| 1 | Kevin H. Sun, Counsel (SBN 276539) Department of Real Estate | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 | |
| 3 | Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 | |
| 3 | Fax: (213) 576-6917 | JUL 1 5 2019 |
| 4 | Email: Kevin.Sun@dre.ca.gov | DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE |
| 5 | Attorney for Complainant | By |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | DEEODE THE DEDARTME | NT OF DEAT ESTATE |
| 9 | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE | |
| | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | * * * | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of |) No. H-41413 LA |
| 12 | PRIME MANAGEMENT GROUP INC and |) <u>ACCUSATION</u> |
| 13 | DANIEL FLORES, individually and as |) |
| 14 | designated officer of Prime Management Group Inc, |) |
| 15 | inc, |) |
| | Respondents. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State | |
| 18 | of California, for cause of Accusation against PRIME MANAGEMENT GROUP INC and | |
| 19 | DANIEL FLORES (collectively "Respondents") alleges as follows: | |
| 20 | 1. | |
| 21 | The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a S | Supervising Special Investigator of the State |
| 22 | The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State | |
| 23 | of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. | |
| | 2. | |
| 24 | All references to the "Code" are to t | the California Business and Professions Code |
| 25 | and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. | |
| 26 | \ <i>///</i> | |
| 27 | /// | |
| ĺ | 1] | |

LICENSE HISTORY

(PRIME MANAGEMENT GROUP INC)

3.

| a. Respondent PRIME MANAGEMENT GROUP INC ("PMG") is presently |
|--|
| licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the |
| California Business and Professions Code, as a real estate broker, Department of Real Estate |
| ("Department") license ID 01790363. |

- b. The Department originally issued PMG's corporate license on January 29, 2007. PMG's license is scheduled to expire on January 28, 2023, unless renewed.
- c. According to the Department's records to date, PMG's main office address is 13215 E. Penn Street, Ste 315, Whittier, CA 90602.
- d. According to the Department's records to date, PMG employs one (1) salesperson under its real estate license.
- e. According to the Department's records to date, PMG maintains no authorized fictitious business names and is not affiliated with any licensed corporations.

(DANIEL FLORES)

4.

- (a) Respondent DANIEL FLORES ("FLORES") is presently licensed under the Code, as a real estate broker, Department license ID 01779773.
- (b) The Department originally issued FLORES' broker license on December 7, 2006. FLORES' license is scheduled to expire on March 15, 2023, unless renewed.
- (c) FLORES is the designated officer for PMG. His designation is scheduled to expire on January 28, 2023, unless renewed. As designated officer, FLORES is responsible for the supervision of the activities conducted on behalf of PMG by its officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code.

26

27

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

¹ Between July 1, 2013 and July 1, 2018, the Department of Real Estate operated as the Bureau of Real Estate under the Department of Consumer Affairs.

(PRIOR DISCIPLINE)

5.

On October 7, 2015, in case no. H-39853 LA, a Stipulation and Agreement was entered into between the Department and Respondents, effective December 31, 2015, issuing suspensions of 90 days (stayed for 2 years) for violation of Code sections 10145, 10161.8, 10177(d), 10177(g), 10177(h), and Regulations sections 2725, 2752, 2831, and 2832.1.

6.

At all times relevant herein Respondents were engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate corporation, within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code. Respondents' activities included the leasing or renting of real property and the collection of rents and security deposits for real property on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

(AUDIT LA170213 - PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES)

5.

On or about January 30, 2019, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of PMG pertaining to the real estate activities described in Paragraph 6 above. The audit examination covered the period of time from December 31, 2015, through April 30, 2018 ("audit period"). The primary purpose of the examination was to determine Respondents' compliance with the Real Estate Law, especially with regard to the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and Agreement described in Paragraph 5 above. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit No. LA170213 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.

6.

An entrance conference for the audit was held on June 21, 2018 with FLORES and office manager Anabel Sandoval. The auditor reviewed the violations with FLORES and Sandoval.

approximately 131 family residences for 85 property owners. Respondent collected rent receipts

and security deposits in the amount of approximately \$6,200,000.00 during the audit period.

