2	2 HAR 3 L 1969
:	3
4	BY Kerrenteet
e	b
e	, ,
7	
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-355 SA
12	ARJAY E. SMITH, aka
13	Arjay Smith,
14	Respondent.
15	ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE
16	On April 13, 1984, a Decision was rendered herein
17	revoking the real estate salesperson license of respondent,
18	effective June 7, 1984, but granting respondent the right to the
19	issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A
20	restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to respondent
21	on August 17, 1984, and respondent has operated as a restricted
22	licensee without cause for disciplinary action against respondent
23	since that time.
24	On August 30, 1988, respondent petitioned for
2 5	reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license and the
26	Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice
27	of the filing of said petition.

-1-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

85 34769

.

.

.

1 I have considered the petition of respondent and the 2 evidence and arguments in support thereof including respondent's 3 record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that grounds do not presently exist to deny the 4 5 issuance of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license to 6 respondent. 7 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that an unrestricted real estate 8 9 salesperson license be issued to respondent after respondent 10 satisfies the following conditions within one (1) year from the 11 date of this Order: 1. 12 Submittal of a completed application and payment 13 of the fee for a real estate salesperson license. 2. Submittal of evidence satisfactory to the Real 14 Estate Commissioner that respondent has, since the most recent 15 16 issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and 17 successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a 18 19 real estate license. This Order is effective immediately. 20 21 DATED: larch 27 1989 22 23 JAMES A. EDMONDS, JR. Real Estate Commissioner 24 By: 25 Ćhief Deputy Commissioner cc: Arjay E. Smith 26 500 Playa Newport Beach, CA 92660 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

-2-

HAY 22 1987 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE alana 5 6 7 8 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 - STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-355 SA 12 ARJAY E. SMITH, 13 14 15 Respondent. 16 17 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE On April 13, 1984, a Decision was rendered herein 18 revoking the real estate salesperson license of respondent, 19 effective June 7, 1984, but granting respondent the right to the 20 issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. 21 A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to 22 respondent on August 17, 1984, and respondent has operated as a 23 restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against 24 respondent since that time. 25 On June 3, 1986, respondent petitioned for 26 reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license and the 27 PAPER CALIFORNI

Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice
 of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of respondent and the
evidence and arguments in support thereof including respondent's
record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to
my satisfaction that grounds do not presently exist to deny the
issuance of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license to
respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that respondent's petition
for reinstatement is granted and that an unrestricted real estate
salesperson license be issued to respondent after respondent
satisfies the following conditions within one (1) year from the
date of this Order:

Submittal of a completed application and payment of
 the fee for a real estate salesperson license.

16 2. <u>Submittal of evidence satisfactory to the Real</u>
17 Estate Commissioner that respondent has, since the most recent
18 issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and
19 successfully completed the continuing education requirements of
20 Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a
21 real estate license.

22 This Order shall become effective immediately. -9 23 DATED: 24 JAMES A. EDMONDS, JR. Real/Estate Commissioner . 25 26 cc: Arjay E. Smith 27 Vienna 1bo Newport Beach, CA 92660 COURT PAPER E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 8-72)

	FILED MAY-7 1984 DEFARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BY MULLIOUT
5 6 7 8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9 10 11 12 13	<pre>* * * * In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-355 SA</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE On April 13, 1984, a Decision was rendered in the above-entitled matter to become effective May 8, 1984.
19 20 21 22	Decision of April 13, 1984, is stayed for a period of thirty days. The Decision of April 13, 1984, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on June 7, 1984. DATED: $5/2/84$
23 24 21 21 21 21	By: Real Estate Commissioner By: ROBERT ARNOLD Regional Manager
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STOL 113 (REV. B-72 KW OSP	

to a long the long

PPR 18 1984 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTURE OF REAL EST BY K. Mu

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARJAY E. SMITH, aka Arjay Smith,

NO. H-355 SA

L-30848

Respondent.

DECISION

The Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge dated April 6, 1984, is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in this proceeding with the following exception:

Condition A of the ORDER of the Proposed Decision is not adopted and shall not be part of the Decision.

The Decision revokes respondent's real estate salesperson license on the ground of the conviction of a crime. The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Real Estate Commissioner's Criteria of Rehabilitation are attached hereto for the information and guidance of respondent.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock _, 1984. noon on May 8

IT IS SO ORDERED April 1984.

