

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-30856 LA HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually and) L-2004070573 doing business as Capital Lenders,) Capital West Realty, La Mont) Investments, Realty Angels, Realty of) Angels; and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP,) individually and doing business) as Premium Home Funding, Premium) Home Realty and Red Carpet-American) Dream Realty, and as designated) officer of BSLM, Inc.,

Respondents.

DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated March 21, 2005,

of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on May 12, 2005.

IT IS SO ORDERED

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

I

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of:

HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually and

Realty and Red Carpet-American Dream Realty, and as designated officer of BSLM, Inc., Case No. H- 30856 LA

doing business as, Capital Lenders, Capital OAI West Realty, La Mont Investments, Realty Angels, Realty of Angels; and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP, individually and doing business) as Premium Home Funding, Premium Home

OAH No. L2004070573

Respondents.

PROPOSED DECISION

This matter was heard on March 7, 2005, at Los Angeles, California, by Christopher J. Ruiz, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California.

Respondent HECTOR MANUEL LAINO (Respondent) and his attorney, Eugene Salmonsen, Esq., did not appear after having received due notice of the hearing and all jurisdictional requirements have been met, so the matter proceeded as a default. Prior to hearing, the Accusation against DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP, individually and doing business as Premium Home Funding, Premium Home Realty and Red Carpet-American Dream Realty, and as designated officer of BSLM, Inc., was resolved.

Complainant Maria Suarez (Complainant), Deputy Real Estate Commissioner, was represented by Chris Leong, Counsel for Department of Real Estate (Department).

Oral and documentary evidence was received and the matter was submitted for decision.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

1. Complainant brought the Accusation in her official capacity.

2. Respondent is presently licensed and has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (Code).

3. Respondent was and still is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker.

4. At all times material herein, Jennifer Gail Villafana (Villafana) was and still is licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson. Villafana was employed by Respondent from November 1, 2001 to February 9, 2003.

5. At all times material herein, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker for others in the State of California, within the meaning of Code section 10131, subdivision (a) and (d), including the operation and conduct of a real estate sales, loan and loan servicing business with the public wherein Respondent sold and purchased real property on behalf of owners or real property and/or solicited borrowers for loans secured by interest in real property and/or serviced loans secured by interest in real property, in expectation of compensation. In addition, Respondent conducted broker-escrow activity pursuant to the exemption in Financial Code section 17006, subdivision (a)(4).

6. All further references to Respondent include the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent who were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

7. On or about September, 2002, the State of California, Department of Corporations, filed an Order to Desist and Refrain against Villafana because of violations of California Financial Code sections 17403 and 17416.

Audit Findings

8. On April 30, 2003, the Department concluded its examination of Respondent's books and records pertaining to his activities as a real estate broker in Audit No. LA 020253, including escrow activities, covering a period from approximately January 1, 2002, to January 31, 2003. The examination revealed violations of the Code and of California Code of Regulations, title 10, chapter 6, (Regulations), as set forth below and as more specifically set forth in Audit No. LA 020253 and the Exhibits attached to said Audit report.

9. In connection with the broker escrow, real estate sales, loan and loan servicing activity, Respondent accepted or received funds, including funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of actual and prospective parties to transactions handled by Respondent and thereafter made deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. Trust funds were deposited and/or maintained by Respondent at Comerica Bank-California, 9920 S. La Cienega Boulevard 11th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90045, in the name of Romania Village Financial, Inc., dba Tri-West Escrow Trust Account, Account No. 1892005461 (the escrow trust account).

10. Respondent acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that Respondent:

(a) maintained the escrow trust account in a manner that resulted in a shortage of
\$232,527.81, as of 1-31-03. The shortage was caused by four overdrawn escrows totaling
\$235,045.56 and an unidentified amount of funds totaling \$2,517.75, in violation of Code
Section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1 and 2951.

(b) through his employee, Villafana, fabricated buyers' deposits in order to obtain the purchase loan from the lender in four escrow transactions. Also Villifana performed two escrows for the same transaction on the same property in two instances, in violation of Code section 10176,

subdivision (a).

(c) failed to maintain a record of all escrow trust funds received and disbursed during the audit period, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831 and 2951.

