21, 1990, from Joseph Spezzano and Joseph Spezzano, Inc. for services Respondents were to perform thereafter soliciting lenders for and negotiating an \$5,000,000.00 loan to Joseph Spezzano and Joseph Spezzano, Inc. to be secured by a lien encumbering real property consisting of Riverside Dairy Farm, 209-223 Totoket Road, North Branford, Connecticut.

IX

The fees described above claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected, or contracted for by Respondents were for compensation advanced or to be advanced to Respondents for soliciting lenders for and for negotiating loans to be secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity, and as such

were "advance fees" as that term is used in Sections 10026, 10085, and 10131.2, of the Code, and Section 2970 of the Regulations, and as such were also "trust funds" subject to the trust fund handling and accounting requirements of Section 10146 of the Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations.

X

The agreements for those advance fees were each an "advance fee agreement" as that term is used in Section 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations.

XI

In obtaining each advance fee agreement from the borrowers, Respondents and the borrowers signed "Application/ Commission Agreement" and "Commitment Letter" forms under which Respondents undertook to solicit lenders for and arrange or attempt to arrange a loan for the borrowers to be secured by a lien on real property or a business opportunity. The "Application/ Commission Agreement" and "Commitment Letter" forms so used to obtain the advance fee agreements were "advance fee materials" as that term is used in Section 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations.

XII

Respondents collected the advance fees described above from the borrowers without first submitting the "Application/
Commission Agreement" and "Commitment Letter" forms for review and approval by the Commissioner as required Section 2970 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10085 of the Code.

///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

IIIX

At all times mentioned herein, in connection with the advance fee mortgage loan brokerage activities described in Paragraphs VI through VIII, inclusive, above, Respondents accepted or received advance fees in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of prospective borrowers and thereafter made disbursement of said trust funds. These trust funds were maintained by Respondents in bank accounts, including but not necessarily limited to account number 7306333011, at Household Bank, MacArthur Blvd., Newport Beach, California (herein "said account"), an ordinary commercial account and not an account maintained in the name of Respondents, or either of them, as trustee:

XIV

On or about June 7, 1991, the Department completed an examination of Respondents' books and records pertaining to Respondents activities, as described in Paragraph III through XI, above, for the fifteen month period ending March 31, 1991, which revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as set forth in the following paragraphs.

XV

In connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph XI, during the fifteen month period described in Paragraph XII:

(a) Respondents deposited advance fees entrusted to Respondents in said account, thereby failing to effect compliance with Section 10146 of the Code by placing such funds into a trust

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72) account at a bank or other recognized depository;

- (b) Respondents caused or permitted the withdrawal or disbursement of advance fees entrusted to Respondents from said account, thereby reducing the balance in said accounts as of March 31, 1991, to an amount which was \$63,955.04 less than Respondents' liability to all owners of the advance fees entrusted to Respondents deposited in said account, without the prior written consent of all said owners, thereby violating Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;
- (c) Respondents failed to account to the owners of advance fees entrusted to Respondents as required by Section 10146 of the Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations.

XVI

In connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph XI, during the fifteen month period described in Paragraph XII, Respondents deposited Respondents' own money into said account, thereby commingling Respondents' own money with advance fees entrusted to Respondents.

XVII

In connection with the trust funds described in Paragraph XI, during the fifteen month period described in Paragraph XII, Respondents disbursed advance fees entrusted to Respondents deposited in said account for Respondents' personal or business expenses, thereby fraudulently converting approximately 63,955.04 to Respondents' own use and benefit.

///

///

.

XVIII

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraphs XII and XV, above, violated the Code and Regulations as set forth below:

PARAGRAPH		PROVISION	VIC	LATE	<u>:D</u>
XII	Section	10085	of	the	Code, and
	Section	2970	of	the	Regulations;
XV(a)	Section	10146	of	the	Code;
XV(b)	Section	2832.1	of	the	Regulations;
XV(c)	Section	10146	οf	the	Code and
	Section	2972	of	the	Regulations.

Each of the foregoing violations separately constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Section 10177 (d) of the Code.

XIX

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraph XVI, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Section 10176(e) of the Code.

XX

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraph XVII, above, constitute fraud and dishonest dealing, and are cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Section 10176(i) of the Code.

///

///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

IXX

Complainant incorporates herein the allegations of Paragraphs I through XX, inclusive, hereinabove.

IIXX

In course of the activities described in Paragraphs III through V, inclusive, above, Respondents used the fictitious name "Bankers Capital Association". At no time mentioned herein did Respondent HARRIS obtain or maintain a license from the Department bearing the fictitious name "Bankers Capital Association".

ITIXX

The acts and omissions of Respondent HARRIS described in Paragraph XXII, above, violated Section 2731(a) of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10179.5 of the Code, and are cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent HARRIS under the provisions of Section 10177 (d) of the Code.

///

20 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 ///

22 ///

/// 23

24 III

/// 25

/// 26

/// 27

///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

1

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

THOMAS MCCRADY

THOMAS MCCRADY Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Santa Ana, California this 3rd day of March , 1992.

cc: John Norman Bell Lee Kelly Harris Sacto. **BSV**

jlb COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

27