Saisto

Department of Real Estate $320~W.~4^{TH}$ Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Office)

FILED

OCT # 8 2008

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BY:

7

6

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

20

22

21

23

25

26

27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, dba Re/Max Tri-City; and MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, as designated officer of Allied Development Corporation,

Respondents.

No. H-33893 LA

STIPULATION
AND
AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents
ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a corporate real estate broker,
and MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, individually and as designated officer
of Allied Development Corporation, (sometimes collectively
referred to as "Respondents"), represented by Frank M. Buda,
Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Elliott Mac
Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for
the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation
("Accusation") filed on April 16, 2007, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation").

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation.

 Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in their defense the right to cross-examine witnesses.

26 | //

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation and Respondents' decision not to contest the Accusation is made for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and is expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), the state or federal government, or any agency of this state, another state or federal government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.
- 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA

and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding but do constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations actually contained in the Accusations against Respondent herein.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I.

The conduct of <u>ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION</u>, as described in Paragraph 4, above, is in violation of Section 10177(g) of the Business and Professions Code and is a basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license and license rights as a violation said section.

II.

The conduct of MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, as described in Paragraph 4, above, is in violation of Section 10177(g) of the Business and Professions Code and is a basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license and license rights as a violation said section.

11//

ORDER

4 5

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

I.

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION and MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI under the Real Estate Law suspended for a period of thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that

- 1. Thirty (30) day suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed for one (1) year upon condition that Respondents petition and pay a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$166.66 per day for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$5,000 each or \$10,000 total.
- 2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 3. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate licenses of Respondents occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 4. If Respondents fail to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondents

shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

- 5. If Respondents pay the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondents occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- 6. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of real estate licensees in the State of California.

DATED: ________

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for

the Department of Real Estate

* * .

EXECUTION OF THE STIPULATION

We have read the Stipulation, and have discussed it with our counsel. Its terms are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would have the right to

cross-examine witnesses against us and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondents can signify acceptance and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation by faxing a copy of its signature page, as actually signed by Respondents, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: Elliott Mac Lennan at (213) 576-6917. Respondents agree, acknowledge and understand that by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of Respondents' actual signatures as they appear on the Stipulation, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as binding on Respondents as if the Department had received the original signed Stipulation.

DATED: _7-72-08

ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a corporate real estate broker, BY: MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, D.O., Respondent

DATED: 7-22-08

MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI individually and as designated officer of Allied Development Corporation, Respondent

7-18-08

FRANK M. BUDA

Attorney for Respondents

Approved as to form

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondents ALLIED DEVELOPMENT

CORPORATION and MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, individually and as designated officer of Allied Development Corporation, and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on October 28, 2008.

IT IS SO ORDERED 9/5, 2008.

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

> BY: Barbara J. Bigby Chief Deputy Commissioner



ELLIOT MAC LENNAN, Counsel (SBN 66674) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth St., #350 Los Angeles, CA 90013 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

(213) 576-6982 (213) 576-6911 By Ktrederholt,

No. H- 33893

) A C C U S A T I O N

LA

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

5

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

25 26

27

In the Matter of the Accusation of
ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, dba
Re/Max Tri-City; MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI,
individually and as former designated
officer of Allied Development
Corporation,

Respondents.

The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner, for cause of Accusation against ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a real estate corporation doing business as Re/Max Tri-City, RE/MAX Tri-City Escrow, RE/MAX Tri-City Escrow Division, and Re/Max Tri-City Realty; and MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, aka Matthew Matti, individually and as designated officer of Allied Development Corporation, is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

•

.

_

_

2, J

The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in

her official capacity.

2.

Respondent ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION (hereinafter "Respondent ALLIED") is presently licensed and at all times relevant herein was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a corporate real estate broker.

Respondent ALLIED was originally licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker on or about August 2, 1989.

3.

Beginning on or before October 20, 1997 and continuing through the present time, Respondent ALLIED was authorized to act as a real estate broker by and through Respondent MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI as the designated officer and broker responsible, pursuant to the provisions of Code Section 10159.2, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of Respondent ALLIED by Respondent ALLIED's officers and employees.

4.

Respondent MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI (hereinafter "Respondent MATTI") is currently licensed by the Department as a restricted real estate broker. Respondent MATTI was originally licensed by

the Department as a real estate broker in or before 1997.

Beginning October 20, 1997 and continuing to the present time,

Respondent MATTI was licensed by the Department as the broker

officer of Respondent ALLIED designated pursuant to Code Section

10159.2 to be responsible for the supervision and control of the

activities conducted on behalf of Respondent ALLIED by its

officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance

with the Real Estate Law.

5.

At all times material herein, Respondents ALLIED and MATTI (collectively referred to herein as "Respondents"), engaged in the business of real estate brokers within the meaning of Code Sections 10131(a) and (d), representing others in the purchase and sale of real property, negotiating loans secured by real property, and performing escrow services in relation to those transactions pursuant to Financial Code Section 10076(a)(4).

6.

