

FILED

JUL 20 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By *L. Henry*

1 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE  
2 P. O. Box 187000  
3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000  
4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789  
5  
6  
7

8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE  
9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 \* \* \*

11 In the Matter of: )  
12 KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE and, ) DRE NO. H-2504 FR  
13 MONTEREY BAY SECURITITES, INC., ) OAH NO. 2009090993  
14 Respondents ) BAR ORDER  
) (B&P Code § 10087)

15 TO: KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE  
16 1406 North Main Street, # 210  
17 Meridian, ID 83642

18 Pursuant to Section 10087(b) of the California Business and Professions Code  
19 (hereinafter "the Code"), you are hereby notified of the intention of the California Real Estate  
20 Commissioner (hereinafter "Commissioner") to issue a Bar Order pursuant to Section 10087(a)  
21 of the California Business and Professions Code.

22 Pursuant to the authority granted by Section 10087 of the Code, and after review  
23 and consideration of the following facts, the Commissioner makes the following:

24 FINDINGS OF FACT

25 1. On or about September 11, 2009, the Department filed an Accusation  
26 against Respondents, KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE ("DOOLITTLE") and MONTEREY  
27 BAY SECURITITES, Inc. ("MBS"). A true and correct copy of the Department's

1 September 11, 2009 Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by  
2 reference. The Department's accusation alleged, *inter alia*:

3 1

4 On or about January 2, 2007, the California Department of Corporations  
5 (hereinafter the "DOC") issued a Desist and Refrain Order for violations of Sections 25110,  
6 25230 and 25401 of the California Corporations Code. The DOC ordered Respondents  
7 DOOLITTLE and MBS cease conducting business activities that violated the California  
8 Corporations Code, including, *inter alia*, offering for sale securities wherein the offers contained  
9 material statements which were untrue or misleading and/or omitted material facts, all in  
10 violation of Corporations Code Section 25401; that Respondent DOOLITTLE was doing  
11 business as Respondent MBS and also was doing business as Monterey Bay Investments,  
12 M Homes, Mobile Repo, Inc., Recycled Mobile Homes and/or Recycled Homes and was  
13 conducting business as an investment advisor without first applying for and securing a certificate  
14 from the DOC authorizing such activities, all in violation of Section 25230 of the California  
15 Corporations Code. Further, the DOC ordered Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS to quit  
16 offering or selling securities until the offerings and sales had been qualified, under the California  
17 Corporations Code or which were otherwise exempt from qualification.

18 2

19 On or about May 27, 2008, the DOC in OAH Case Number L-2007090318,  
20 adopted, with minor typographical error corrections, the proposed decision of Administrative  
21 Law Judge Mary-Margaret Anderson wherein Judge Anderson made, among other findings, the  
22 following:

- 23 1. Respondent DOOLITTLE had moved Respondent MBS out of its office  
24 located at 11 Seascape Village, Aptos, California and was now receiving  
25 mail through an Aptos post office box;
- 26 2. Respondent DOOLITTLE had relocated to the State of Idaho and was  
27 operating out of the Idaho location;
3. In March 2005, the Department of Housing and Community  
Development denied Respondents' DOOLITTLE and MBS application  
for a license required to sell new manufactured homes within the state of  
California;

