1	LISSETE GARCIA, Counsel (SBN 211552) Bureau of Real Estate
2	320 West 4th Street, Suite 350
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6914 DUI 2 6 2016 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
4	Fax: (213) 576-6917
5	
6	
7	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	* * *
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of) CalBRE No. H-40444 LA
11)
12	NEW WAVE REALTY & FINANCE, INC.; LILIT LILY GALADZHYAN, individually and as designated officer of New Wave Realty &)
13	Finance, Inc.; RICK LOUIS GARCIA;
14	HAIK BOKHCHALIAN; and) ARTHUR BOYADZHYAN,)
15	Respondents.
16)
17	
18	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of
19	California, for cause of Accusation against NEW WAVE REALTY & FINANCE, INC.; LILIT
20	LILY GALADZHYAN, individually, and as designated officer of New Wave Realty & Finance,
21	Inc.; RICK LOUIS GARCIA; HAIK BOKHCHALIAN; and ARTHUR BOYADZHYAN
22	(collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:
23	
24	
	PAGE 1

CALBRE ACCUSATION OF NEW WAVE REALTY & FINANCE, INC., ET AL

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

///

III

22

23

24

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to the Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

3.

Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code).

4.

From May 2, 2007, through the present, Respondent NEW WAVE REALTY & FINANCE, INC. ("NEW WAVE") has been licensed and/or has license rights by the Bureau of Real Estate¹ ("Bureau") as a corporate real estate broker, License ID 01806303. At all times relevant herein, NEW WAVE was licensed to use the fictitious business name and was doing business as "New Wave Realty Group."

5.

From November 15, 2005, through the present, Respondent LILIT LILY GALADZHYAN ("GALADZHYAN"), aka Lily Galajian and Lily Galadjian, has been licensed by the Bureau as a real estate broker, License ID 01244046.

¹ Effective July 1, 2013, the Department of Real Estate became the Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau"). All references to the agency are to the successor Bureau.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent NEW WAVE was licensed as a real estate corporation, acting by and through Respondent GALADZHYAN as its designated broker-officer. As the broker-officer designated by Respondent NEW WAVE pursuant to Section 10211 of the Code, GALADZHYAN was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of Respondent NEW WAVE, by its officers and employees, as necessary to secure full compliance with Real Estate Law as set forth in Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725.

7.

From November 24, 2008, through the present, Respondent RICK LOUIS GARCIA ("GARCIA") has been licensed by the Bureau as a real estate salesperson, License ID 01848743. At all times relevant herein, GARCIA was licensed under the employment of NEW WAVE.

8.

From January 08, 2002, through the present, Respondent HAIK BOKHCHALIAN ("BOKHCHALIAN") has been licensed by the Bureau as a real estate salesperson, License ID 01325511. At all times relevant herein, BOKHCHALIAN was licensed under the employment of NEW WAVE.

9.

From June 15, 2006, through the present, Respondent ARTHUR BOYADZHYAN ("BOYADZHYAN") aka Art Boyadzhyan has been licensed by the Bureau as a real estate salesperson, License ID 01749904. At all times relevant herein, BOYADZHYAN was licensed under the employment of NEW WAVE.

PAGE 3
CALBRE ACCUSATION OF NEW WAVE REALTY & FINANCE, INC., ET AL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Parkway Investment Group, Inc. ("Parkway") is a California corporation, Entity No. C3355942. Parkway Investment Group, Inc. is owned and controlled by Respondent BOKHCHALIAN,

CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Short Sale of Onyx Property)

11.

On or about April June 25, 2014, Respondents NEW WAVE and GARCIA entered into an exclusive residential listing agreement with seller, E.D.2, for the short sale of a residential property located at 200 Onyx Ave., Newport Beach, California ("Onyx property"). The listing period was June 25, 2014 through December 31, 2014. Respondent GARCIA advised and induced E.D. to list the Onyx property for a listing price of \$975,000. E.D. owed approximately \$1,650,000 on the mortgage for the Onyx property. Respondent NEW WAVE was to receive six (6) percent of the sale price as commission for the sale of the Onyx property. Respondent NEW WAVE is listed as the listing broker and Respondent GARCIA as the listing agent on the residential listing agreement.

12.

