Lato	
	Department of Real Estate
2	320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 FEB 1 1 2010
4	
5	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
6	
7	·
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-35828 LA
12	NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY)
13	MANAGEMENT CORPORATION dba Premier) Property Management; and)
. 14	OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ, individually and) as designated officer of <u>STIPULATION</u>
15	National Premier Property) AND Management Corporation,) AGREEMENT
16	
17	Respondents.)
18	It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents
19	NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C.
20	RODRIGUEZ, individually and as designated officer of National
21	Premier Property Management Corporation (sometimes collectively
22	referred to as "Respondents"), represented by Chris J. Evens,
23	Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac
24	Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for
25 26	the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation
26	("Accusation") filed on April 3, 2009, in this matter:
21	

- 1 -

All issues which were to be contested and all 1. evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents 2 at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be 5 submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 6 Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation"). 7

8 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the 9 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and 10 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this 11 proceeding.

12

1

3

4

3. Respondents timely filed a Notice of Defense 13 pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose 14 of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. 15 Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of 16 Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by Defense. 17 withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right 18 to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 19 Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the 20 provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights 21 afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right 22 23. to present evidence in their defense and the right to cross-24 examine witnesses. 25 111

2

26 111

This Stipulation is based on the factual 4. allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary 6 action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual 8 9 allegations.

10 5. This Stipulation is made for the purpose of 11 reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and is 12 expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or 13 case in which the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), the 14 state or federal government, or any agency of this state, another 15 state or federal government is involved. 16

It is understood by the parties that the Real 6. 17 Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in 18 this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on 19 Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth 20 in the "Order" herein below. In the event that the Commissioner 21 in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be 22 23 void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a 24 hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of 25 the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made 26 herein.

27

`1

2

3

5

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real **`1** Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not 2 constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further 3 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically 5 alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding but do 6 constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations 7 8 actually contained in the Accusations against Respondent herein. 9 8. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this 10 Stipulation, Respondents agree to pay, pursuant to Business and 11 Professions Code Section 10148, the cost of the audit. The 12 amount of said cost for the audit is \$13,597.99. 13 Respondents have received, read, and understand the 9. 14 "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit". Respondents 15 further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation, the 16 findings set forth below in the Determination of Issues become 17 final, and the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost 18 of any subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Business and 19 Professions Code Section 10148 to determine if the violations 20 have been corrected. The maximum cost of the subsequent audit 21 will not exceed \$13,597.99. 22 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

.

1	DETERMINATION OF IDSUBS
2	By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed
3	that the following determination of issues shall be made:
4	I.
5	The conduct, acts or omissions of NATIONAL PREMIER
6	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ, as
7	described in Paragraph 4, above, are in violation of Section
8	10145 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") and Sections
9	2832(a) and 2742(c) of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code
10	of Regulations ("Regulations") and is a basis for discipline of
11	Respondents' license and license rights as violation of the Real
12	Estate Law pursuant to Code Section 10177(g).
13	ORDER
14	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
15 16	I.
10	The license and licensing rights of Respondents
18	NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C.
19	RODRIGUEZ, under the Real Estate Law, are suspended for a period
19 20	RODRIGUEZ, under the Real Estate Law, are suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision.
20	of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision.
20 21 ·	of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision. A. Provided, however, that if Respondents request, the
20 21 22	of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision. A. Provided, however, that if Respondents request, the initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion
20 21 22 23	of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision. A. Provided, however, that if Respondents request, the initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed for two (2) years upon condition that:
20 21 22 23 24	of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision. A. Provided, however, that if Respondents request, the initial thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed for two (2) years upon condition that: 1. Each Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to

- 5 -

penalty of \$2,500, or \$5,000 total.

Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 2. check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the ۵ Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 5 matter. 6

No further cause for disciplinary action against 3. the real estate license of Respondents occur within two (2) years 8 9 from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

10 4. If Respondents fail to pay the monetary penalty in 11 accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, 12 without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any 13 part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent 14 shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or 15 otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of 16 this Decision. 17

5. If Respondents pay the monetary penalty and if no 18 further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate 19 license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the 20 effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall 21 become permanent. 22

23 B. The remaining 30 days of the sixty day suspension 24 shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and 25 conditions: 26 111

6

27

1

2

З

1. <u>Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and</u> regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and

2. That no final subsequent determination be made 4 after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary 5 action occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of 6 this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the 7 8 Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the 9 stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed 10 suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay 11 imposed herein shall become permanent.

II.

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and 14 Professions Code, Respondents NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY 15 MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ shall pay the 16 Commissioner's reasonable cost for (a) the audit which led to 17 this disciplinary action (b) a subsequent audit to determine if 18 Respondents are now in compliance with the Real Estate Law. The 19 cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action is 20 \$13,597.99. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's 21 reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average 22 hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate 23 brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and 24 25 from the auditor's place of work. Said amount for the prior and 26 subsequent audits shall not exceed \$27,195.98.

