FILED

AUG 2 5 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of MIKE REZA AHMARI,

NO. H-36274 LA

Respondent.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On 05/30/2014, a Decision was rendered in the aboveentitled matter to become effective July 23, 2014, and was stayed to August 25, 2014.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision of 05/30/2014, is stayed for an additional 10 days to allow time to consider Respondent's Petition for Reconsideration.

The Decision of 05/30/2014, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on September 4, 2014.

DATED:

WAYNE BELL

Real Estate Commissioner

Supervising Special Investigator

22

23

24

25 26

27

FILED

JUL 1 0 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By Low

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-36274 LA)
MIKE REZA AHMARI,)

Respondent(s).

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On 05/30/2014, a Decision was rendered in the above-entitled matter to become effective July 23, 2014.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision of 05/30/2014, is stayed for a period of 30 days to allow Respondent MIKE REZA AHMARI to file a petition for reconsideration.

The Decision of 05/30/2014, shall become effective at 12 o' clock noon on August 25, 2014.

DATED:

WAYNE BELL

Real Estate Commissioner

Weeks

By:

DOLORES WEEKS Regional Manager

fleg

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 ,

27

FILED

JUL 02 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By Jaune

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

MIKE REZA AHMARI, No. H-36274 LA

Respondent.

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On March 22, 2011, a Decision was rendered revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent.

On September 18, 2013, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence submitted in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license at this time.

/// ///

///

///

1	The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State
2	Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and
3	integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the
4	prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).
5	The Bureau has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California Code o
6	Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for
7	reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:
8	Regulation 2911(j)—Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging
9	monetary obligations to others
10	Respondent owes approximately \$763,900 to creditors.
11	Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that
12	Respondent has complied with Regulation 2911 (j), I am not satisfied that Respondent is
13	sufficiently rehabilitated to have Respondent's broker license reinstated at this time.
14	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for
15	reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license is denied.
16	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on JUL 2 3 2014.
17	IT IS SO ORDERED 3/30/20/9
18	REAL EST TE-COMMISSIONER
19	
20	WAYNE S. BELL
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	