flag

///

## FILED

JUL 08 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
By June

## BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \*

In the Matter of the Accusation of ROBERT ELMER LIVINGSTON,

Respondent.

No. H-36949 LA

## ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE AND GRANTING RIGHT TO A RESTRICTED LICENSE

On July 12, 2011, a Decision revoking Respondent's real estate salesperson license was rendered.

On January 22, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of Respondent's real estate license.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license, in that:

- 1 -

1	The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State						
2	Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and						
3	integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the						
4	prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).						
5	The Bureau has developed criteria in Section 2911, Title 10, California Code of						
6	Regulations (Regulation) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for						
7	reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:						
8	Regulation 2911(k)-Correction of business practices resulting in injury to others						
9	or with the potential to cause such injury						
10	Respondent has not been licensed for three years. Correction of past business						
11	practices cannot be determined until after Respondent is properly licensed.						
12	Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that						
13	Respondent has complied with Regulation 2911(k) I am not satisfied that Respondent is						
14	sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a real estate salesperson license license.						
15	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for						
16	reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license is denied.						
17	I am satisfied, however, that it will not be against the public interest to issue a						
18	restricted real estate salesperson license to Respondent.						
19	A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant						
20	to Code Section 10156.5 if Respondent within twelve (12) months from the date hereof						
21	providing Respondent:						
22	(a) Qualifies for, takes and passes the written examination required to obtain a real						
23	estate salesperson license;						
24	(b) Makes application and pays the appropriate fee for said license;						
25	///						
26	///						
27							

25

26

27

///

///

arrest by sending a certified letter to the Commissioner at the Bureau of Real Estate, Post Office Box 137000, Sacramento, CA 95813-7000. The letter shall set forth the date of Respondent's arrest, the crime for which Respondent was arrested and the name and address of the arresting law enforcement agency. Respondent's failure to timely file written notice shall constitute an independent violation of the terms of the restricted license and shall be grounds for the suspension or revocation of that license.

This Order shall become	effectiv	e at 1:	2 o'clock	noon on _	JUL	2 9	2014
IT IS SO ORDERED	6/	16	1201	4			

Real Est Commissioner

WAYNE S. BELI