ſ		
1	CHERYL D. KEILY, SBN# 94008	
2	Bureau of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350	1965-003 (20 14) eductors (2015-05)
3	Los Angeles, California 90013	FILED
. 4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6905	FEB 27 2014
5		BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
6		By Aluno
7		
8		
9	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
10	STATE OF CALI	FORNIA
11	* * * *	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation )	No. H- 39338 LA
13	) FREEDOM ENTERPRISES INC.; and )	ACCUSATION
14	LOUIS CRUZ, individually, and ) as designated officer for )	
15	Freedom Enterprises Inc., )	
16	Respondents. )	
17		
18	The Complainant, Veronica	Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real
19	Estate Commissioner of the State of	California, for cause of
. 20	Accusation against FREEDOM ENTERPRIS	ES INC. ("FREEDOM") and LOUIS
21	CRUZ ("CRUZ"), individually, and as	designated officer for
22	FREEDOM, is informed and alleges as	follows:
23	1.	
. 24	The Complainant, Veronica	Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real
25 26	Estate Commissioner of the State of	California, makes this
20	Accusation in her official capacity.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
۷ ک		

FREEDOM is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a restricted real estate corporation acting by and through CRUZ as its designated broker-officer.

3.

CRUZ is presently licensed and/or has license rights 8 under the Real Estate Law as a restricted real estate broker and 9 designated broker-officer of FREEDOM. 10

4.

All further references to respondents herein includes FREEDOM and CRUZ, and also includes officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with FREEDOM and CRUZ, and who at all times herein 16 mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or 17 operations of FREEDOM and CRUZ, and who were acting within the 18 course and scope of their authority and employment.

20

27

19

1

2

3

Δ

5

б

7

11

12

13

14

15

At all times relevant herein CRUZ, as the officer 21 designated by FREEDOM, pursuant to Section 10211 of the Code, was 22 responsible for the supervision and control of the activities 23 conducted on behalf of FREEDOM by its officers and employees as 24necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law as 25 set forth in Section 10159.2 of the Code. 26

2

5.

2.

CRUZ ordered, caused, authorized or participated in the conduct of FREEDOM, as is alleged in this Accusation.

б.

7.

At all times material herein, Respondents were engaged 5 in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or 6 assumed to act as real estate brokers and/or real estate 7 corporations in the State of California, within the meaning of 8 Code Section 10131(d) of the Code. Said activities included 9 soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating the terms of 10 loans secured by real property between borrowers and third party 11 lenders for or in expectation of compensation. 12

## PRIOR DISCIPLINE

## 8.

On or about March 3, 2009, in Case No. H-34896 LA, the 15 Commissioner of the Department of Real Estate revoked the real 16 estate broker licenses of FREEDOM and CRUZ. The revocation of 17 Respondents' licenses was subject to their right to apply for and 18 be issued restricted real estate broker licenses on the terms and 19 conditions specified in the Decision. On April 24, 2009, both 20 Respondents were issued restricted real estate broker licenses. 21

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Audit Violations)

9.

On or about March 30, 2012, the Bureau completed an 25 audit examination of the books and records of Respondent FREEDOM 26 pertaining to the real estate activities described in Paragraph

27

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

13

14

1 7, above, covering a period from May 1, 2009, to November 30,
2 2011.

3

## 10.

The audit examination revealed violations of the Code
by Respondent FREEDOM, as set forth in the following paragraphs,
and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. SD 110037 and the
exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:

8 (a) Respondents collected, accepted or received funds in trust, including but not necessarily limited to, appraisal 9 fees and credit report fees ("trust funds"), from or on behalf of 10 11 parties to transactions handled by Respondents. Respondent did not maintain any trust accounts for its mortgage loan activities 12 13 during the audit period in violation of Code Section 10145 and 14 Section 2831 and 2831.1 of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code 15 of Regulations ("Regulations").

(b) Respondents collected, accepted or received trust
funds which were deposited in Respondent's general account and
commingled with Respondent's own funds in violation so Code
Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Section 2832 of the Regulations.

(c) Respondents received undisclosed compensation and
 secret profit by overcharging at least one client for credit
 report fees in violation of Code Section 10176(g).

(d) Respondents approved Mortgage Loan Disclosure
Statements ("MLDS") were not always provided to borrowers within
three (3) business days after receipt of a completed written loan
application in violation of Code Section 10240(a) and Section
2840 of the Regulations. Additionally, Respondents failed to

- 4 -

disclose to the borrower on the MLDS the rebate received from the lender.

3 (e) CRUZ failed to disclose his eight (8) digit real estate license identification number and Mortgage Loan Originator 4 5 Endorsement ID number on solicitation materials intended to be the first point of contact with consumers in violation of Code 6 7 Section 10140.6(b) and Section 2773 of the Regulations. 8 CRUZ failed to exercise reasonable control and (f) supervision over the activities conducted on behalf of FREEDOM 9 and by its employees and/or licensees as necessary to secure full 10 compliance with the Real Estate Laws in violation of Code Section 11 12 10159.2 and Section 2725 of the Regulations. 13 DISCIPLINE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS 14 11. 15 The conduct of Respondents described in Paragraph 10, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below: 16 17 PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED 18 10(a) Code Section 10145, Sections 2831 19 and 2831.1 of the Regulations 20 10(b) Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) 21 and Section 2832 of the Regulations 22 10(c) Code Section 10176(q) 23 10(d) Code Section 10240(a) and Section 24 2840 of the Regulations 25 10(e) Code Section 10140.6(b) and Section 2.6 2773 of the Regulations 27 111

- 5 -

1	10(f) Code Section 10159.2 and Section		
2	2725 of the Regulations		
3	12.		
4	The foregoing violations, as set forth hereinabove,		
5	constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real		
6	estate licenses and license rights of Respondent FREEDOM under		
7	the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) for violation of the		
8	Real Estate Law and/or 10177(g) for negligence or incompetence.		
9	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION		
10	(Failure to Supervise against Respondent CRUZ)		
11	13.		
12	Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the		
13	allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 12, above.		
14	14.	, . 	
15	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of CRUZ in allowing		
16	FREEDOM to violate the Real Estate Law, as set forth above,		
17	constitutes a failure by CRUZ, as the officer designated by the		
18	corporate broker licensee, to exercise the supervision and		
19	control over the activities of FREEDOM as required by Code		
20	Section 10159.2 and Section 2725 of the Regulations, and is cause		
21	to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights		
22	of CRUZ under Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).		
23	Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in		
24 25	any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding		
25	before the Department, the Commissioner may request the		
20	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have		

- 6 -

committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the 1 reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case. 2

Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, that 3 in the event that respondent has violated Code Section 10145, or 4 a regulation interpreting said section, the respondent shall pay 5 the Commissioner's reasonable costs for (a) the audit which led 6 to the disciplinary action, and (b) a subsequent audit to 7 determine if the respondent has corrected the violations found in 8 9 the original audit.

10 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 11 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 12 action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondents 13 FREEDOM ENTERPRISES INC. and LOUIS CRUZ under the Real Estate Law 14(Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for 15 the costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, 16 for audit costs pursuant to Code Section 10148(b), and for such 17 other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable 18 19 provisions of law.

Dated at San Diego, California 20

this 18 day of \_ February 2014.

Veronica Kilpatr

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

FREEDOM ENTERPRISES INC. LOUIS CRUZ Veronica Kilpatrick Sacto

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CC:

7 -