JAMES A. EDMONDS, JR. Real_Estate Commissioner

N

MARTINEZ Chief Deputy Commissioner

By:

ROBERT P.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

)

)

OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

ARJAY E. SMITH, aka Arjay Smith, NO. H-355 SA

L-30848

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter came on regularly for hearing before John A. Willd, Administrative Law Judge with the Office of Administrative Hearings at Los Angeles, California on February 8, 1984, at the hour of 2:00 p.m. James R. Peel, Counsel, appeared on behalf of the Department of Real Estate. The respondent Arjay E. Smith appeared in person and was represented by Jim Spring, his attorney. This proceeding was originally scheduled and noticed for hearing at the hour of 9:00 a.m. on February 8, 1984. By stipulation between counsel the proceeding was not commenced until 2:00 p.m. of that day. At the conclusion of the proceeding counsel for respondent requested that the record remain open in order to allow respondent an opportunity to submit certain documents regarding the dismissal of certain criminal charges filed against respondent. The documents avidently were lost in some manner. The Administrative Law Judge spoke with respondent's counsel and on March 19, 1984 the material was received and marked as Exhibit E. At that time the matter was submitted and the Administrative Law Judge now makes the following findings of fact:

Complainant William Hunter is a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California and he filed the Accusation herein in his official capacity.

ΙI

Т

Arjay E. Smith, aka Arjay Smith (hereinafter referred to as respondent) is presently licensed and has license rights under the Real Estate Law of the State of California. At all times herein mentioned respondent was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California as a real estate salesperson.

III

On April 22, 1983 in a proceeding before the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho entitled <u>The State of Idaho, Plaintiff v. Arjay Smith, Defendant</u>, Case No. 3504 respondent entered a plea of guilty to the violation of Idaho Code Section 18-2403, to wit; Grand Theft. Pursuant to California law respondent has been convicted of a felony until such time as the court takes appropriate action and determines that the offense is a misdemeanor. The offense is one which does involve moral turpitude.

Respondent's arrest and conviction arose in the summer of 1982 when respondent and his family went to Sun Valley, Idaho and resided for a period of time at the Sun Valley Hotel. Respondent had previously resided in the State of Idaho and had been a licensed real estate salesman in that state. Respondent had returned to Idaho in order to close a real estate transaction whereby he anticipated that he would receive a very substantial sum of cash. Unfortunately this escrow did not close, the transaction became the subject of rather extensive litigation. Respondent received no money and he found himself unable to pay the bill he had incurred at the hotel for food, lodging and entertainment.

During the time that respondent hold a real estate license in Idaho he became associated with one Larry Lisure. When these parties terminated their association there were various disputes regarding sums due respondent from Larry Leisure. Ά document was entered into whereby in settlement of these various obligations Larry Leisure would pay \$10,000 to respondent over a period of time. Respondent's bill at the Sun Valley Hotel was approximately \$7,300. Respondent did negotiate with the hotel management and Larry Leisure whereby Larry Leisure would pay this hotel bill on behalf of respondent over a period of time. For some reason Larry Leisure failed to pay the required sums. Time dragged on, the hotel was not paid either by Larry Leisure or by respondent and ultimately in December, 1982 the hotel caused the criminal complaint to be issued and sometime thereafter the complaint was served upon respondent at his residence in Laguna Beach.

Respondent did personally appear before the Judge of the Fifth Judicial Court in Idaho. He entered his plea of guilty to that charge. Respondent was ordered to make restitution in the amount of \$7,300 and in addition he was ordered to pay a fine in the amount of \$1,000 and respondent was placed on unsupervised probation.

-2-

Restitution has now been made and respondent has paid the \$1,000 fine. Respondent does point out that in the criminal proceeding the court did not enter a judgment of conviction and did indicate that the charges would be dismissed when the required sums were paid. The criminal proceeding was dismissed as of January 23, 1984.

* * * * *

Pursuant to the foregoing findings of fact, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following determination of issues:

Grounds for disciplinary action have been established pursuant to the provisions of Sections <u>490</u> and <u>10177(b)</u> of the Business and Professions Code. Respondent has been convicted of a crime which does bear a substantial relationship to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate licensee.

This respondent seems to minimize any possible wrongdoing on his part. Certainly he would have paid the Sun Valley Hotel if the escrow had closed and if he had received the sizeable sum he anticipated. Nonetheless, respondent did spend money which he did not have. While respondent probably intended no criminal misconduct, his actions were far from prudent and he did expose a company to substantial loss. Even conceding that respondent had financial problems at this time, his efforts to pay this obligation appeared to be modest at best. It is truly hoped that the modest sanction imposed herein will serve as the most serious possible warning to respondent that he is expected to conduct his affairs in a responsible manner and that future misconduct cannot be tolerated.

* * * * *

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

The real estate salesperson license and all other license rights of respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) are hereby revoked: provided, however, that a restricted real estate salesperson's license shall be issued to respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if respondent makes application and pays the fee for said license to the Department of Real Estate within ninety days from the effective date of this decision.