27

1

2

3

12

Respondents shall pay such cost within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

1

2

3

5

15

The Commissioner may suspend the license of Respondents 6 pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq. 7 of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided 8 for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between 9 the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain 10 in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondents 11 enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to 12 provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is 13 adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition. 14

III.

16 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent OSCAR 17 C. RODRIGUEZ are indefinitely suspended unless or until 18 Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the Commissioner, of 19 having taken and successfully completed the continuing education 20 course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in 21 paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the 22 Business and Professions Code. Proof of satisfaction of this 23 requirement includes evidence that Respondent has successfully 24 completed the trust fund account and handling continuing 25 education course within 120 days prior to the effective date of 26 the Decision. 27

- 8 -

- 1	LV.
2	Respondent OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ shall, within nine (9)
3	months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence
4	satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has,
5	since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real
6	estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing
7	education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real
8	Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent
10	fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the
11	suspension of the restricted license until Respondent presents
12	such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the
13	opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative
14	Procedure Act to present such evidence.
15	v.
16	All licenses and licensing rights or Respondents are
17	indefinitely suspended unless or until Respondents provide
18	evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that the trust fund
19	deficit set forth in the Accusation in the amount of \$121,520.89,
20	(1) has been cured, including (2) the identification of the
21	source of funds used to cure the deficit.
22	
23	
24 25	DATED: 10-19-09 5-1
25 26	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate
20	
	- 9 -

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation and discussed it with our 3 counsel. Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and 4 acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given 5 to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including 6 but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 7 8 Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 9 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 10 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 11 hearing at which we would have the right to cross-examine 12 witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and 13 mitigation of the charges. 14

MAILING AND FACSIMILE

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

27

2

Respondents (1) shall <u>mail</u> the original signed signature page of the stipulation herein to Elliott Mac Lennan: Attention: Legal Section, Department of Real Estate, 320 W. Fourth St., Suite 350, Los Angeles, California 90013-1105. Respondents shall also (2) <u>facsimile</u> a copy of signed signature page, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac Lennan.

A facsimile constitutes acceptance and approval of the
terms and conditions of this stipulation. Respondents agree,
acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the
Department a facsimile copy of Respondents' actual signature as

- 10 -

it appears on the stipulation that receipt of the facsimile copy 1 by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the 2 Department had received the original signed stipulation. 3 4 5 6 7 DATED: _____7-2-09 8 NATIONAL VPREMIER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, a corporate 9 real estate broker, OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ D.O., BY: 10 Respondent 11 12 13 DATED: <u>11-2-09</u> 14 OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ, individually 15 and as designated officer of National Premier Property 16 Management Corporation, Respondent 17 18 19 DATED: 11-6-09 20 CHRIS J. EVANS, ESQ. Attorney for Respondents 21 Approved as to form 22 23 24 25 26 27 - 11 -

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondents NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ, individually and as designated officer of National Premier Property Management Corporation and shall become effective at 12 б March 12 o'clock noon on , 2010 IT IS SO ORDERED JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner - 12 -

, , I		
1 2	Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350	
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct) DEPARTMENT OF RE	
5		
6 7		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
9	9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	o	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-3582	28 LA
12	2 NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY) <u>A C C U S</u> MANAGEMENT CORPORATION dba	<u>ATION</u>
13	³ Premier Property Management; and) OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ, individually)	
14	National Premier Property	
16	Respondents.	
17	.7	
18	The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Rea	l Estate
19	Commissioner of the State of California, acting in her	official
20	capacity, for cause of Accusation against NATIONAL PREM	IIER
2:	PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ,	
22	22 individually and as National Premier Property Managemen	nt -
23	Corporation., is informed and alleges as follows:	
24	24	
2	25	
2	26	
2	27	
	- 1 -	

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. 2.

1.

At all times mentioned, NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION ("NPPMC") and OSCAR C. RODRIGUEZ ("RODRIGUEZ"), was licensed or had license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as real estate brokers. NPPMC was licensed by and through RODRIGUEZ.

3.

At all times mentioned, in the City of Pomona, County 12 of Los Angeles, NPPMC acted as real estate broker and conducted 13 licensed activities within the meaning of Code Section 10131(a). 14 NPPMC and RODRIGUEZ dba Premier Property Management engaged in 15 the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed 16 to act as a real estate broker, including the operation and 17 conduct of a property management business with the public 18 wherein, for or in expectation of compensation, for another or 19 others, Respondents leased or rented or offered to lease or rent, 20 21 or placed for rent, or solicited listings of places for rent, or 22 solicited for prospective tenants, or collected rents from real 23 property, or improvements thereon. 24

