give por		FILED	
$ \begin{array}{c} $	JAMES DEMUS, Counsel (SBN 225005) Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6910	APR 162012 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BY: Jame B. Mon	
5 6 7 8	BEFOR	ETHE	
9 10	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	***		
12	In the Matter of the Application of	NO. H-37821 LA	
13		2012021131	
14	JAMES ALFRED SANTANA,	FIRST AMENDED STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15 16	Respondent.	Mortgage Loan Originator License Endorsement	
17	This Statement of Issues amends the Statement of Issues filed on January 31,		
19	2012. The Complainant, SYLVIA YRIGOLLEN		
20	Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Statement of Issues against JAMES		
. 21	ALFRED SANTANA, (hereinafter "Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:		
22	1		
23	Respondent is presently licensed b	by the California Department of Real Estate	
24	(hereinafter "the Department") as a real estate broker, license number 01194777.		
25	2		
26	On Àpril 14, 2008, the California	Department of Real Estate ("Department") filed	
27	an Accusation against Respondent, in Case No. H-34870 LA, alleging that the conduct of		
	-	1-	

م د ب		
1	Respondent constituted cause for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's real estate	
2	license, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code ("Code") Sections 10176(e),	
3	10176(a), 10176(g), 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h). On July 6, 2009, Respondent filed a	
4	Notice of Defense on this Accusation. The outcome of this case is still pending.	
5	3 ·	
6	On April 23, 2008, the California Department of Real Estate ("Department")	
7	filed an Accusation against Respondent, in Case No. H-34868 LA, alleging that the conduct of	
8	Respondent constituted cause for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's real estate	
9	license, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code ("Code") Sections 10165,	
. 10	10176(a), 10176(g) 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h). On May 8, 2008, Respondent filed a	
11	Notice of Defense on this Accusation. The outcome of this case is still pending.	
12	4	
13	On or about December 10, 2010, Respondent made application to the	
14	Department for an individual mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter	
15	"individual license endorsement").	
16	5	
17	Question (K) of the individual license endorsement application, states, to wit:	
18	"Are you now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could result in a 'yes' answer	
. 19	to any part of I or J?". Question (I) asked, in pertinent part, if the applicant has ever had a	
20	license denied, suspended or revoked through a regulatory action. In response to Question (K),	
21	Respondent failed to reveal that he was the subject of the regulatory actions described in	
22	Paragraph 2 above.	
23	6	
24	On or about September 12, 2011, Respondent made application to the	
25	Department for a control person mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter	
26	"control person license endorsement").	
27		
	- 2-	

1 7 2 Ouestion (K) of the control person license endorsement application, states, to wit: 3 "Are you now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could result in a 'yes' answer 4 to any part of I or J?". Ouestion (I) asked, in pertinent part, if the applicant has ever had a 5 license denied, suspended or revoked through a regulatory action. In response to Question (K), 6 Respondent failed to reveal that he was the subject of the regulatory actions described in 7 Paragraphs 2 and 3 above. 8 8 9 On or about September 17, 2011, Respondent made application to the Department 10 for a company mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter "company license 11 endorsement"). The entity named in the company license endorsement was "James Alfred 12 Santana". 13 9 14 Ouestion (E) of the company license endorsement application, states, to wit: "Is 15 the entity or control affiliate now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could 16 result in a 'yes' answer to any part of C?". Question (C) asked, in pertinent part, if the entity has 17 ever had a license denied, suspended or revoked through a regulatory action. In response to 18 Ouestion (E), Respondent failed to reveal that he was the subject of the regulatory actions 19 described in Paragraphs 2 and 3 above. 20 10 21 The facts alleged in Paragraphs 2 through 9 above, constitute cause for denial of 22 Respondent's applications for license endorsements under Sections 475(a)(1), 480(c), 10177(a) 23 and 10166.051(b) (withholding information or material misstatement in license endorsement 24 application) of the Code. 25 /// 26 $\parallel \parallel$ 27 ///

