FILED

1	Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel (SBN 66674) Bureau of Real Estate	SEP 26 2013
2	320 West 4th Street, Suite 350	3EF 20 (UI)3
3	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BY:
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6911	
5	Direct: (213) 576-6911 Facsimile: (213) 576-6917	
6		
7		
8		
9	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	***	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12	SKYWAY INVESTMENT CORPORATION,) No. H-39055 LA
13	doing business as Skyway Financial Company;	j ·
14	and) ACCUSATION
15	YAOTIAN LUO, individually and as designated officer of Skyway Financial Company,))
16	Respondents,)
17)
18	The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the	
19		•
20	State of California, for cause of Accusation against SKYWAY INVESTMENT	
21	CORPORATION ("SIC") and YAOTIAN LUO ("LUO"), individually and as designated office	
22	of Skyway Financial Company (collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:	
	1.	
23	The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the Sta	
24	of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.	
25	///	

officer.

.

From May 6, 1997, Respondent SIC has been licensed as a real estate corporation. At all times relevant herein, SIC was acting by and through Respondent LUO as its designated broker-officer since February 5, 1999, pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section 10159.2 to be responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law.

3.

From February 5, 1999, through the present, Respondent LUO has been licensed as a real estate broker.

4.

SIC is owned by LUO, its chief executive officer, secretary, and chief financial

5.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of "Respondents", such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondents committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondents and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment including LUO and Elsa Hui.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

6.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Monterey Park, County of Los Angeles, Respondents SIC and LUO acted as real estate brokers and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of:

A. Code Section 10131(a). Respondents engaged in the business of a residential resale brokerage and acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker, including the solicitation and negotiation of the sale of real property as the agent of others; and

B. In addition, SIC conducted broker-controlled escrows through its escrow

division, Skyway Escrow, under the exemption set forth in California Financial Code Section 17006(a)(4) for real estate brokers performing escrows incidental to a real estate transaction where the broker is a party and where the broker is performing acts for which a real estate license is required.

Audit

7.

On April 30, 2013, the Bureau completed an audit examination of the books and records of Respondent SIC pertaining only to the broker-controlled escrow activities described in Paragraph 6, which require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on March 1, 2010 and ending on February 28, 2013. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations") set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully set forth in Audit Report LA120234 and the exhibits and work papers attached thereto.

Bank Account

8.

At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities described in Paragraph 6, above, SIC accepted or received funds including funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of actual or prospective parties to real estate transactions, including escrow services provided by SIC's in-house escrow division. Thereafter SIC made deposits and or disbursements of such trust funds. From time to time herein mentioned during the audit period, said trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by SIC in the bank account herein set forth.

///

1//

///

•	
1	Bank Account 1: (BA 1)
2	Bank: East West Bank
3	Monterey Park Branch
4	720 West Garvey Avenue Monterey Park, CA 91754
5	Account Name: Skyway Investment Corp/Skyway Escrow
6	
; 7	Account Number: *****4866
. 8	(Used for handling trust funds received and disbursed from SIC's broker
9	escrow activities)
10	Violations of the Real Estate Law
11	9.
12	In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 6 and 8, above, and during the
13	examination period, described in Paragraph 7, Respondents SIC and LUO, acted in violation of
14	the Code and the Regulations in which Respondents:
15	(a) Failed to maintain a control record in the form of a columnar record in
16	
17	chronological order for escrow bank account BA 1, in violation of Code Section 10145 and
18	Regulations 2831, 2950(d) and 2951;
19	(b) BA 1 was not in the name of the broker as trustee at a bank or other financial
. 20	institution, nor designated as a trust account, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations
21	2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951;
22	(c) Permitted Elsa Hui, an unlicensed and unbonded escrow officer, to be an
23.	authorized signatory on BA 1, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834(a);
24	
25	
26	<i>///</i>
	4
	11

(d) Failed to disburse from	m BA 1 broker's escrow fees totaling \$400.15, within				
twenty-five days of deposit, in violation of Code Sections 10145, 10176(e) and Regulation					
2835;					

- (e) Used the fictitious names "Skyway Investment Corp" and "Skyway Escrow" to conduct licensed activities, without holding a license bearing said fictitious business names, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731;
- (f) Failed to disclose in writing to all parties of SIC's financial interest and ownership of SIC's escrow company, Skyway Escrow, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2950(h); and
- (g) Failed to maintain signed broker salesperson agreements with salespersons, Chi Sui Chen, Hong Li Chen, Grace Peng Chou, Jixian Jin, Ton Ma, Betty Hsiang Hui Meng, and Julia C. Wu, in violation of Code Section and Regulation 2726.

10.

The conduct of Respondents SIC and LUO, described in Paragraph 9, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
9(a)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d) and 2951
9(b)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951
9(c)	Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834(a), 2950(d) and 2951
9(d)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulations 2835 and 2951
9(e)	Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731
9(f)	Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2950(h)
9(g)	10177(d) and Regulation 2726

The foregoing violations constitute cause for discipline of the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(e) and 10177(d).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Negligence)

12.

The overall conduct of Respondents SIC and LUO constitutes negligence and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Fiduciary Duty)

13.

The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondents SIC and LUO constitute a breach of fiduciary duty, owed to SIC's clients and trust fund beneficiaries of good faith, trust, confidence and candor, within the scope of their escrow relationship, in violation of Code Section 10177(g), and constitutes cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of said Code Section.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Supervision and Compliance)

14.

The overall conduct of Respondent LUO constitutes a failure on said Respondent's part, as officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of SIC as required by Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, and to keep SIC in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent LUO pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).

15.

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau of Real Estate, the Commissioner may

_

26.

1 request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of 2 this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of 3 the case. 4 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations 5 of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 6 action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents SKYWAY INVESTMENT 7 CORPORATION and YAOTIAN LUO, individually and as designated officer of Skyway 8 9 Financial Company under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business 10 and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other 11 applicable provisions of law, including, but not limited to, costs of audit, investigation and 12 enforcement. 13 Dated at Los Angeles, California. 14 15 September, 2013. 16 17 18 ROBIN TROJILLO Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 19 20 21 22 23 24 cc: Skyway Financial Company Yaotian Luo 25 Robin Trujillo Audits – Kathleen Mak 26 Sacto