(d) failed to maintain separate records for each escrow during the audit period, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1 and 2951.

(e) failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the trust fund records during the audit period, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831.2 and 2951.

(f) failed to maintain the escrow trust account in Respondent's name as trustee and allowed the trust account to be in the name of Villafana's unlicensed corporation, "Roman Village Financial, Inc., in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832 and 2951.

(g) failed to maintain Respondent as a signatory on the escrow trust account and allowed Villafana to be a signatory on the trust account without obtaining specific written authorization, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2834 and 2951.

(h) failed to maintain books, records and accounts in accordance with accepted principles of accounting and good business practices for Tri West Escrow, in violation of Regulation 2950, subdivision (d).

(i) failed to advise all parties in writing of Villafana's ownership interest in Tri West Escrow, in violation of Regulation 2950, subdivision (h).

(j) failed to maintain copies of Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements in the transaction files, in violation of Code section 10240.

(k) failed to exercise adequate supervision over escrow activities conducted by Villafana at his Los Angeles branch office, in violation of Code Section 10177, subdivision (h) and Regulation 2725.

11. No evidence of mitigation or rehabilitation was presented.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Based upon the foregoing factual findings, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following legal conclusions:

Cause exists to suspend or revoke all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Code Sections <u>10176</u>, subdivision (a), <u>10177</u>, subdivision (d), 10177, subdivision (g), and 10177, subdivision (h), based on the conduct, acts and omissions of Respondent as described in Factual Findings 1-11. ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent HECTOR MANUEL LAINO under the Real Estate Law are revoked.

DATED: March <u>2(</u>, 2005.

CHRISTOPHER J. RUH Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

SR.	•	
	1 2 3 4 5	Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct) FRARIMENT OF REAL ESTATE
. ·	6 7	
	8 9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
•	10 11	* * * In the Matter of the Accusation of)
· .	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually and doing business as Capital Lenders, Capital West Realty, La Mont Investments, Realty Angeles, Realty of Angeles; and <u>DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP</u> , individually and doing business as Premium Home Funding, Premium Home Realty and Red Carpet- American Dream Realty, and as Designated officer of BSLM, Inc., Respondents.
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	It is hereby stipulated by and between <u>DAMIAN NILS</u> <u>DUNLAP</u> , individually and doing business as Premium Home Funding, Premium Home Realty and Red Carpet- American Dream Realty, and as designated officer of BSLM, Inc. (hereafter "Respondent"), represented by Charles Benninghoff, and the Complainant, acting by and through Chris Leong, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on April 22,
		- 1 -

2004. The matter as to HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually, and doing business as Capital Lenders, Capital West Realty, La Mont Investments, Realty Angeles and Realty of Angeles, will be handled separately.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement (hereafter "Stipulation").

Respondent has received, read and understands the
 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the
 Administrative Procedure Act and the Accusation, filed by the
 Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to 3. 16 Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of 17 requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. 18 Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice 19 of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that 20 by withdrawing said Notice of Defense that he will thereby 21 waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the 22 allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 23 accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure 24 Act and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to him 25 in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present 26 evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and 27

- 2 -

the right to cross-examine witnesses.

1

2

З

4

-5

`6

7

8

9

10

4. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to proceed with the hearing, contest the factual allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 14 of the Accusation, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

It is understood by the parties that the Real 5. 11 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation as his Decision 12 in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on 13 Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set 14 forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner 15 in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be 16 void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to 17 a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the 18 provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act and shall not be 19 bound by any admission or waiver made herein. 20

6. The stipulation herein, and Respondent's decision not to contest the Accusation, are made solely for the purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding and are expressly limited to this proceeding and any other proceeding or case in which the Department of Real Estate or another licensing agency of this state, another state or if the federal government is involved, and otherwise shall not be admissible

- 3 -

in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real 7. Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any conduct which was not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending 10 Accusation without a hearing, without admitting any wrongdoing, 11 it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of 12 Issues shall be made: 13

т

The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondent DAMIAN 15 NILS DUNLAP, as alleged in the Accusation, are grounds for the 16 suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and 17 license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Code 18 Section 10177(h). 19