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

4. Respondent DOOLITTLE failed to inform his clients of a 1997 NASD (National Association of Securities Dealers) action concerning his failure to disclose a pending San Mateo County Superior Court felony criminal action on his NASD *Uniform Application for Securities Industry Registration or Transfer* (Form U-4) required by the NASD. This complaint resulted in a 2007 settlement wherein Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS withdrew their membership with the NASD;
5. Respondent DOOLITTLE failed to turn over certain Books and Records to the DOC as requested by the DOC in connection with Respondents' DOOLITTLE and MBS surrender of its Broker-Dealer license;
6. Between 1997 and 2005, Respondents' DOOLITTLE and MBS bought and sold more than 500 manufactured homes with some being sold outright, but with the vast majority sold with seller-provided financing with funds obtained from private third party investors solicited by Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS by publishing a booklet entitled *Recycled Housing Manufactured Home Promissory Note Mortgage Program* and through advertisements seeking First Deed of Trust investors;
7. Respondent DOOLITTLE indicated that *Recycled Housing* was a fictitious business name of Respondent DOOLITTLE;
8. The funds received from investors were placed in a single bank account in which the funds could sit for months without being invested and when invested the investment, at times, was moved from one deed of trust to another based solely upon the decision of Respondent DOOLITTLE;
9. Payments on the deeds of trust were made directly to Respondent DOOLITTLE as the servicer of the notes;
10. During the hearing on the DOC accusation, Respondent DOOLITTLE took the position his manufactured home sales and lending activities were being conducted under his Department of Real Estate license and that *Recycled Housing* was a d.b.a. under his Department of Real Estate license;
11. Judge Anderson found that the activities of Respondent DOOLITTLE and MBS were the offering and selling of securities for which a DOC license was required; and,
12. Judge Anderson granted the DOC's request to bar Respondent DOOLITTLE from the Securities Industry, finding that it was in the best interest of the public.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

3

The findings of fact in Department of Corporations, Case Number L2007090318, and the January 2, 2007 Department of Corporations' Desist and Refrain Order identified above include findings that Respondents DOOLITTLE and/or MBS violated California Corporate Securities Laws, including, but not limited to, Sections 25212, 25401, 25230, 25224, and, 25110 of the California Corporations Code.

4

In addition, in its May 27, 2008 Decision, the Department of Corporations, pursuant to California Corporations Code Section 25213, barred Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS from future employment in the securities industry.

5

The aforementioned California Corporations Code violations and Department of Corporations Debarment give rise to grounds for suspension or revocation of Respondents' DOOLITTLE and MBS broker licenses pursuant to Section 10177(n) of the California Business and Professions Code.

6

In addition, the acts and/or omissions identified above, and contained within the Department of Corporations Decision in OAH Case Number L-2007090318 and the Department of Corporation's January 2, 2007 Desist and Refrain Order, if committed by a real estate licensee in the context of the representation of real estate clients, would constitute grounds for suspension or revocation of a real estate license pursuant to the provisions of Section 10176 (i) of the Code and Section 2832, Title 10, of the California Code of Regulations (the "Regulations").

7

Further, Respondent DOOLITTLE, as the designated officer/broker of Respondent MBS was required to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the activities of Respondent MBS. These duties included, but were not limited to, insuring that the Respondent MBS complied with relevant California Corporation Code Sections. Respondent DOOLITTLE failed




1 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to the authority of Sections  
2 10086 and 10087 of the Code, KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE is hereby barred and prohibited  
3 for a period of thirty-six (36) months from the effective date of this Bar Order, from engaging in  
4 any of the following activities in the State of California:

- 5 (A) Holding any position of employment, management, or control in a real  
6 estate business;
- 7 (B) Participating in any business activity of a real estate salesperson or a real  
8 estate broker;
- 9 (C) Engaging in any real estate related business activity on the premises where  
10 a real estate salesperson or real estate broker is conducting business; and,  
11 Participating in any real estate related business activity of a finance lender,  
12 residential mortgage lender, bank, credit union, escrow company, title  
13 company, or underwritten title company.

14 THIS BAR ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY.

15 It is so ordered on 7/19/2010.

17 JEFF DAVI  
18 Real Estate Commissioner

19  
20 

21 BY: Barbara J. Bigby  
22 Chief Deputy Commissioner

FILED

JUL 23 2009

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

*R. Henry*

1 KENNETH C. ESPELL, Counsel (SBN 178757)  
2 Department of Real Estate  
3 P. O. Box 187007  
4 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007  
5 Telephone: (916) 227-0789  
6 -or- (916) 227-0868 (Direct)

7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE  
8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 \* \* \*

10 In the Matter of the Accusation of	)	H-2406 FR
	)	
11	)	<u>ACCUSATION and</u>
12 KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE and,	)	<u>NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE</u>
13 MONTEREY BAY SECURITIES, INC.,	)	<u>ORDER OF DEBARMENT</u>
	)	
14 Respondents,	)	

15 The Complainant, JOHN SWEENEY, in his official capacity as a Deputy Real  
16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against Respondents  
17 KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE ("DOOLITTLE") and MONTEREY BAY SECURITIES,  
18 INC., ("MBS"), is informed and alleges as follows:

19 THE RESPONDENTS

20 1

21 Respondent DOOLITTLE is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the  
22 Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "the  
23 Code") as a real estate broker.