Respondent charged 4% to 6% of the rents and \$100 flat fee for management fees for the

According to FLORES as well as the documents examined, PMG managed

1

2

3

4

5

6

properties.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

26

27

19 Bank:

Account Name:

Account Number:

22 Signatories:

24

Purpose:

TA 1 was maintained to handle trust funds in the property management activity for multiple beneficiaries. According to TA 1's signature card provided for the audit,

TA 1 was opened approximately July 22, 2014. As of April 30, 2018, TA 1 had a bank balance of \$536,471.36.

8.

An exit interview was held on December 5, 2018, with FLORES at PMG's office. The auditor reviewed the findings of the audit with FLORES.

9.

At all times mentioned herein, and in connection with the property management activities described in Paragraph 6, above, PMG accepted or received funds, including funds in trust ("trust funds") from or on behalf of the owners of the properties managed by PMG and thereafter made deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. According to FLORES, PMG maintained eleven (11) bank accounts for handling of the receipts and disbursements of rents during the audit period in connection with the property management activities. Only four (4) accounts were selected for examination. The bank accounts are as follows:

Trust Account 1 ("TA 1")

Union Bank

Prime Management Group Inc

xxxxxxxxx2520

Daniel Flores

Signatures Required: One

1. Bank Account 1 ("BA 2") 2 Bank: Bank of the West Account Name: Malachi Investments LLC 3 4 Account Number: xxxxxxxx1908 5 Signatories: Daniel Flores, Dai Yau, An Ly 6 Signatures Required: One 7 Purpose: BA 2 was maintained to handle trust funds in the property management activity for one property owner with 11 properties. According to BA 2's signature card 8 provided for the audit, BA 2 was opened by January 19, 2018. As of April 30, 2018, BA 2 had a 10 bank balance of \$66,172.64. 11 Bank Account 3 ("BA 3") 12 Wells Fargo Bank Bank: 13 Account Name: Prime Management Group Inc Account Number: 14 xxxxxxxx4014 15 Signatories: Daniel Flores Signatures Required: One 16 17 Purpose: BA 3 was maintained to handle trust funds in the property management 18 activity, namely rent for property at 3160 Vineland Avenue, for a property owner for a single 19 owner, who owns five (5) properties. According to BA 3's signature card provided for the audit, 20 BA 3 was opened by April 1, 2006. As of April 30, 2018, BA 3 had a bank balance of \$0.00. 21 Bank Account 4 ("BA 4") Wells Fargo Bank 22 Bank: 23 Account Name: Prime Management Group Inc 24 Account Number: xxxxxxxx7211

27

26

25

Signatories:

Signatures Required: One

Daniel Flores

Purpose: BA 4 was maintained to handle trust funds in the property management activity, namely security deposit for property at 3160 Vineland Avenue, for a property owner for a single owner, who owns five (5) properties. According to B4 3's signature card provided for the audit, BA 4 was opened approximately April 1, 2006. As of April 30, 2018, BA 4 had a bank balance of \$16,618.56.

Violations of the Real Estate Law

10.

The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. LA170213 and the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:

(a) <u>Trust Fund Handling For Multiple Beneficiaries (Code section 10145</u> and Regulations section 2832.1.

- (i) Based on an examination of TA 1's records, there was a combined minimum trust fund shortage of \$346.04 as of April 30, 2018 in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832.1. There is no evidence that Respondents were given written consent from the owners of the trust funds to allow Respondents to reduce the balance of the funds in TA 1 to an amount less than the aggregate trust fund liabilities of PMG to all owners of the trust funds.
- (ii) Based on an examination of BA 2's records, there was a combined minimum trust fund shortage of \$5,260.06.04 as of April 30, 2018 in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832.1. There is no evidence that Respondents were given written consent from the owners of the trust funds to allow Respondents to reduce the balance of the funds in BA 2 to an amount less than the aggregate trust fund liabilities of PMG to all owners of the trust funds.
- (iii) Based on an examination of BA 3's records, there was a combined minimum trust fund shortage of \$160.00 as of April 30, 2018 in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832.1. There is no evidence that Respondents were given

written consent from the owners of the trust funds to allow Respondents to reduce the balance of the funds in BA 3 to an amount less than the aggregate trust fund liabilities of PMG to all owners of the trust funds.

