	-			
Korth 1 2 3 4	STEVE CHU, Counsel (SBN 238155) Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 620-6430 Fax: (213) 576-6917		FILED MAY 2 0 2021 DEPT. OF FEAL ESTATE By	
5				
6				
7				
8 9	BEFORE THE DEPA	RTMENT (	OF REAL ESTATE	
10		OF CALIFO		
11		* * *		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of	)	No. H-41909 LA	
13	ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN and	)	FIRST AMENDED	
14	ALICIA MAI ROCCISANO,	)	ACCUSATION	
15	Respondents.	) )		
16		/		
17	This First Amended Accusation amends the Accusation filed on			
	<ul> <li>March 23, 2021. The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of</li> <li>the State of California, for cause of Accusation against ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN and</li> <li>ALICIA MALROCCISANO ("Perspendents"), is informed and allower of the</li> </ul>			
20				
21	ALICIA MAI ROCCISANO ("Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows: 1.			
22	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, acting in her official capacity as a			
23		Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation against		
24	Respondents ALAN ROGERS STONEMA		-	
25		2.		
26	All references to the "Code"	are to the C	alifornia Business and Professions Code	
27	and all references to "Regulations" are to T	itle 10, Chap	oter 6, California Code of Regulations.	
	First Amended A		lan Rogers Stoneman and Alicia Mai Roccisano	
		- 1 -		
ŀ	1			

t

1	LICENSE HISTORY
2	3.
3	Respondent ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN ("STONEMAN") presently has
4	license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a restricted real
5	estate broker.
6	4.
7	Respondent ALICIA MAI ROCCISANO ("ROCCISANO") presently has
8	license rights as a real estate salesperson.
9	5.
10	On or about September 30, 1991, the Real Estate Commissioner in Case
11	No. H-721 SA adopted as his Decision effective October 24, 1991, a Stipulation and Agreement
12	revoking Respondent STONEMAN'S real estate license but granting STONEMAN the right to
13	a restricted real estate broker license on terms and conditions. STONEMAN stipulated that
14	cause existed for the suspension or revocation of STONEMAN'S real estate license on the
15	grounds of a conviction for violation of Title 18, United States Code section 371 (Conspiracy to
16	Commit Mail Fraud and Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering), a felony, and on the
17	grounds of disbarment from the practice of law by the California Supreme Court.
18	BROKERAGE
19	ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN
20	6.
21	At all times mentioned, in the City of Cathedral City, County of Riverside,
22	Respondent STONEMAN acted as a real estate broker, conducting licensed activities within the
23	meaning of Code sections 10131(a) (sells or buys real property for others) and 10131(b) (leases
24	or rents real property for others).
25	
26	
27	///
	First Amended Accusation of Alan Rogers Stoneman and Alicia Mai Roccisano
	- 2 -

1	INVESTIGATION	
2	ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN	
3	7.	
4	The Department investigated Respondents STONEMAN and ROCCISANO	
5	pertaining to the activities described in Paragraph 6 which require a real estate license.	
6	8.	
7	Respondents STONEMAN and ROCCISANO accepted or received funds in	
8	trust ("trust funds"). Thereafter, Respondent made deposits and or disbursements of such trust	
9	funds.	
10	VIOLATIONS OF THE REAL ESTATE LAW	
11	9.	
12	In the course of activities described in Paragraph 6 above, Respondents	
13	STONEMAN and ROCCISANO acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:	
14	9(a) A bank account used by Respondents STONEMAN for trust funds was	
15	not designated as a trust account, and was not in the name of Respondent as trustee or a	
16	fictitious name held by Respondent's real estate license as trustee, in violation of Code	
17	section 10145 and Regulations section 2832.	
18	9(b) Respondents STONEMAN and ROCCISANO used the unlicensed	
19	fictitious business names "Desert Cities RV Properties", and "Desert Cities", in violation of	
20	Code section 10159.5 and Regulations section 2731.	
21	9(c) The conduct, acts, or omissions of Respondent STONEMAN, as	
22	described in Paragraph 9, in failing to ensure compliance of the Real Estate Law by Respondent	
23	STONEMAN'S officers, agents and employees, including Respondent ROCCISANO, is in	
24	violation of Code section 10159.2 and Regulations section 2725.	
25		
26		
27	///	
	First Amended Accusation of Alan Rogers Stoneman and Alicia Mai Roccisano	
	- 3 -	

1		10.		
2	The conduct, acts, or omissions of Respondents STONEMAN and			
3	ROCCISANO, described in Paragraph 9	ROCCISANO, described in Paragraph 9 above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set		
4				
5	<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED		
б	9(a)	Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832		
7		(STONEMAN)		
8	9(b)	Code section 10159.5 and Regulations section 2731		
9		(STONEMAN and ROCCISANO)		
10	9(c)	Code section 10159.2 and Regulations section 2725		
11		(STONEMAN)		
12	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all			
13	the licenses, license endorsements, and license rights of Respondent STONEMAN under the			
14	Real Estate Law pursuant to the provisio	ns of Code sections 10177(d), 10177(g), 10177(h),		
15	and 10177(k).			
16	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all			
17	the licenses, license endorsements, and license rights of Respondent ROCCISANO under the			
18	Real Estate Law pursuant to the provisions of Code sections 10177(d) and 10177(g).			
19		11.		
20	Code Section 10106 prov	Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in		
21	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding be	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner		
22	may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a			
23	violation of this part to pay a sum not to	exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
24	enforcement of the case.			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
	First Amende	d Accusation of Alan Rogers Stoneman and Alicia Mai Roccisano		
		- 4 -		
	l'			

•

IJ

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the		
2	allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing		
3	disciplinary action against all the licenses, license endorsements, and license rights of		
4	Respondents ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN and ALICIA MAI ROCCISANO under the Real		
5	Estate Law, for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such		
6	other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.		
7			
8	Dated at San Diego, California		
9	this day of May, $202$		
10	1		
11	V. Kilpatric		
12	Veronica Kilpatrick Supervising Special Investigator		
13	I Geleen Trees Barot		
14	cc: ALAN ROGERS STONEMAN		
15	ALICIA MAI ROCCISANO Veronica Kilpatrick		
16	Sacto.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
	First Amended Accusation of Alan Rogers Stoneman and Alicia Mai Roccisano		
	- 5 -		