	Department of Real Estate ¹ 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982			
	JUL 6 2000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By Faura Britane			
	6 7 8			
	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
1				
1	MARK I MORTGAGE, and) NO. H-32207 LA L-2005100437			
1				
1				
1	individually and as designated)			
1)			
19)			
20				
2:	MARK DOUGLAS (M.D) PRATHER AND FLETCHER LEE (F.L.) PRATHER doing			
22	business as (dba) MARK I MORTGAGE, and their attorney of record,			
23	Mr. Frank Buda, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through			
24	Kelvin K. Lee, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as			
25	follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the			
26	Accusation filed on September 27, 2005, in this matter.			
27	1. All issues which were to be contested and all			
	- 1 -			

evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") in this proceeding.

11 On October 3, 2005, Respondents filed a Notice of 3. 12 Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the 13 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 14 Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw 15 said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they 16 understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, they will 17 thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove 18 the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 19 accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will 20 waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the 21 hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 22 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 23 24 witnesses.

4. This Stipulation and Agreement ("Stipulation") is
based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation
filed in this proceeding. In the interest of expedience and

- 2 -

economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these factual allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

8 5. This Stipulation and Respondents' decision not to 9 contest the Accusation are made for the purpose of reaching an 10 agreed disposition of this proceeding and are expressly limited 11 to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the 12 Department of Real Estate ("Department"), or another licensing 13 agency of this state, another state, or if the federal. 14 government is involved and otherwise shall not be admissible in 15 any other criminal or civil proceedings. 16

It is understood by the parties that the Real 6. 17 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation as his decision 18 in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on 19 Respondent's real estate licenses and license rights as set 20 forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner 21 in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, the 22 Stipulation shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall 23 24 retain the right to a hearing on the Accusation under all the 25 provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation 26 or waiver made herein.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real

- 3 -

	$\bullet \ominus$	
` 1	Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall	
1 2	not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further	
3	administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real	
· 4	Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically	
5	alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.	
6	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
7	By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers	
. 8	and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending	
9	Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that	
10	the following determination of issues shall be made:	
11	I	
12	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent MARK	
13	DOUGLAS (M.D) PRATHER as set forth in the Accusation, constitute	
14	cause for the discipline of the real estate licenses and license	
15 - ₁₆	rights of Respondent MARK DOUGLAS (M.D) PRATHER under Section	
17	10177(d) of the Business and Profession Code for violation of	
18	Business and Professions Code Section 10160.	
19	II	
20	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of FLETCHER LEE	
21	(F.L.) PRATHER, as set forth in the Accusation, constitute cause	
. 22	for the discipline of the real estate licenses and license	
23	rights of Respondent FLETCHER LEE (F.L.) PRATHER, under 10177(h)	
24	of the Business and Professions Code ("Code").	
25	III	
26	The conduct, acts and/or omissions of MARK I MORTGAGE,	
27	as set forth in the Accusation, constitute cause for the	

- 4 -

` 1	discipline of the real estate licenses and license rights of
2	Respondent MARK I MORTGAGE, under 10177(h) of the Business and
· 3	Professions Code ("Code") for violation of Business and
. 4	Professions Code Sections 10177(d) and 10240.
5	
6	ORDER
7	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
8	I. The licenses and license rights held by
. 9	Respondent MARK DOUGLAS (M.D) PRATHER under the Real Estate Law,
10	are hereby publicly reproved.
11	II. The licenses and license rights held by FLETCHER
12	LEE (F.L.) PRATHER and MARK I MORTGAGE ET. AL. are suspended for
13	sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision;
14	1. Provided, however, that thirty (30) days of said
16	suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following
17	terms and conditions:
18	(a) Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and
19	regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of
20	a real estate licensee in the State of California.
21	(b) That no final subsequent determination be
22	made, after hearing, or upon stipulation, that cause for
23	disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the
. 24	effective date of this Decision. Should such determination be
25	made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set
26	aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed
27	
	- 5 -