All further references to "Respondents", unless otherwise specified, include the parties identified in Paragraphs 2 through 4 above, and also include the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with said parties, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

Respondent ALLIED

7.

Respondent ALLIED was originally licensed by the Department on or about August 2, 1989 as a corporate real estate broker. On or about March 15, 2001, in Department of Real Estate Case No. H-28612 LA, Respondent ALLIED's corporate broker license was revoked, and a restricted corporate broker license issued, due to Respondent's violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10177(d).

Respondent MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI

8.

On or about March 15, 2001, in Department of Real Estate Case No. H-28519 LA, Respondent MATTI's real estate broker license was revoked, and a restricted broker license was issued, due to Respondent's violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10177(d).

UNLAWFUL REFERRAL OF CUSTOMERS FOR COMPENSATION (Business and Professions Code Section 10177.4)

"Participation Agreement"

9.

Beginning on or about June 11, 2004, and continuing through on or about February 5, 2005, Respondents, and each of them, in connection with their real estate activities set forth in Paragraph 5 above, engaged in a reinsurance program with FNF Title Reinsurance Company, and its affiliates, including Fidelity

National Title Insurance Company, Chicago Title Insurance Company, Security Union Title Insurance Company, and Ticor Title Insurance Company (hereafter collectively referred to as "Fidelity").

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

10.

On or about June 11, 2004, Respondent MATTI, on behalf of Respondent ALLIED, executed a "Participation Agreement" with Fidelity. Pursuant to the Participation Agreement, Respondents would receive \$350.00 per transaction, plus a percentage of the premium paid to Fidelity for every real estate transaction in which the parties purchased title insurance from one of the Fidelity affiliates. Under this Participation Agreement, Respondents paid an annual "Participation Fee" of \$10,000.00. addition, Respondents provided Fidelity with a letter of credit in their favor in the amount of \$25,000. Respondent ALLIED agreed to assume 15% liability for title insurance losses, if any, from real estate transactions generated by Respondent ALLIED, or "reinsurance." In exchange, Fidelity and/or its affiliates would pay Respondent ALLIED 15% of the title insurance premiums collected per transaction, plus a \$350.00 processing fee, per transaction.

11.

In relation to these reinsurance agreements, in 2005, the California Department of Insurance (DOI) held public hearings at which it was determined that the typical loss ratio as to title insurance is three to five percent. DOI found that there is in fact little or no risk transferred to the reinsurer (such

as, in this case, the real estate broker) in exchange for the portion of premium they are collecting. Further, DOI found that in California, the normal practice is not to have a reinsurer in connection with title business. DOI determined that the reinsurance agreements of the type entered into between Fidelity and related affiliates and Respondent ALLIED were not legitimate reinsurance agreements. Rather, these agreements were created as part of a scheme under which title insurers were paying real estate brokers illegal rebates - in the form of "premiums" on fictitious reinsurance paid to captive reinsurers - in exchange for the brokers channelling business to the title companies.

12.

Between on or about June 11, 2004 through on or about February 5, 2005, in connection with their real estate brokerage activities set forth in Paragraph 5 above, Respondent ALLIED was credited \$16,033.24 for referrals of parties to Fidelity and Fidelity affiliates as a result of real property purchase and sale transactions and loans negotiated by Respondent ALLIED. These credited amounts were not disclosed to the parties of the underlying real estate transactions. However, the Participation Agreement was terminated before disbursement of any funds to Respondents.

13.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent ALLIED as set forth in Paragraphs 9 through 12 above are in violation of Code Section 10177.4, and constitute grounds to suspend or revoke Respondent ALLIED's real estate broker license pursuant to

Business and Professions Code Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) for claiming, demanding or receiving commissions, fees or other consideration from a title insurance company for referral of customers to the title insurance company.

14.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent MATTI as set forth in Paragraphs 9 through 12 above are in violation of Code Section 10177.4, and constitute grounds to suspend or revoked Respondent MATTI real estate broker license or license rights pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 10177(g) and/or 10177(d).

15.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent MATTI in claiming or taking a secret and/or undisclosed amount of compensation, commission or profit in relation to the referral of customers to Fidelity affiliated title insurance companies constitutes grounds to discipline Respondent's real estate licenses or licensing rights pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10176(g).

16.

The failure of Respondent MATTI to ensure Respondent ALLIED's full compliance with the Real Estate Law, as set forth in Paragraphs 9 through 12 above, in violation of Code Section 10159.2, constitutes grounds to discipline Respondent MATTI's broker licenses or license rights as the designated broker-officer of Respondent ALLIED, pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h), for failing to exercise required

required supervision over the activities of the officers and employees of Respondent ALLIED.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondent ALLIED DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, a corporate real estate broker, and Respondent MATOUS YOUNAN MATTI, individually and as designated officer of Allied Development Corporation under the Real Estate Law and for such other and further relief as may be proper under applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this _____ day of March, 2007.

21 || CC:

Janice Waddell,

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Allied Development Corporation

Matous Younan Matti

Janice Waddell

Sacto.