fatti

Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 FILED

MAR 22 2012

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

(CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC.; and and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, individually and as designated officer of Century 21 Ludecke Inc.,

(Respondents.

(No. H-37162 LA

L-20110 40499

STIPULATION

AND

AND

AGREEMENT

Respondents.

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC. and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, individually and as designated officer of Century 21 Ludecke Inc. (sometimes collectively referred to as "Respondents"), represented by Frank M. Buda, Esq. and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation ("Accusation") filed on March 23, 2011 in this matter:

- ||7

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. Respondents timely filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in their defense and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.
- 5. This Stipulation is made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and is expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), the state or federal government, or any agency of this state, another state or federal government is involved.

27 | ///

6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the "Order" herein below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein. 7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding but do constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations actually contained in the Accusations against Respondent herein. 8. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, Respondents agree to pay, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10148, the cost of the audit. The amount of said cost for the original audit (LA 090107) is \$3,902.60. 9. Respondents have received, read, and understand the "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit". Respondents further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, the findings set forth below in the Determination of Issues become final, and the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost of any subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10148 to determine if the violations have been corrected. The maximum cost of the follow-up audit will not exceed \$3,902.60. ///

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 3 -

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I.

The conduct, acts or omissions of CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC. and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, as described in Paragraph 4, above, are in violation of Section 10145 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and Section 2831 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations") and is a basis for discipline of Respondents' license and license rights as violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Code Section <u>10177(d)</u>.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ORDER

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

I.

and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of

17

18

19 20

21 22

24

23

26

27

25

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC.

thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Decision, all stayed.

- 1. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights. duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and
- 2. That no final subsequent determination be made after hearing or upon stipulation that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

II.

 Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondents

CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC. and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for (a) the audit which led to this disciplinary action and (b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondents are now in compliance with the Real Estate Law. The cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action is \$3,902.60. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Said amount for the prior and subsequent audits shall not exceed \$7,805.20. Respondents shall pay such cost within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

The Commissioner may suspend the license of Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondents and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

III.

Respondent MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE shall, within nine (9) months from

the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity

for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

DATED: 2-3-12

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for Department of Real Estate

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation and Agreement and discussed it with our attorney. Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

MAILING AND FACSIMILE

Respondents (1) shall <u>mail</u> the original signed signature page of the stipulation herein to Elliott Mac Lennan: Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90013-1105. Respondents shall also (2) <u>facsimile</u> a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan.

A facsimile constitutes acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondents agree, acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the Department a facsimile copy of Respondents' actual signature as it appears on the stipulation that receipt of the facsimile copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed stipulation.

1		
2		
3		
4	DATED: /-/0-/2	MM / leden
5	DATED, 775	CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC., Respondent
6		By: MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, as designated officer
.7		•
8		11/01/
10	DATED: 1-10-12	Ill Judeche
11		MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, Respondent, Individually and as designated officer
12		
13	·	
14	1.10-13	Ful MRuh
15	DATED: / / / / C	FRANK M. BUDA, ESQ.
16		Attorney for Respondents Approved as to form
17	///	
18 19	///	
	///	
21		
22	/// ///	
23		
21 22 23 24 25	/// · ///	
25	///	
26	///	
27		

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to

Respondents CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC. and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE and shall
become effective at 12 o'clock noon on April 11 , 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED 2/17, 2012.

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

Daywara & Degley

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 FILED Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 MAR 23 2011 3 Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct) DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE (213) 576-6982 (office) 4 -or-5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. H-37162 AA In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 ACCUSATION CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC.; 13 and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, individually and as designated 14 officer of Century 21 Ludecke Inc., 15 Respondents. 16 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate 17 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 18 against CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC. and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, 19 individually and as designated officer of Century 21 Ludecke 20 21 Inc., alleges as follows: 22 1. 23 The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, acting in her official 24 capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of 25 California, makes this Accusation.

26

27

///

2. 1 2 All references to the "Code" are to the California 3 Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. 4 3. 5 CENTURY 21 LUDEKCE INC. (C21LI) and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE (LUDECKE) were licensed or had license rights issued by 8 the Department of Real Estate (Department) as real estate 9 brokers. C21LI was originally licensed by the Department as 10 11 a corporate real estate broker by and through LUDECKE on December 12 5, 2002, pursuant to Code Sections 10211 and 10159.2 for supervising the activities requiring a real estate license 13 1.4 conducted on behalf of C21LI. LUDECKE was originally licensed as a real estate 15 broker on May 24, 1974. LUDECKE is sole officer, director and 16 17 owner of C21LI. 18 Brokerage 4. 19 At all times mentioned, in the City of Arcadia and 20 County of Los Angeles, C21LI and LUDECKE acted as real estate 21 brokers and conducted licensed activities within the meaning of: 22 Code Section 10131(a). Respondent's dba Orange 23

26 27 Home Realty engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of,

advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker, including

the solicitation for listings of and the negotiation of the sale

of real property as the agent of others.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Audit)

5.