ORDER 20 WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: 21 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent DAMIAN 22 NILS DUNLAP, under the Real Estate Law shall be suspended for a 23 period of thirty (30) days from the effective date of this 24 Decision; provided, however, that the thirty (30) day suspension 25 shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and 26 conditions: 27

 Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and

2. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

3. <u>Respondent shall</u>, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

4. Respondent's license shall be indefinitely suspended unless or until Respondent submits proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and successfully completed the continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code. Proof of satisfaction of this requirement includes evidence that Respondent has successfully completed the trust fund account and handling continuing

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 5 -

education course within 120 days prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

1

2

5.. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and 3 Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's Λ reasonable cost for: a) the audit which led to this disciplinary 5 action and, b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondent has 6 corrected the trust fund violations found in the Determination of 7 Issues. The cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary 8 action is \$3,481.72. In calculating the amount of the 9 Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the 10 estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits 11 of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for 12 travel cost, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's 13 place of work and per diem. Said amount for the subsequent audit 14 shall not exceed \$3,481.72. Respondent shall pay such cost 15 within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner 16 detailing the activities performed during the audit and the 17 amount of time spent performing those activities. The 18 Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the 19 stay order, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, 20 or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the 21 Respondent and the Commissioner. The vacation and the set aside 22 of the stay shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, 23 or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the 24 Commissioner to provide for payment. Should no order vacating 25 the stay be issued, either in accordance with this condition or 26 condition "3.", the stay imposed herein shall become permanant. 27

- 6 -

1 3/9/05 2 DATED:

3

4

27

CHRIS LEONG, ESO.

Counsel for Complainant

5 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have 6 discussed it with my representative, and its terms are 7 understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. Ι 8 understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the 9 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 10 limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 11 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and 12 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of 13 requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 14 Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to 15 cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 16 defense and mitigation of the charges.

17 Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the 18 terms and conditions of this Stipulation and Agreement by 19 faxing a copy of the signature page, as actually signed by 20 Respondent, to the Department at fax number (213) 576-6917. 21 Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by 22 electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his 23 actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation and 24 Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department 25 shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had 26 received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

- 7 -

.

•

• •

3-05 THU 02:54 PH		FAX NO. 2135	786817
		•	· ·
			•
1		\sim	
· DAMED: March	<u>3 200</u> 5	DAMIAN NILS DU	NLAF. Respondent
			a old
DATED: Warc	e-120	os Chorles	David
s	U .	CHURIDEB BENNUN Ropresentative	for samondant
6		Approved as he	Loir
8		- • • •	
The for	•	pulation and Agre	•
10 adopted as my Dec		this matter and sh	
36 11.		n on	
12 IT IS :	so ordered	JEFF DAVI	n, anno 1979 an 1979 a
1.3		Real Estate Comm	fssioner
14			
1.5		P. Stans	
16			
17	••		
19	,		:
20	·		:
37			
22			• •
23			,
34			
. 25			
24			
27			
		- 8 -	•
11	·.		
na ann an an Albana ann an		,	
-			:
		• •	:
и вини и сила, у проможение на			:

a Ala



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, etc., and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP, etc.,

Respondent(s)



NOTICE OF CONTINUED HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 on <u>MARCH 7 and 8, 2005</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 A.M.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Case No. H-30856 LA

Dated: November 24, 2004

By

CHRIS LEONG, Counsel

cc: Hector Manuel Laino Damian Nils Dunlap Benninghoff & Pamir

Benninghoff & Ramirez-Professional Advocates /Sacto. OAH Ron Revilla/L.A. Audits

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

Case No. <u>H-30856 LA</u>

L-2004070573

OAH No.

HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, etc., and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP, etc.,

Respondent(s)



NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 on <u>NOVEMBER 23 and 24, 2004</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 A.M.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: August 20, 2004

By

LEONG.

cc: Hector Manuel Laino Damian Nils Dunlap Benninghoff & Ramirez-Professional Advocates Sacto.

