JULIE L. TO, SBN 219482 Department of Real Estate JAN 05 7012 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 3 Telephone: (213) 576-6916 (direct) (213) 576-6982 (office) 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 SUPERSTARS INC., doing business as Award Escrow, No. H- 37776 LA Century 21 Superstars and Superstars University; and 13. DAVID PATRICK ROMERO, individually and as designated officer of Superstars Inc., 14 ACCUSATION 15 Respondents. 16 The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State 17 of California, for cause of Accusation against SUPERSTARS INC., doing business as Award 18 Escrow, Century 21 Superstars and Superstars University, and DAVID PATRICK ROMERO, 19 individually and as designated officer of Superstars Inc., alleges as follows: 20 21 The Complainant, Maria Suarez, acting in her official capacity as a Deputy Real 22 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation. 23 24 All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code 25 and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. 26 27

License Status

A. SUPERSTARS INC. ("SI"). At all times mentioned, Respondent SI was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate broker. On November, 30, 1994, SI was originally licensed as a corporate real estate broker. Respondent SI was authorized to act by and through Respondent DAVID PATRICK ROMERO as SI's designated broker pursuant to Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") Sections 10159.2 and 10211 to be responsible for ensuring compliance with the Real Estate Law.

B. SI's real estate broker license includes the dbas Award Escrow, a "non-independent broker escrow ("AWARD"), as of February 15, 2011, Century 21 Superstars ("C21"), as of October 1, 1999, and Superstars University ("SU"), as of May 2, 2003.

C. DAVID PATRICK ROMERO ("ROMERO") At all times mentioned,
Respondent ROMERO was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department as a real
estate broker. ROMERO is the designated officer of SI, AWARD, and Award-Superstars

Brokerage

4.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Rancho Santa Margarita, Orange County, SI and ROMERO acted as real estate brokers conducting licensed activities within the meaning of:

A. Code Section 10131(a). Selling or offering to sell, buying or offering to buy, soliciting prospective sellers or purchasers of, soliciting or obtaining listings of, or negotiating the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or a business opportunity.

- B. Code Section 10131(d). Soliciting borrowers or lenders for or negotiating loans or colleting payments or performing services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property.
- C. In addition, SI conducted broker-controlled escrows through its escrow division under the exemption set forth in California Financial Code Section 17006(a)(4) for real estate

brokers performing escrows incidental to a real estate transaction where the broker is a party and 1 where the broker is performing acts for which a real estate license is required. 2 3 FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Audit Examination – Superstars Inc. – Real Estate Sales Activity) 5 5. 6 On September 29, 2010, the Department completed an audit examination of the 7 books and records of SI's real estate sales activity, as described in Paragraph 4A, which require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on October 1, 2007 and ending on July 31, 2010. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the 10 Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report 11 LA 090251 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report. 12 **Trust Account** 13 6. 14 During the audit period, SI did not maintain a trust account for its real estate sales 15 activity. 16 Audit Violations 17 7. 18 In the course of activities described in Paragraph 4A, above, and during the audit 19 examination period described in Paragraph 5, Respondents SI and ROMERO acted in violation 20 of the Code and the Regulations in which Respondents: 21 (a) Did not have in its possession the earnest money deposit check SI's agent 22 purported to have in its "California Residential Purchase Agreement and Joint Escrow 23 Instructions" presentation to the seller, a substantial misrepresentation in violation of Code 24 Section 10176(a). 25 (b) Failed to maintain an accurate record for trust funds received and disbursed in 26 its trust log, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831. Examples include 27