On January 26, 2010, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of C21LI pertaining to the residential resale activities described in Paragraph 4 that require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a period of time beginning on December 1, 2007 to November 30, 2009. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report LA 090107 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.

Trust Account

6.

C21LI did not maintain a trust account for real estate sales activities during the audit period.

Violations of The Real Estate Law

7.

In the course of activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 6, above, and during the examination period described in Paragraph 5, Respondents C21LI and LUDECKE, acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that Respondents:

(a) Employed and compensated restricted real estate salesperson Richard Nazabal (Nazabal), as a sales agent who LUDECKE knew was not licensed by the Department as a real estate broker or as a real estate salesperson employed by a real estate

broker, for performing acts for which a real estate license is required, including soliciting mortgage loans, in violation of Code Section 10137.

Nazabal was employed by LUDECKE from March 28, 2008 to January 19, 2009. Yet after LUDECKE, terminated Nazabal's employment with C21LI, LUDECKE continued to compensate Nazabal by crediting for sales commissions earned against the balance of a \$90,000 loan LUDECKE made to Nazabal, after a time when Nazabal was no longer employed by C21LI, to wit, January 19, 2009.

Nazabal was compensated \$27,759.14, during the period from January 23, 2009 to April 8, 2009.

- (b) Failed to maintain an accurate and complete control record in the form of a columnar record in chronological order of all "Trust Funds Received, Not Placed Broker's Trust Account", in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d) and 2951.
- (c) Represented to sellers that C21LI held an earnest money deposits from borrowers S. Landis, A. Barba, S. Morrisey, C. Lu, Y. QU, M. Hoang, when untrue, in violation of Code Sections 10145, 10176(a) and/or 10177(g).
- (d) Used the fictitious name "C21 Ludecke" and "C-21 Ludecke" to conduct licensed activities, without holding a license bearing the fictitious business names, in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 2731.
- (e) Failed to retain all records of C21LI's activity during the audit period requiring a real estate broker license including failing to retain all of the sale transactions of

- 4 -

salesperson Richard Nazabal, in violation of Code Section 10148. 1 DISCIPLINARY STATUES AND REGULATIONS 2 8. 3 The conduct of Respondents C21LI and, as alleged and described in Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the 5 Regulations as set forth below: 6 PROVISIONS VIOLATED 7 PARAGRAPH Code Section 10137 8 7(a) 9 10 Code Section 10145 and Regulations 11 7 (b) 2831, 2950(d) and 2951 12 13 14 Code Sections 10145, 10176(a) and 15 7(c) 10177(g) 16 17 18 Code Section 10159.5 and Regulation 19 7 (d) 20 2731 21 22 Code Section 10148 7(e) 23 The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of C21LI 25 and LUDECKE, under the provisions of Code Sections 10137, 26

10176(a), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

Negligence

 9.

The overall conduct of Respondents C21LI and LUDECKE constitutes negligence or incompetence. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondents C21LI and LUDECKE pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).

Breach of Fiduciary Duty

10.

The overall conduct of Respondents C21LI and LUDECKE constitutes a breach of fiduciary duty in general and in particular for the handling of the Richard Nazabal REO transactions. This conduct and violation are cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of said Respondents pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(g).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Broker Supervision)

11.

The overall conduct of Respondent LUDECKE constitutes a failure on Respondent's part, as officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of C21LI as required by Code Section 10159.2, and to keep C21LI in compliance with the Real Estate Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of LUDECKE pursuant to the provisions of Code Sections 10177(h), 10177(d) and 10177(g).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against the license and license rights of Respondents CENTURY 21 LUDECKE INC. and MICHAEL WILLIAM LUDECKE, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law including repatriation of commissions paid re the Richard Nazabal REO transactions and for costs of audit.

Dated at Los Angeles, California this 13 day of January 2011.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Century 21 Ludecke Inc.

c/o Michael William Ludecke D.O.

Robin Trujillo

Audits - Chona Soriano