- 3'-

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegation	
2	of this Statement of Issues, and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered that the	
3	Commissioner refuse to authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of, mortgage loan	
4	originator license endorsements to Respondent, and for such other and further relief as may be	
5	proper under the provisions of law.	
6	Autor March	
7	SYLVA YRIGOLLEN DeputyReal Estate Commissioner	
9	Dated at Sacramento, California,	
10	this 10 Huday of April, 2012.	
11		
12	cc: James Alfred Santana	
13	Sylvia Yrigollen Sacto.	
14	•	
15	•	
16		
17		
18		
· 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	-	
25		
26		
27		

	• • FILED			
	JAMES DEMUS, Counsel (SBN 225005) Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6910 JAN 3 1 2012 DEPARTMENT OF REALESTATE BY: DURALLE MOLENLA			
,	7			
	BEFORE THE			
	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
1(STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
1	***			
12	In the Matter of the Application of NO. H-37821 LA			
1:	JAMES ALFRED SANTANA,) <u>STATEMENT OF ISSUES</u>			
14	Respondent. Mortgage Loan Originator License Endorsement			
10 .11				
.ı 18				
19				
2(
2	Respondent is presently licensed by the California Department of Real Estate			
22	(hereinafter "the Department") as a real estate broker, license number 01194777.			
23	2			
24	On April 14, 2008, the California Department of Real Estate ("Department") filed			
25	an Accusation against Respondent, in Case No. H-34870 LA, alleging that the conduct of			
20	Respondent constituted cause for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's real estate			
27	license, pursuant to California Business and Professions Code ("Code") Sections 10176(e),			

П

. 1	10176(a), 10176(g), 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h). Respondent filed a Notice of Defense or	
2		
3	3	
4	On or about December 10, 2010, Respondent made application to the	
5		
<u>й</u> б		
7	4	
8	Question (K) of the individual license endorsement application, states, to wit:	
9	"Are you now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could result in a 'yes' answer	
10	to any part of I or J?". Question (I) asked, in pertinent part, if the applicant has ever had a	
11	license denied, suspended or revoked through a regulatory action. In response to Question (K),	
12	Respondent failed to reveal that he was the subject of the regulatory action described in	
13	Paragraph 2 above.	
14	5	l
15	On or about September 12, 2011, Respondent made application to the	
16	Department for a control person mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter	
17	"control person license endorsement").	
18	6	
19	Question (K) of the control person license endorsement application, states, to wit:	
20	"Are you now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could result in a 'yes' answer	
21	to any part of I or J?". Question (I) asked, in pertinent part, if the applicant has ever had a	1
22	license denied, suspended or revoked through a regulatory action. In response to Question (K),	
23	Respondent failed to reveal that he was the subject of the regulatory action described in	1
24	Paragraph 2 above.	
25	7	
26	On or about September 17, 2011, Respondent made application to the Department	
. 27	for a company mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter "company license	
	- 2 -	

endorsement"). The entity named in the company license endorsement was "James Alfred 2 Santana".

3

1

4 Question (E) of the company license endorsement application, states, to wit: "Is 5 the entity or control affiliate now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could 6 result in a 'yes' answer to any part of C?". Question (C) asked, in pertinent part, if the entity has 7 ever had a license denied, suspended or revoked through a regulatory action. In response to 8 Question (E), Respondent failed to reveal that he was the subject of the regulatory action 9 described in Paragraph 2 above.

9

8

10

20

21

22

11 The facts alleged in Paragraphs 2 through 8 above, constitute cause for denial of 12 Respondent's applications for license endorsements under Sections 475(a)(1), 480(c), 10177(a) 13 and 10166.051(b) (withholding information or material misstatement in license endorsement 14 application) of the Code.

15 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations 16 of this Statement of Issues, and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered that the 17 Commissioner refuse to authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of, mortgage loan 18 originator license endorsements to Respondent, and for such other and further relief as may be 19 proper under the provisions of law.

al Estate/Qommissioner

23 Dated at Sacramento, California, 24 this 102012. 25 cc: James Alfred Santana 26 Sylvia Yrigollen Sacto. 27

3 -