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
` 1	suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay
2	imposed herein shall become permanent.
3	2. The initial thirty (30) day portion of said
4	suspension shall commence on the effective date of this
5	Decision; however, that if Respondents petition, said
. 6	suspension, (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon
7	condition that:
8	(a) The each Respondent pay a monetary penalty
9	pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code
10	in the amount of \$1,500.00 to have thirty (30) days of the
11	suspension stayed at the rate of \$50.00 per day.
12	(b) Said payment shall be in the form of a
13	cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery
14 15	Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received
16	by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in
17	this matter.
18	(c) No further cause for disciplinary actions
19	against the real estate license of Respondents occur within two
20	(2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this
21	matter.
22	(d) If Respondents fail to pay the monetary
23	penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the
24	Decision, the Commissioner may without a hearing order the
25	immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension
26	in which event Respondents shall not be entitled to any
27	
	- 6 -

	repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to
1	the Department under the terms of this Decision.
3	(e) If Respondents pay the monetary penalty and
4	if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real
5	estate licenses of Respondents occurs within two (2) years from
6	the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted
7	shall become permanent.
8	3. Respondent F.L. PRATHER shall, prior to the
9	effective date of the decision, submit proof satisfactory to the
10	Commissioner of having taken and successfully completed the
11	continuing education course on trust fund accounting and
12	handling specified in subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the
.3	Business and Professions Code. Proof of satisfaction of this
.4	requirement includes evidence that the Respondent has
.5	successfully completed the trust fund account and handling
.7	continuing education course within 120 days prior to the
18	effective date of the Decision in this matter DATED: 5/11/06 Hellin H Ger
.9	KELVIN K. LEE, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate
20 21	* * *
22	We have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and have
23	discussed it with our counsel, and its terms are understood by
24	us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We understand that
25	we are waiving rights given to us by the California
26	Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to
27	Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code),
	Sections 11500, 11505, 11505 and 11515 of the Government Code),
	- 7 -

•

.

and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those 1 rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to 2 prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we 3 would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and 4 to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. 5 /// 6 7 III. 4.24-01 8 DATED: DOUGLAS PRATHER .(M.D) 9 Respondent 4-24-06 10 DATED: FLETCHER LEE PRATHER 11 Respondent 4-28-06 12 DATED : FRANK BUDA, Counsel for 13 Respondent MARK I MORTGAGE 14 15 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby 16 adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective 17 JUL 2 6 2006 at 12 o'clock noon on 18 ,13-06 19 IT IS SO ORDERED JEFF GAVI 20 Real Estate Commissioner 21 \mathcal{M} 22 23 24 25 26 27 8 -

2 3 4 5 6	KELVIN LEE, Counsel (SBN 152867) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth St., #350 Los Angeles, CA 90013 (213) 576-6982 (213) 576-6905			
. 7				
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	* * * *			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-32207 LA			
12) MARK 1 MORTGAGE, and MARK DOUGLAS)			
13	PRATHER, individually and as designated broker-officer of) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{C} \cup \underline{S} \land \underline{T} \perp \underline{O} \land \underline{N}$			
15	Mark 1 Mortgage, Buy America Real) Estate and Alta Real Estate, Inc.)			
16	And FLETCHER LEE PRATHER,) individually and as designated) officer of Mark 1 Mortgage,)			
17	Respondents.			
18)			
19	The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate			
. 20	Commissioner, for cause of Accusation against MARK 1 MORTGAGE,			
21	MARK DOUGLAS PRATHER, individually and as designated broker-			
22	officer of Mark 1 Mortgage, Buy America Real Estate and Alta Real			
. 23	Estate, Inc. and FLETCHER LEE PRATHER, individually and as			
24	designated officer of Mark 1 Mortgage, is informed and alleges as			
25	follows:			
26	1.			
27	The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate			
,	- 1 -			

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

4 Respondent MARK 1 MORTGAGE, (hereinafter "MARK 1"), is 5 presently licensed and at all times relevant herein was licensed 6 under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California 7 Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a corporate 8 real estate broker. Respondent MARK 1 has been and is licensed 9 by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California 10 (hereinafter "Department") as a corporate real estate broker 11 since May 7, 1997.

12 (a) Respondent MARK 1 was and is authorized to act by 13 and thorough Respondent MARK DOUGLAS PRATHER, from on or before 14 November 2, 2002 through the present; and by and through 15 Respondent FLETCHER LEE PRATHER from May 7, 1997 through on or 16 about November 1, 2002; both acting, pursuant to the provisions 17 of Code Section 10159.2, for the supervision and control of the 18 activities conducted on behalf of Respondent MARK 1 by its 19 officers and employees.

(b) Respondent MARK 1 was subject to a prior
disciplinary action in Case No. H-28251 LA in which, effective
February 10, 2000, the corporate license of Respondent MARK 1 was
suspended ninety days, the first thirty days was stayed on terms
and conditions, for violating Code Section 10145 and Regulations
2726, 2832.1, 2832 and 2834 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the
California Code of Regulations.