- 2 -

25 /// 26 /// 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

, ,	
· · · ·	
1	
2	4.
3	On January 29, 2009, the Départment completed an audit
4	examination of the books and records of NPPMC pertaining to the
5	property management activities described in Paragraph 3, that
5	require a real estate license. The audit examination covered a
. 7	period of time beginning on February 1, 2007 to September 30,
8	2008. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and
9	the Regulations as set forth below, and more fully discussed in
10	Audit Report LA 080100 and the exhibits and work papers attached
11	to said audit report.
12	5.
13	At all times mentioned, in connection with the activities
14	described in Paragraph 4, above, NPPMC accepted or received funds
15	including funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on
16	behalf of actual or prospective parties, including property
17	owners and tenants, to real estate transactions handled by NPPMC
18	and thereafter made deposits and or disbursements of such funds.
19	From time to time herein mentioned during the audit period, said
20	trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by NPPMC in the bank
21	account as follows:
22	"Premier Property Management Trust Account
23	Account No. 237136837" Citizens Business Bank
24	Pomona, California (T/A #1)
25	"Premier Property Management Account No. 237136845"
26	Citizens Business Bank
27	Pomona, California (B/A #1)
	- 3 -

"Premier Property Management 1 Account No. 237136829" 2 Citizens Business Bank Pomona, California 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

27

(G/A #1)

6.

With respect to the licensed activities referred to in Paragraphs 3 and 5, and the audit examination including the exhibits and work papers referred to in Paragraph 4, it is alleged that NPPMC:

(a) Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of trust funds from the escrow trust account where the disbursement of funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in escrow trust account, to an amount which, on September 30, 2008, was \$121,520.89, less than the existing aggregate trust fund 14 liability of NPPMC to every principal who was an owner of said 15 funds, without first obtaining the prior written consent of the 16 owners of said funds, in violation of Code Section 10145 and 17 Regulation 2832.1.

18 (b) Failed to maintain a control record in the form of 19 a columnar record in chronological order of all trust funds 20 received, deposited and disbursed, in violation of Code Section 21 10145 and Regulation 2831.

22 (c) Failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the 23 balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records 24 maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all 25 trust funds received and disbursed by the escrow trust account, 26 in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2.

4 -

(d) B/A #1 was not in the name of the broker as trustee 1 at a bank or other financial institution, nor designated as a 2 trust account, in violation of Code Section 10145 of the Code and 3 Regulations 2832(a). 4 (e) Mixed, commingled and converted trust funds in the 5 form of rents received from tenants from property management 6 activities and deposited them into G/A #1, instead of depositing 7 said trust funds into a trust account, in violation of Code 8 Sections 10145, 10176(e) and 10176(i) and Regulation 2835; and 9 (f) Engaged in licensed activities while NPPMC was not 10 in good standing with the California Secretary of State, in 11 violation of Regulation 2742(c). 12 7. 13 14 The conduct of Respondents NPPMC and RODRIGUEZ 15 described in Paragraph 6, above, violated the Code and the 16 Regulations as set forth: 17 PROVISIONS VIOLATED PARAGRAPH 18 Code Section 10145 and Regulation 6(a) 19 2832.1 20 21 22 Code Section 10145 and Regulation 6(b) 23 2831 24 25 Code Section 10145 and Regulation 6(C) 26 2831.2 27 5 -

• '	-	
	1	6(d) Code Section 10145 and Regulation
	2 *	2832(a)
	3	
	4	6(e) Code Sections 10145, 10176(e) and
	5	10176(i) and Regulation 2835
	6	
	7	6(f) Regulation 2742(c)
	8	6(f) Regulation 2742(c)
	9	Each of the foregoing violations constitute cause for the
	10	suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license
	11	rights of Respondents NPPMC and RODRIGUEZ under the provisions of
	12	Code Sections 10176(e), 10176(i), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).
	13	8.
	14	The overall conduct of Respondents NPPMC and RODRIGUEZ
	15	constitutes negligence. This conduct and violation are cause for
	16	the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and
	· 17	license rights of said Respondents pursuant to Code Section
	18	10177(g).
	19	9.
	20	The overall conduct of Respondent RODRIGUEZ constitutes
	21	a failure on Respondent's part, as officer designated by a
	22	corporate broker licensee, to exercise the reasonable supervision
	23	and control over the licensed activities of NPPMC as required by
	24	Code Section 10159.2, and to keep NPPMC in compliance with the
	25	Real Estate Law, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of
	26	the real estate license and license rights of RODRIGUEZ pursuant
	27	
		- 6 -
		n i

to the provisions of Code Section 10177(h).

1 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 2 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 3 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 4 action against the license and license rights of Respondents 5 NATIONAL PREMIER PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION and OSCAR C. 6 RODRIGUEZ, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the 7 Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further 8 relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. 9 10 Dated at Los Angeles, California 11 this 26 day of February 201 12 13 14 mmissioner Estate Deputy 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 National Premier Property Management Corporation cc: 24 Oscar C. Rodriguez Robin Trujillo 25 Sacto Audits - Chona Picayo 26 27 7