24 2

25 Respondent MBS is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real  
26 Estate Law and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter "the Department") as a  
27 corporate real estate broker. Respondent MBS is currently a suspended California corporation  
and therefore is not authorized to conduct business within the State of California.



Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent MBS, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent MBS committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent MBS and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent DOOLITTLE was and is the designated officer/broker of Respondent MBS. Pursuant to Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code, as the designated officer/broker of Respondent MBS, Respondent DOOLITTLE was at all times mentioned herein responsible for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of Respondent MBS.

At all times mentioned, Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131(e) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents solicited private money lenders and private borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated by Respondent on behalf of others and wherein promissory notes or interests therein were sold or purchased on behalf of another or others for compensation or in expectation of a compensation.

///

///

///

///



- 1 3. In March 2005, the Department of Housing and Community  
2 Development denied Respondents' DOOLITTLE and MBS application  
3 for a license required to sell new manufactured homes within the state of  
4 California;
- 5 4. Respondent DOOLITTLE failed to inform his clients of a 1997 NASD  
6 (National Association of Securities Dealers) action concerning his failure  
7 to disclose a pending San Mateo County Superior Court felony criminal  
8 action on his NASD *Uniform Application for Securities Industry*  
9 *Registration or Transfer* (Form U-4) required by the NASD. This  
10 complaint resulted in a 2007 settlement wherein Respondents  
11 DOOLITTLE and MBS withdrew their membership with the NASD;
- 12 5. Respondent DOOLITTLE failed to turn over certain Books and Records  
13 to the DOC as requested by the DOC in connection with Respondents'  
14 DOOLITTLE and MBS surrender of its Broker-Dealer license;
- 15 6. Between 1997 and 2005, Respondents' DOOLITTLE and MBS bought  
16 and sold more than 500 manufactured homes with some being sold  
17 outright, but with the vast majority sold with seller-provided financing  
18 with funds obtained from private third party investors solicited by  
19 Respondent's DOOLITTLE and MBS by publishing a booklet entitled  
20 *Recycled Housing Manufactured Home Promissory Note Mortgage*  
21 *Program* and through advertisements seeking First Deed of Trust  
22 investors;
- 23 7. Respondent DOOLITTLE indicated that *Recycled Housing* was a  
24 fictitious business name of Respondent DOOLITTLE;
- 25 8. The funds received from investors were placed in a single bank account  
26 in which the funds could sit for months without being invested and when  
27 invested the investment, at times, was moved from one deed of trust  
to another based solely upon the decision of Respondent DOOLITTLE;
9. Payments on the deeds of trust were made directly to Respondent  
DOOLITTLE as the servicer of the notes;
10. During the hearing on the DOC accusation, Respondent DOOLITTLE  
took the position his manufactured home sales and lending activities were  
being conducted under his Department of Real Estate license and that  
*Recycled Housing* was a d.b.a. under his Department of Real Estate  
license;
11. Judge Anderson found that the activities of Respondent DOOLITTLE  
and MBS were the offering and selling of securities for which a DOC  
license was required; and,

1 12. Judge Anderson granted the DOC's request to bar Respondent  
2 DOOLITTLE from the Securities Industry, finding that it was in the best  
3 interest of the public.