OAH

Ron Revilla/L.A. Audits

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	CHRIS LEONG, Counsel (SEN 141079) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct) DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE					
. 8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE					
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	* * *					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-30856 LA					
12 13	HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually) and doing business as <u>ACCUSATION</u> Capital Lenders, Capital West)					
14	Realty, La Mont Investments,) Realty Angels, Realty of) Angels; and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP,) individually and doing business) as Premium Home Funding, Premium) Home Realty and Red Carpet-) American Dream Realty, and as)					
15						
16						
. 17	designated officer of BSLM, Inc.,)					
18	Respondents.)					
1.9	The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Deputy Real Estate					
20	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of					
21	Accusation against HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually and doing					
22	business as Capital Lenders, Capital West Realty, La Mont					
23	Investments, Realty Angels, Realty of Angels (hereafter					
24	"LAINO"); and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP, individually and doing					
25	business as Premium Home Funding, Premium Home Realty and Red					
26	Carpet-American Dream Realty, and as designated officer of					
27						

LPK .

- 1 -



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

17

23

BSLM, Inc. (hereafter "DUNLAP"); (hereafter sometimes both referred to as "Respondents"), is informed and alleges in her official capacity as follows:

1.

Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "Code").

2.

At all times material herein, Respondent LAINO was and still is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereafter "Department") as a real estate broker.

At all times material herein, DUNLAP was and still is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker.

4.

· 3.

At all times material herein, Jennifer Gail Villafana (hereafter "Villafana") was and still is licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson. Villafana was employed by LAINO from November 1, 2001 to February 9, 2003 and has been employed by DUNLAP from February 10, 2003 to present.

5.

At all times material herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers for others in the State of California, within the meaning of Code Section 10131(a) and

- 2 -

(d), including the operation and conduct of a real estate sales, loan and loan servicing business with the public wherein Respondents sold and purchased real property on behalf of owners or real property and/or solicited borrowers for loans secured by interest in real property and/or serviced loans secured by interest in real property, in expectation of compensation. In addition, Respondents conducted broker-escrow activity pursuant to the exemption in Financial Code Section 17006(a)(4).

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

111

111

6.

All further references to "Respondents", unless 11 otherwise specified, include the parties identified in Paragraphs 12 2 through 5, above, and also include the employees, agents and 13 real estate licensees employed by or associated with said 14 parties, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the 15 furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who 16 were acting within the course and scope of their authority and 17 employment. 18

PRIOR DEPARTMENTAL ACTION/DISCIPLINE

7.

In or about September, 2002, the State of California, Department of Corporations, filed an Order to Desist and Refrain against Villafana citing violations of California Financial Code Sections 17403 and 17416.

• 3 -

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(First Audit Findings)

8

On April 30, 2003, the Department concluded its examination of Respondent LAINO's books and records pertaining to LAINO's activities as a real estate broker in Audit No. LA 020253, including escrow activities, covering a period from approximately January 1, 2002 to January 31, 2003. The examination revealed violations of the Code and of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations (hereafter "Regulations"), as set forth below and as more specifically set forth in Audit No. LA 020253 and the Exhibits attached to said Audit report.

9.

At all times herein, in connection with the broker 15 escrow, real estate sales, loan and loan servicing activity 16 described in Paragraph 5, above, Respondent LAINO accepted or 17 received funds, including funds in trust (hereafter "trust 18 funds") from or on behalf of actual and prospective parties to 19 transactions handled by Respondent LAINO and thereafter made 20 deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. Trust funds were 21 deposited and/or maintained by LAINO at Comerica Bank-22 California, 9920 S. La Cienega Boulevard 11th Floor, Los 23 Angeles, CA 90045, in the name of Romania Village Financial, 24 Inc., dba Tri-West Escrow Trust Account, Account No. 1892005461 25 (hereafter TA#1). 26

27

1

2

3

5

6

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

Respondent LAINO acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

1

2

3

Δ

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

(a) as of January 31, 2003, the escrow trust account contained a shortage of \$232,527.81. The shortage was caused by four overdrawn escrows totaling \$235,045.56 and an unidentified amount of funds totaling \$2,517.75, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1 and 2951.

(b) In four escrow transactions, Villafana fabricated buyers' deposits in order to obtain the purchase loan from the lender. Also Villifana performed two escrows for the same transaction on the same property in two instances, in violation of Code Section 10176(a).

(c) failed to maintain a record of all escrow trust funds received and disbursed during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831 and 2951.