- 2 -

27

1

2

3

2 Respondent MARK DOUGLAS PRATHER (hereinafter "M. D. 3 PRATHER") is presently licensed and at all times relevant herein 4 was licensed under the Code as a real estate broker. Respondent • 5 M. D. PRATHER has been licensed since on or before October 11, 6 2002. Since on or before November 6, 2002 and continuing to the 7 present, Respondent M. D. PRATHER was and is the broker-officer 8 of Respondent MARK 1 designated pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 9 to be responsible for the supervision and control of the 10 activities conducted on behalf of MARK 1 by its officers and 11 employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the Real 12 Estate Law. Respondent M. D. PRATHER is also the designated 13 broker officer of Buy America Real Estate and was, until June 16, 14 2005, designated broker officer of Alta Real Estate, Inc. 15 4. 16 Respondent FLETCHER LEE PRATHER (hereinafter "F. L. 17 PRATHER") is presently licensed and at all times relevant herein 18 was licensed under the Code as a real estate broker. Respondent 19 F. L. PRATHER has been licensed since on or before December 22,

3.

1

20 1971. Between May 7, 1997 until on or about November 5, 2002, 21 Respondent F. L. PRATHER was the broker-officer of Respondent 22 MARK 1 designated pursuant to Code Section 10159.2 to be 23 responsible for the supervision and control of the activities 24 conducted on behalf of MARK 1 by its officers and employees as 25 necessary to the supervision and control of the activities 26 conducted on behalf of MARK 1 by its officers and employees as 27 necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law.

- 3 -

2 At all times material herein, Respondent MARK 1 was engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised 3 4 or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of 5 California within the meaning of Code Sections 10131(d). Said 6 activity included soliciting borrowers and lenders for, and 7 negotiating the terms of loans secured by real property between 8 borrowers and third party lenders for or in expectation of 9 compensation.

6.

5

10

1

All further references to "Respondents" include all Respondents and also include the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondents, who at all times material herein were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority, agency or employment.

7.

18

19 On December 26 and 27, 2002 the Department examined the 20 books and records of Respondent MARK 1 covering the period of 21 time between December 1, 2001 through November 31, 2002. The results of that examination are set forth in an Audit Report 22 23 dated February 21, 2003, number LA 020187. That examination 24 revealed violations of the Code and California Code of 25 Regulations, Title 10, Chapter 6 (hereafter "Regulations"), as 26 set forth below, and as more specifically set forth in Audit 27 Report and the Exhibits attached to said Audit.

4 -

2 Respondent MARK 1, as a mortgage broker as set forth in 3 Paragraph 5 above, was required by Code §§10240 and 10241(b) and Regulations 2840 and 2840.1 to provide a Mortgage Loan Disclosure 5 Statement/Good Faith Estimate (MLDS) to the borrowers and to 6 disclose to borrowers all brokerage commissions contracted for or to be received. The MLDSes provided to borrowers failed to comply 8 with Code §§10240 and 10241(b) and Regulations 2840 and 2840.1 in that:

The MLDS form, approved pursuant to Regulation (a) 10 11 2840.1, required the fees paid to the broker to be specially allocated in the column entitled "Paid To Broker". 12 In none of the MLDSes in the transactions above set forth were the fees paid 13 to Respondent MARK 1 set forth in that column, in willful 14 violation of Regulations 2840 and 2840.1. 15

16 (b) While fees charged by the lender were set forth in the MLDSes, the entry, "Mtg Broker Commission Fee" in the MLDSes 17 were routinely left blank. Fees paid to Respondent MARK 1 were 18 not disclosed in the MLDSes at all, in willful violation of 19 Regulations 2840 and 2840.1. 20

21 (c) The MLDSes used in the above transaction, approved pursuant to Regulations 2840 and 2840.1, required Respondent MARK 22 1 to disclose, on the bottom of page 1 thereof, all "Compensation 23 to Broker (Not Paid Out of Loan Proceeds). . . Any Additional 24 Compensation from Lender. . . () No () Yes 25 \$___(if known)". In all MLDSs provided in the below transactions, each and every 26 Respondent caused, allowed and permitted those MLDSes to falsely 27

5.

8.