4 8

5 The findings of fact in Department of Corporations, Case Number L2007090318,  
6 and the January 2, 2007 Department of Corporations' Desist and Refrain Order identified in  
7 paragraph 6, above, include findings that Respondents' DOOLITTLE and/or MBS violated  
8 California Corporate Securities Laws, including, but not limited to, Sections 25212, 25401,  
9 25230, 25224, and, 25110 of the California Corporations Code.

10 9

11 In addition, in its May 27, 2008 Decision, the DOC, pursuant to California  
12 Corporations Code Section 25213, barred Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS from future  
13 employment in the securities industry.

14 10

15 The aforementioned California Corporations Code violations and DOC  
16 Debarment give rise to grounds for suspension or revocation of Respondents' DOOLITTLE and  
17 MBS broker licenses pursuant to Section 10177(n) of the California Business and Professions  
18 Code.

19 11

20 In addition, the acts and/or omissions identified in Paragraphs 6 through 9, above,  
21 and contained within the DOC Decision in OAH Case Number L-2007090318 and the DOC's  
22 January 2, 2007 Desist and Refrain Order if committed by a real estate licensee in the context of  
23 the representation of real estate clients, would constitute grounds for suspension or revocation of  
24 a real estate license pursuant to the provisions of Section 10176 (i) of the Code and Section 2832,  
25 Title 10, of the California Code of Regulations (the "Regulations").

26 12

27 Further, Respondent DOOLITTLE, as the designated officer/broker of Respondent MBS  
was required to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the activities of Respondent

1 MBS. These duties included, but were not limited to, insuring that the Respondent MBS  
2 complied with relevant California Corporation Code Sections. Respondent DOOLITTLE failed  
3 to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the activities of Respondent MBS as  
4 evidenced by the disciplinary action taken by the Department of Corporations against  
5 Respondent MBS, as identified in Paragraphs 6 through 9 above; all in violation of Section  
6 10159.2 of the Code which constitutes cause for suspension or revocation of all licenses and  
7 license rights of Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

8 NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE ORDER OF DEBARMENT

9 13

10 The facts alleged in Paragraphs 6 through 9, and 12, above, subject Respondents  
11 DOOLITTLE AND MBS to the issuance of an Order of Debarment pursuant to Section 10087 of  
12 the Code. Pursuant to Section 10087(b) of the Code, you, Respondents DOOLITTLE and MBS,  
13 are hereby notified of the intention of the Commissioner to issue an Order of Debarment  
14 pursuant to Section 10087(a) of the Code should the facts alleged herein support findings that an  
15 Order of Debarment be issued.

16 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the  
17 allegations of this Accusation and Notice of Intention to Issue Order of Debarment, and that  
18 upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and  
19 license rights of Respondent under the Code and, in addition, an Order of Debarment issue  
20 against Respondent pursuant to Section 10087 of the Code, and for such other and further relief  
21 as may be proper under other provisions of law.

22  
23  
24   
JOHN SWEENEY  
Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

25 Dated at Fresno, California,  
26 this 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2009.

FILED

JUL 20 2010

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By R. Henry

BEFORE THE  
DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\*\*\*

In the Matter of the Accusation of )  
 )  
KENNETH MARK DOOLITTLE and )  
MONTEREY BAY SECURITIES, INC., )  
 )  
Respondents. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

DRE NO. H-2406 FR

OAH NO. 2009090993

DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated June 14, 2010, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

Pursuant to Section 11517(b)(3) of the Government Code, the following corrections are made to the Proposed Decision.

FACTUAL FINDINGS, Page 2, #2 of the Proposed Decision is revised to read:

*" Respondent Monterey Bay Securities, Inc., is presently licensed. . . . The license expired on March 24, 2010. . . "*

The Decision suspends or revokes one or more real estate licenses on grounds of the conviction of a crime.

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license or to the reduction of a suspension is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's Criteria of Rehabilitation are attached hereto for the information of respondent.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on AUG 9 2010

IT IS SO ORDERED 7/19, 2010.

JEFF DAVI  
Real Estate Commissioner

Barbara J. Bigby

BY: Barbara J. Bigby  
Chief Deputy Commissioner

EXHIBIT  
2