(d) failed to maintain separate records for each escrow during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1 and 2951.

(e) failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the trust fund records during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.2 and 2951.

(f) failed to maintain the escrow trust account in LAINO'S name as trustee and allowed the trust account to be in the name of Villafana's unlicensed corporation, "Roman Village Financial, Inc., in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832 and 2951.

10.

- 5 -

failed to maintain LAINO as a signatory on the (g) 1 escrow trust account and allowed Villafana to be a signatory on 2 the trust account without obtaining specific written 3 authorization, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834 and 2951. 5 failed to maintain books, records and accounts (h) 6 in accordance with accepted principles of accounting and good 7 business practices for Tri West Escrow, in violation of 8 Regulation 2950(d). 9 (i) failed to advise all parties in writing of 10 Villafana's ownership interest in Tri West Escrow, in violation 11 of Regulation 2950(h). 12 (j) failed to maintain copies of Mortgage Loan 13 Disclosure Statements in the transaction files, in violation of 14 Code Section 10240. 15 failed to exercise adequate supervision over (k) 16 escrow activities conducted by Villafana at his Los Angeles 17 branch office, in violation of Code Section 10177(h) and 18 Regulation 2725. 19 SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 20 (Second Audit Findings) 21 11. 22 On April 30, 2003, the Department concluded its 23 examination of Respondent DUNLAP's books and records pertaining 24 to Respondent's activities as a real estate broker in Audit No. 25 LA 020353, including escrow activities, covering a period from 26 approximately February 1, 2003 to February 28, 2003. The 27

- 6 -

examination revealed violations of the Code and of the Regulations, as set forth below and as more specifically set forth in Audit No. LA 020353 and the Exhibits attached to the Audit report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

12.

At all times herein, in connection with the broker escrow, real estate sales, loan and loan servicing activity described in Paragraph 11, above, Respondent DUNLAP accepted or received funds, including trust funds from or on behalf of actual and prospective parties to transactions handled by DUNLAP and thereafter made deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. Trust funds were deposited and/or maintained in TA#1.

13.

Respondent acted in violation of the Code and the Regulations in that:

(a) as of February 28, 2003, the escrow trust account contained a shortage of \$240,686.44. The shortage was caused by six overdrawn escrows totaling \$240,103.51 and an unidentified amount of funds totaling \$582.93, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1 and 2951.

(b) failed to maintain a record of all escrow trust funds received and disbursed during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831 and 2951.

(c) failed to maintain separate records for each escrow during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1 and 2951.

- 7 -

(d) failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the trust fund records during the audit period, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2 and 2951.

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

(e) failed to maintain the escrow trust account in DUNLAP's name as trustee and allowed the trust account to be in the name of Villafana's unlicensed corporation, "Roman Village Financial, Inc., in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832 and 2951.

(f) failed to maintain DUNLAP as a signatory on the escrow trust account and allowed Villafana to be a signatory on the trust account without obtaining specific written authorization, in violation of Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2834 and 2951.

(g) failed to maintain books, records and accounts in accordance with accepted principles of accounting and good business practices for Tri West Escrow, in violation of Regulation 2950(d).

(h) failed to advise all parties in writing of
Villafana's ownership interest in Tri West Escrow, in violation
of Regulation 2950(h).

(i) failed to exercise adequate supervision over escrow activities conducted by DUNLAP at his Los Angeles branch office, in violation of Code Section 10177(h) and Regulation 2725.

The conduct, acts and omissions of Respondents, as described in Paragraphs 1 through 13, violated the Code and the

14.

- 8 - .

Regulations as set forth above and constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Code Sections 10176(a), 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondents, HECTOR MANUEL LAINO, individually and doing business as Capital Lenders, Capital West Realty, La Mont Investments, Realty Angels, Realty of Angels; and DAMIAN NILS DUNLAP, individually and doing business as Premium Home Funding, Premium Home Realty and Red Carpet-American Dream Realty, and as designated officer of BSLM, Inc. under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California day of (2004. this,

missioner

CC: Hector Manuel Laino Damian Nils Dunlap Sacto. LA Audit/Revilla RLJ

i

2

۰3

5

б

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

-9.