1

4

7

9

represent that Respondent MARK 1 would not receive any such compensation. Respondents well knew such representations to be false. Respondents failed to disclose to the following borrowers the commissions which were received from the lenders in the form of yield spread premiums, rebates or service release premiums, as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 Borrower: Loan Number: Settlement Date: Total Amount: Carla Maria Saenz 97022190 3-4-02 \$5679.48 8 Miriam Carrillo 97025506 8-30-02 \$5377.98 9 Bruce Reichenbacher 67411448 \$2631.13 9-23-02 10 Christopher Irons & 131580581 10-31-02 Two loans 11 & Natalie Rayman 10-31-02 Both Loans \$5268.88 13158063 12 Jude & Rosalina Ten 97027567 12-6-02 \$2704.02 13 The fees set forth herein above were over and above the fees 14 disclosed on the MLDSes and Settlement Statements rendered at 15 close of escrow, except in the Irons/Rayman and the Carillo 16 loans. The fees set forth herein above in the Saenz, 17 18 Reichenbacher and Ten loans were never disclosed to the borrowers. The conduct herein constitutes the making of 19 substantial misrepresentations, fraud or dishonest dealing by 20 Respondents. 21

(b) Code Section 10240 required Respondent MARK 1 to maintain for three years from the close of the loan true copies of the MLDS signed by the borrower. Respondent MARK 1 failed to maintain copies of MLDSes with the signatures of the borrowers in the following loan transactions: //

- б

1	Borrower:	Loan Number:	Date Close:
2	Miriam Carillo	97025506	8-30-02
3	Bruce Reichenbacher	67411448	9-23-02
4	Samuel Blakely &		
5	Norma Hoskins	11150	8-9-02
6	Lisa M. Lozano	9702506	7-31-02
7	Jude & Rosalina Ten	9702506	12-6-02
8.	Steve & Jennifer Pasol	67421586	11-12-02
9	Catherine & Peter Rasoe	0018383190	undated
10	John and Rosita Dorris	0131518029	10-1-02

11

12 In the conduct of its mortgage loan business, 13 Respondent MARK 1 would sometimes collect appraisal fees in 14 advance and place said appraisal fees into a trust account. On 15 two loans, the lenders reimbursed to Respondent MARK 1 the 16 appraisal fees. Respondent MARK 1 failed to segregate the 17 appraisal fees into the escrow account, in violation of Code 18 Section 10145 and Regulation 2832. Respondent placed said 19 appraisal funds into its general account and paid the appraisers 20 from its general account, in the following transactions: 21 Borrower Loan No. Date Recd. Amt. Date Paid

9.

 22
 Saenz
 97022190
 3-4-02
 \$300
 3-12-02

 23
 Blakely & Hoskins 11150
 6-15-02
 \$365
 9-5-02

 24
 10.

As of the December 27, 2002, Respondent MARK 1 failed to have in its possession the original real estate licenses of Miguel Angel Chavez, Emma Vega and Xochitl Y. Gonzalez, in

- 7 -

1 willful violation of Code Section 10160 and Regulation 2753. 2 11. 3 Respondents M. D. PRATHER and F. L. PRATHER failed to 4 exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of the 5 officers and employees of Respondent MARK 1, during their 6 respective tenures as designated officer, in willful violation of 7 Code Section 10159.2. 8 12. 9 The acts, conduct and omissions set forth in Paragraphs 10 8, 9, 10 and 11 above constitute grounds to discipline the 11 licenses and license rights of Respondents MARK 1, M. D. PRATHER 12 and F. L. PRATHER under the following Code Sections: 13 10176(a) for substantial misrepresentations and (a) 14 the undisclosed compensation in MARK 1's MLDSes. 15 10176(i) for fraud or dishonest dealing in (b) 16 concealing from the borrowers the receipt of the rebates, service 17 release premiums and yield spread premiums. 18 (c)10177(d) for willful violations of the following 19 Code Sections and Regulations: 20 10145 and Regulation 2832 for failure to (i) 21 place reimbursements of appraisal fees into its trust account. 22 (ii) 10240 for failure to maintain for three 23 years copies of signed MLDSes. 24 (iii) 10241 and Regulations 2840 and 2840.1 for 25 failure to properly and accurately complete the MLDSes. 26 Code Section 10160 and Regulation 2753. (iv) 27

- 8 -

(d) 10177(g) for negligence in transactions for which a
 license is required.

(e) 10177(h) for failure to supervise as required by
4 Code Section 10159.2.

5 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 6 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 7 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 8 action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondent 9 MARK 1 MORTGAGE, Respondent MARK DOUGLAS PRATHER and FLETCHER LEE 10 PRATHER under the Real Estate Law and for such other and further 11 relief as may be proper under applicable provisions of law. 12 Dated at Los Angeles, California this <u>dif</u> day of September, 2005. 13 14 15 16 anice Waddell. 17 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 18 19 20 21 cc: Mark 1 Mortgage Corporation, 22 Mark Douglas Prather Fletcher Lee Prather 23 Sacto. Janice Waddell 24 Audits 25 26 27 Q