inaccurate information pertaining to the "Date Received" and "Date of Disposition" appearing on the trust log of "Trust Fund Received - Not Placed in Broker's Trust Account." 2 (c) Failed to obtain a written authorization from a buyer to hold the Earnest 3 Money Deposit check beyond three (3) business days after acceptance of the offer, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832. (d) Failed to timely notify the Department of the closure of one of its licensed branch offices, namely its Yorba Linda office, in violation of Code Section 10163 and Regulation 7 8 2715. (e) Failed to make available for inspection by the Department the original/current 9 license certificate for real estate salespersons Mariam Nicole Farzad, John Whitney Luce Jr., 10 Shelly Calderon, Parastou Mendoza and Nader Tajbakhsh, in violation of Code Section 10160 11 12 and Regulation 2753. 13 (f) Used the fictitious business name "The Bond Real Estate Group" in a real 14 estate resale advertisement in "The Newport News" without first obtaining a license from the 15 from the Department, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731. 16 Discipline Statues and Regulations 17 8. The conduct of Respondents SI and ROMERO, in its real estate sales activities, as 18 19 described in Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below: 20 <u>PARAGRAPH</u> PROVISIONS VIOLATED 21 22 Code Section 10176(a) 7(a) 23 Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831 24 7(b) 25 Code Sections 10145 and Regulation 2832 7(c) 26

27

	1 .	
1	7(d)	Code Section 10163 and Regulation 2715
2	7(e)	Code Sections 10160 and Regulation 2753
3		
4	7(f)	Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731
5	·	TTI C ' ' 1.4'
6	The foregoing violations constitute cause for discipline of the real estate license	
7	and license rights of Respondents SI and ROMERO, as the case may be, under the provisions of	
8	Code Sections 10176(a), 10176(e), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), and as to ROMERO only,	
9	10177(h).	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Audit Examination – Superstars Inc. – Broker Escrow Activity)
10		
11	·	9.
12	On November 29, 2010, the Department completed an audit examination of the	
13	books and records of SI's broker escrow activity, as described in Paragraph 4B, which require a	
14	real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on October 1, 200	
- 15	and ending on September 30, 2010. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and	
16	the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit	
17	Report LA 100092 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.	
18	Trust A	Account .
19		10.
20	During the audit period, SI maintained two (2) trust accounts for its broker escro	
21	activity, T/A 1 (No. XXXXX0547) and T/A 2 (No. XXXXX1444).	
22	Audit	<u>Violations</u>
23		11.
24	In the course of activities described in Paragraph 4B, above, and during the audit	
25	examination period described in Paragraph 9, Respondents SI and ROMERO acted in violation	
26	of the Code and the Regulations in which Respondents:	
27		
•	•	

(a) Allowed non-licensees as signatories to its trust accounts T/A 1 and T/A 2 1 without written authorization from D.O. ROMERO, failed to maintain the fidelity bond coverage 2 for the non-licensees to be signatories, and did not designate D.O. ROMERO as the signatory of 3 T/A 2, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834/2951. (b) Failed to disclose on the escrow instruction escrow holders with trust funds in 5 T/A 1 and T/A 2 that it maintained an earning credit relationship with City National Bank, in 6 violation of Code Section 10176(g). 7 8 (c) Failed to disclose in writing to all escrow holders SI's stockholder, officer, partner or ownership in the escrow operation, in violation of Regulation 2950(h). 9 10 (d) Conducted broker escrow activity and used the fictitious business name "Award Escrow" without first obtaining a license from the Department to use such fictitious name, in 11 violation of Section 10159.5 and Code 2731. 12 13 **PARAGRAPH** PROVISIONS VIOLATED 14 15 Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2834/2951 11(a) 16 Code Section 10176(g) 17 11(b) 18 Regulation 2950(h) 11(c) 19

The foregoing violations constitute cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents SI and ROMERO, as the case may be, under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(g), 10176(i), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), and as to ROMERO only, 10177(h).

Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731

26

27

25

20

21

22

23

24

11(d)

THIRD-CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Negligence)

12.

The overall conduct of Respondents SI and ROMERO constitutes negligence and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Fiduciary Duty)

13.

The conduct of Respondents SI and ROMERO constitute a breach of fiduciary duty, owed the homeowners of good faith, trust, confidence and candor, in violation of Code Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(g) and constitutes cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10177(g).

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Supervision and Compliance)

14.

The overall conduct of Respondent ROMERO constitutes a failure on said Respondent's part, as officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of SI, including its officers and employees, as required by Code Section 10159.2 and Regulation 2725, and to keep SI in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for discipline of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and 10177(h).

 $\parallel \parallel \parallel$

- 7 -

25 |

26 |

cc: Superstars Inc.
c/o David Patrick Romero, D.O.
Maria Suarez
Sacto
Enforcement - Charles White
Audits - Gina King