On June 30, 2014, Respondent GARCIA presented seller E.D. with an offer from Parkway to purchase the Onyx property for a purchase price of \$850,000. Respondent NEW WAVE was listed as both the listing and selling broker. Respondent GALADZHYAN is listed as the agent for Parkway on the purchase offer. Respondents GALADZHYAN, GARCIA, BOKHCHALIAN, and BOYADZHYAN failed to disclose to E.D. that BOKHCHALIAN, who

² Initials are used in place of individuals' full names to protect their privacy. Documents containing individuals' full names will be provided during the discovery phase of this case to Respondents and/or their attorneys, after service of a timely and proper request for discovery on Complainant's counsel.

owned Parkway, was an agent for NEW WAVE. Respondent GARCIA advised and induced E.D. to accept Parkway's offer. Respondent GARCIA presented no other offers to E.D. prior to her acceptance of Parkway's offer on or about June 30, 2014. Respondents negotiated, assisted, and performed services to complete the short sale of the Onyx property from E.D. to Parkway.

13.

On or about July 16, 2014, broker J.H. who represented prospective buyer, D.M., submitted to Respondent GARCIA, D.M.'s cash offer to purchase the Onyx property for \$1,400,000. Respondent GARCIA failed to present D.M.'s offer to seller, E.D., or to E.D.'s lender.

14.

On or about August 22, 2014, Respondent GARCIA informed J.H. that another buyer had submitted an offer higher than D.M.'s offer to purchase the Onyx property and that D.M.'s offer would be the first back-up offer. Respondent GARCIA continued negotiations with J.H. concerning the sale of the Onyx property to D.M. without informing E.D. In or around January of 2015, Respondent GARCIA informed J.H. that the lender had agreed to an offer of \$1,592,000 and that his buyer would sell the Onyx property to D.M. Parkway subsequently sold the Onyx property to D.M. for \$1,592,000 as is described below in further detail in Paragraph 21.

15.

On or about January 9, 2015, Cal Smart Escrow, Inc. ("Cal Smart Escrow") issued Sale Escrow Instructions for Escrow No. 2577-EE for the sale of the Onyx property from E.D. to Parkway. The sale price of the Onyx property was \$1,295,500, which included an alleged \$50,000 deposit made to escrow and an additional deposit to be made to escrow of \$1,245,500. Enid Espinoza was the escrow officer for Cal Smart Escrow. Victorian Homes is listed as the

buyer or among their respective agents that are not reflected in the residential purchase

agreement or the escrow instructions associated with the transaction.

23

22

Escrow Instructions was not provided to E.D. or E.D.'s lender.

///

On February 4, 2015, Enid Espinoza emailed a HUD-1 Settlement Statement to

Respondent BOYADZHYAN for review and approval. Respondent BOYADZHYAN replied

with changes that he wanted made to the HUD-1 Settlement Statement. The final HUD-1

Settlement Statement did not note any deposit of \$50,000 or of any amount by Parkway. Cal

Smart Escrow closed escrow no. 2577-EE on February 4, 2015. Respondent NEW WAVE

received \$77,730 in commissions from the transaction. On February 6, 2015, Respondent

GARCIA sent an email to Enid Espinoza with an attached copy of Amended Escrow Instructions

which were signed by Respondents GARCIA and GALADZHYAN. A copy of the Amended

19.

20.

On February 7, 2015, E.D. sent an email to Respondent GARCIA after discovering that escrow had closed on the sale of the Onyx property even though E.D. hadn't signed any escrow documents or received any settlement statement or other documents from escrow. Respondent GARCIA failed to return E.D.'s messages.

(Sale of Onyx Property from Parkway to D.M.)

21.

On February 4, 2015, Respondent BOKHCHALIAN accepted D.M's offer to purchase the Onyx property for \$1,592,000. Escrow no. 2695-EE was opened with Cal Smart Escrow. Enid Espinoza was the escrow officer. Escrow closed on March 6, 2015. Respondent GALADZHYAN requested that NEW WAVE's commission of \$15,920 be paid to her.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents NEW WAVE, GALADZHYAN, GARCIA, BOKHCHALIAN, and BOYADZHYAN as set forth above in Paragraphs 11 through 21, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents pursuant to Code sections 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation); 10176(c) (continued or flagrant course of misrepresentation through real estate agents or salespersons), 10176(d) (acting for more than one party in a transaction without the knowledge or consent of all parties thereto); 10176(g) (secret or undisclosed compensation), 10176(i) and 10177(j) (fraud or dishonest dealing), 10177(d) (violation of the Real Estate Law), and/or 10177(g).

23.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent GALADZHYAN, in allowing Respondents NEW WAVE, GARCIA, BOKHCHALIAN, and BOYADZHYAN to violate the Real Estate Law, as set forth above, constitutes a failure by Respondent GALADZHYAN to exercise the supervision and control over the activities of Respondents as required by Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, and constitutes cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondent GALADZHYAN under Code Sections 10177(h) (failure to supervise),10177(d), and/or 10177(g).

COST RECOVERY

24.

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.