Ar V	
1	Department of Real Estate
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 Direct: (213) 576-6914 Fax: (213) 576-6917
. 6 7 8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9 10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * * In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE No. H-38731 LA
11 12 13	Im the entropy of the Accusation of
14 15	Respondents.)))
16 17 18	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
19 20	against KENNETH BRIAN CLEAVER and JASON ALLAN SMITH (collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:
21 22	1. The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate
23 24 25	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.
26 27	2. From September 15, 1990, through the present,
28	Respondent KENNETH BRIAN CLEAVER ("CLEAVER") has been licensed

by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate 1 2 salesperson, Department License ID 01088154. From January 7, 3 2010, through June 19, 2012, Respondent was licensed under the 4 employment of broker Robert Scott McCutcheon. 5 3. 6 From November 15, 2006, through the present, 7 Respondent JASON ALLAN SMITH ("SMITH") has been licensed by the 8 Department as a real estate broker, Department License ID 9 01778833. Respondent SMITH is also an attorney licensed in 10 California, State Bar No. 237584. 11 4. 12 Fixed Rate Financial, Inc. ("Fixed Rate") is a 13 California corporation formed on or about April 21, 2008. 14 Respondent CLEAVER is the Chief Executive Officer and director 15 of Fixed Rate. Fixed Rate has never been licensed in any 16 capacity by the Department. 17 18 FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 19 (Advance Fee Violations) 20 5. 21 Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 10132 22 defines a real estate salesperson as a person who, for 23 compensation or in expectation of compensation, is employed by a licensed real estate broker to do one or more of the acts set 24 25 forth in Code sections 10131, 10131.1, 10131.2, 10131.3, 26 10131.4, and 10131.6. 27 28

Code section 10131, subdivision (d), defines a real estate broker as a person who solicits borrowers or lenders for or negotiates loans or collects payment or performs services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity.

7.

On October 11, 2009, former Governor Arnold 9 Schwarzenegger signed Senate Bill 94 (Calderon), and the 10 legislation took effect immediately upon his signature. Thus, 11 California law prohibited any person, including real estate 12 licensees and attorneys, from demanding or collecting an advance 13 fee from a consumer for loan modification or mortgage loan 14 forbearance services affecting 1 - 4 unit residential dwellings. 15 16 8.

The following notice was prominently featured on the Department's website as of October 11, 2009:

"IF YOU ARE A REAL ESTATE BROKER, OR THE DESIGNATED 19 OFFICER OF A LICENSED CORPORATION, WHO HAS BEEN ISSUED A "NO 20 OBJECTION" LETTER BY THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE FOR LOAN 21 MODIFICATION OR OTHER MORTGAGE LOAN FORBEARANCE SERVICES, YOU 22 CAN NO LONGER ENTER INTO THESE AGREEMENTS EFFECTIVE AS OF 23 OCTOBER 11, 2009, NOR CAN YOU COLLECT ANY ADVANCE FEES FOR SUCH 24 SERVICES. Agreements entered into and advance fees collected 25 prior to October 11, 2009 are not affected. Advance fees 26 inadvertently collected after October 11, 2009 must be fully 27 refunded. All real estate licensees should become familiar with 28

3

6.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

the provisions of SB94 as there are substantial administrative 1 2 and criminal penalties for violations." 3 9. Code section 10085.5 prohibits the collection of 4 5 advance fees for loan modification services. Code section 10085.5, subdivision (a) states: 6 7 "[I]t shall be unlawful for any person to 8 claim, demand, charge, receive, collect or contract for an advance fee (1) for soliciting 9 lenders on behalf of borrowers or performing 10 services for borrowers in connection with loans to be secured directly or collaterally by a lien 11 on real property, before the borrower becomes obligated to complete the loan, or (2) for 12 performing any other activities for which a 13 license is required, unless the person is a licensed real estate broker and has complied with 14 the provisions of this part. 15 10. 16 Further, Code section 10085.6, subdivision (a) 17 states: "[N]otwithstanding any other provision of 18 law, it shall be unlawful for any licensee who 19 negotiates, attempts to negotiate, arranges, attempts to arrange, or otherwise offers to 20 perform a mortgage loan modification or other 21 form of mortgage loan forbearance for a fee or other compensation paid by the borrower to do any 22 of the following: 23 claim, demand, charge, collect, or (1)receive any compensation until after the licensee 24 has fully performed each and every service the 25 licensee contracted to perform or represented that he, she, or it would perform. 26 Take any wage assignment, any lien of 27 (2)any type on real or personal property, or other 28 security to secure payment or compensation. 4

Take any power of attorney from the (3) borrower for any purpose.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, Respondents, while doing business in their own name or a fictitious business name including, but not limited to, Fixed Rate, solicited and offered loan modification, negotiation and foreclosure forbearance services to consumers through advertisements on television, radio, and/or the internet. Respondents entered into and participated in a plan or scheme to charge and collect advance fees from borrowers for loan modification, negotiation and foreclosure forbearance services, in spite of existing laws prohibiting such fees, with the intent to substantially benefit themselves.

12.

16 In furtherance of the plan and scheme described in Paragraph 11, Respondents engaged in fraud, dishonest dealing and made misrepresentations to borrowers in order to induce them to pay an advance fee for said services. Respondents promised borrowers that a licensed attorney would handle the loan modification and negotiations with the borrowers' lenders. Respondents claimed Fixed Rate had a 98 percent success rate of achieving loan modifications or a principal reduction for borrowers due to Fixed Rate's attorney. Respondents also recommended that borrowers fail to pay one or more mortgage payments to their lenders in order to improve the borrowers' chances of obtaining a loan modification. In a blatant attempt to circumvent existing laws prohibiting real estate brokers and

attorneys from charging and collecting advance fees for loan modification services, Respondents had borrowers sign an agreement with Fixed Rate for "document preparation" and Respondent SMITH had borrowers sign a "professional services" agreement. Nonetheless, Respondent CLEAVER instructed borrowers to pay advance fees for loan modification and negotiation services directly to Fixèd Rate.