-3-

The restricted license issued to respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under the authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:

A. Should respondent apply for and receive a restricted real estate salesperson license as described above then within thirty days following the issuance of said restricted license respondent shall submit evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner which will establish that respondent has in fact paid the obligation due Sun Valley Hotel as well as the \$1,000 fine and that the criminal proceeding in Idaho has been dismissed. Should respondent fail to present this evidence then said restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner for a term of no more than sixty days.

B. <u>Said restricted license may be suspended prior to</u> hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of respondent's conviction (including a plea of nolo contendere) of a crime which bears a substantial relationship to respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

C. Said restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to said restricted license.

D. With his application for license or with his license for transfer to a new employing broker respondent shall submit a statement signed by his prospective employing broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate wherein the employing broker shall certify as follows:

- 1. That the broker has read the decision which is the basis for the issuance of the restricted license; and
 - . That the broker will carefully review all transaction documents prepared by the restricted licensee and otherwise exercise close supervision over the licensee's performance of acts for which a license is required.

E. <u>Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the</u> issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions attaching to the restricted license until two years have elapsed from the date of the issuance of the restricted license to respondent.

DATED: 19-12-84

JAW:mh

I hereby submit the foregoing which constitutes my Proposed Decision in the above-entitled matter, as a result of the hearing had before me on February 8, 1984, at Los Angeles, California and recommend its

adoption as the decision of the Real Estate Commissioner.

1 11 01

JOHN A. WILLD Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. H-355 SA

L-30848

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARJAY E. SMITH, aka Arjay Smith

Respondent(s)

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

TO THE ABOVE NAMED RESPONDENT:

on the <u>8th</u> day of <u>February</u>, 19<u>84</u>, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the charges made in the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing, and you may be represented by counsel, but you are neither required to be present at the hearing nor to be represented by counsel. If you are not present in person, nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you upon any express admissions, or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

DATED: November 7, 1983

cc: Arjay E. Smith Crookall Shirley & Co. Sacto. OAH BSV JAMES A. EDMONDS, JR. DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Iouna Kaustm Counsel

RE Form 501 (Rev. 11-10-82) Vj

SCE	íg,	<i>o o</i>
	1 2 3	DONNA S. HAUPTMAN, Counsel Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 620-4790
	4 5 6	
	7	
	8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ËSTATE
	9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10	* * * *
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-355 SA
• • •	12	ARJAY E. SMITH, aka) Arjay Smith,
•	13	Respondent.)
	14	
	15 ;	
	16	The complainant, William Hunter, a Deputy Real Estate
	17	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation
	18	against ARJAY E. SMITH, aka Arjay Smith, alleges as follows:
	19	I .
	20	The complainant, William Hunter, a Deputy Real Estate
	21	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in
	22	his official capacity.
	23	II ·
	24	ARJAY E. SMITH, aka Arjay Smith (hereinafter referred
*	25	to as respondent) is presently licensed and/or has license rights
	26	under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and
	27	Professions Code).
FAPE	R	· -1- · · · · ·
CALIFORNIA (REV. 6.72)		

ł

the state of the state of the state

Ľ.

States of the st

	11	
•		
À	1	III
	2	At all times herein mentioned, respondent was licensed
	3	by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California as a
•	4	real estate salesperson.
	5	IV
	6	On or about April 22, 1983, in the District Court of
	7	the Fifth Judicial District of the State of Idaho, In and For the
	8	County of Blaine, respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty
	9	of the crime of violating Idaho Code Section 18-2403 (Grand
1	o	Theft), a felony and a crime involving moral turpitude.
1	1	V
1	2	The crime of which respondent was convicted bears a
1	3	substantial relationship to the qualifications, functions or
1	4	duties of a real estate licensee.
1	.5	VI
· 1	6	Respondent's criminal conviction, as alleged above,
. 1	.7	is cause under Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Business and
. 1	.8	Professions Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and
. 1	.9	license rights of respondent under the Real Estate Law.
2	20	/
2	21	/
2	2	
2	23	
2	4	
2	25	
2	26	
2	27	
APER CALIFORNI		-2-
(REV. 8-1		
	ļ	

A State of the second and the second

	1	
•	1	WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be
•	2	conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and, that upon
	3	proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action
	4	against all licenses and license rights of respondent ARJAY E.
	5	SMITH, aka Arjay Smith under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of
	6	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such
	7	other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable
	8	provisions of law.
	9	Dated at Santa Ana, California
	10	this 8th day of September, 1983.
* 2	11	
	12	WILLIAM HUNTER Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20 21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	26	cc: Arjay E. Smith
	27	Crookall Shirley & Co. Sacto.
lbo		BSV -3-
PAPER	RNIA	
-38		

こうない とんけい いいたい たいない 人気ない しいたい

A State of the second