- (b) <u>Handling of Trust Funds/Separate Records for Each Beneficiary (Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831.1)</u>. PMG failed to maintain complete and accurate separate records for each beneficiary or transaction of all trust fund receipts and disbursements for TA 1 in connection with PMG's property activities during the audit period in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831.1. There was an unidentified/unaccounted funds of \$230.22, which did not have a separate record.
- (c) <u>Trust Account Reconciliation (Code section 10145 and Regulations</u> <u>section 2831.2)</u>. PMG did not maintain a complete and accurate monthly reconciliation of the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records to the balance of the records of all trust funds received and disbursed for TA 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831.2.
- (d) <u>Handling of Trust Funds/Account Designation (Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2832)</u>. PMG failed to designate BA 2 and BA 3 as trust accounts, even though the accounts were being used to handle trust fund for PMG's property management activities during the audit period.
- (e) <u>Handling of Trust Funds (Code section 10145 and Regulations section</u>

 2832). PMG failed to deposit, within 3 business days, trust funds received into TA 1, BA 2, and BA 3.
- (f) <u>Trust Account Withdrawals (Code section 10145 and Regulations section</u> 2834). Respondents allowed non-licensee and/or property owner Dai Yau to be a signer for BA 2, without a fidelity bond coverage.
- (g) Responsibility of Corporate Office in Charge/Broker Supervision (Code sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Regulations section 2725). Based on the violations in Paragraphs 10 (a)-(f) above, FLORES failed to exercise adequate supervision and control over

| 1 | PMG's property management activities conducted by PMG's licensees and/or its employees in | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | violation of Code sections 10159.2 and 10177(h). FLORES failed to establish policies, rules, | |
| 3 | procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect, and manage transactions requiring a real | |
| 4 | estate license and the handling of trust funds in violation of Regulations section 2725. | |
| 5 | 11. | |
| 6 | Each of the foregoing violations in Paragraphs 10 (a)-(g) above constitute cause | |
| 7 | for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and/or license rights of Respondents | |
| 8 | under the provisions of Code sections 10177(d), 10177(g), and 10177(h) (as to FLORES). | |
| 9 | <u>COSTS</u> | |
| 10 | (AUDIT COSTS) | |
| 11 | 12. | |
| 12 | Section 10148(b) of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that the Real Estate | |
| 13 | Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the costs of any audit if the Commissioner | |
| 14 | has found in a final decision, following a disciplinary hearing, that the broker has violated | |
| 15 | Section 10145 of the Code or a regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said Code | |
| 16 | section. | |
| 17 | (INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS) | |
| 18 | 13. | |
| 19 | Section 10106 of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in | |
| 20 | resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request | |
| 21 | the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this par | |
| 22 | to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case. | |
| 23 | (PRAYER) | |
| 24 | WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the | |
| 25 | allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing | |
| 26 | disciplinary action against all the licenses and/or license rights of Respondents PRIME | |

MANAGEMENT GROUP INC and DANIEL FLORES under the Real Estate Law, for the

27

| i i | di d |
|-----|--|
| 1 | costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit, and for |
| 2 | such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Dated at Los Angeles, California this 10th day of 12019. |
| 5 | |
| 6 | May hallon |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Maria Suarez Supervising Special Investigator |
| 9 | |
| 10 | DDIATE MANIA GENERITE CDOUD ING |
| 11 | cc: PRIME MANAGEMENT GROUP INC DANIEL FLORES |
| 12 | Maria Suarez Sacto. |
| 13 | Audits – Isabel Beltran |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

27