13,

On or around March 9, 2011, Respondent CLEAVER 9 solicited loan modification and negotiation services to 10 borrowers Timothy and Carolyn W. in connection with a loan 11 secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property 12 owned by Timothy and Carolyn W. Respondent CLEAVER advised 13 Timothy and Carolyn W. that it would be easier to obtain a loan 14 modification if their mortgage was in arrears. Respondents 15 charged and collected an advance fee of \$3,200 from Timothy and 16 Carolyn W. The advance fee was paid directly to Fixed Rate's 17 Additionally, Respondent SMITH attempted to bank account. 18 charge Timothy and Carolyn W. for appraisal services of their 19 property. Respondents failed to provide the services promised 20 to Timothy and Carolyn W. Respondents failed to provide copies 21 to Timothy and Carolyn W. of any agreement or other document the 22 borrowers were told to sign. Respondents failed to provide any 23 proof of any services performed on behalf of Timothy and Carolyn 24 W., aside from a list of a few calls made by "Diana" from 25 SMITH's office to Timothy and Carolyn W.'s lender inquiring as 26 to whether the lender had begun foreclosure proceedings. 27

28

8

Respondents refused to refund any of the advance fees paid by
 Timothy and Carolyn W.

14.

On or around June 6, 2011, Respondent CLEAVER 4 solicited loan modification and negotiation services to 5 6 borrowers Clayton and Gayla R. Respondents charged and 7 collected an advance fee of \$3,650 from Clayton and Gayla R. The advance fee was paid by cashier's check to Fixed Rate. 8 Clayton and Gayla R. were instructed to sign a Client 9 Authorization to Represent form which authorized SMITH to obtain 10 information about their mortgage from their lender. Clayton and 11 Gayla R. were also instructed to sign Professional Services 12 13 Agreements with SMITH to perform an analysis and review of their 14 mortgage and to negotiate a loan modification with their lender. Respondents failed to provide the services promised to Clayton 15 and Gayla R. Respondents failed to provide any proof of any 16 services performed on behalf of Clayton and Gayla R. 17 Respondents refused to refund any of the advance fees paid by 18 19 Clayton and Gayla R.

15.

21 22

20

3

Respondents Are Non-Exempt from License Requirements

Code section 10133(a) states that the acts described in Code section 10131 are not acts for which a real estate license is required if performed by:

"(3) An attorney at law in rendering legal services to a client." /// 28 ///

Respondent CLEAVER, while doing business as his 2 unlicensed company, Fixed Rate, solicited and offered to perform 3 loan modifications and other services in connection with loan 4 5 secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property. Respondent CLEAVER collected advance fees for said services б through Fixed Rate, Respondent CLEAVER's unlicensed company. 7 Respondents had borrowers sign a "professional services" 8 agreement as a form of legal retainer for loan modification 9 services in an attempt to circumvent existing laws that 10 restricted the charging and collection of advance fees from 11 borrowers prior to the completion of loan modification services. 12 13 Respondent SMITH never personally met with any of the borrowers mentioned in Paragraphs 13 and 14 above. Respondent SMITH never 14provided any proof of completing any legal services for said 15 borrowers which would exempt Respondent SMITH from licensing 16 requirements pursuant to Code section 10133(a). 17

17.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents as set forth in Paragraphs 3 through 16, above, are in violation of Code sections 10085, 10085.5, and 10085.6 and constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents KENNETH BRIAN CLEAVER and JASON ALLAN SMITH pursuant to Code sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

18.

8

Respondents' conduct, acts and/or omissions as set forth in Paragraphs 3 through 16, above, constitute grounds for

16.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents KENNETH BRIAN CLEAVER and JASON ALLAN SMITH pursuant to Code sections 10176(a) (making any substantial misrepresentation), 10176(b) (making any false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce), and 10176(i) (conduct...which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Unlicensed Activity and Unlawful Compensation)

19.

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 above, with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

20.

The activities described in Paragraphs 3 through 16, above, require a real estate license under Code sections 10131(d) and 10131.2. Respondent CLEAVER, while doing business as Fixed Rate, violated Code section 10130 by acting in the capacity of a real estate broker without first obtaining a real estate license from the Department. Respondent CLEAVER's activities were not done under the name or supervision of his employing broker at the time.

21.

9

Respondent CLEAVER's activities while doing business
 as Fixed Rate as described in Paragraphs 3 through 16, above,
 violated Code section 10137 for accepting compensation from any

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

person other than the broker under whom Respondent CLEAVER was licensed at the time.

22.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent CLEAVER as set forth in Paragraphs 20 and 21, above, are in violation of Code Sections 10130 and 10137 and constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license and license rights of Respondent KENNETH BRIAN CLEAVER pursuant to Code sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

23.

Code section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

 19
 ///

 20
 ///

 21
 ///

 22
 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

26

27 || /// 28 || ///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents KENNETH BRIAN CLEAVER and JASON ALLAN SMITH under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Dated at Los Angeles, California this 22nd day of MARIA SUARE Deputy Real Estate Commissioner CC: Kenneth Brian Cleaver Jason Allan Smith Maria Suarez Sacto