				D
	JAN	03	2014	
DUDEA		100 mm		

OF REAL ESTATE в By

## BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA \* \* \*

11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of				
13	GUIDO A. RODRIGUEZ,	No. H-30663 LA			
14	Respondent.				
15	ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE				
16	On November 19, 2004, a Decision was rendered allowing Respondent to				
17	surrender his real estate salesperson license.				
18	On May 22, 2012, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate				
19	salesperson license.				
20	I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence submitted in				
21	support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has				
22	undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate				
23	salesperson license at this time.				
24	The burden of proving rehabilitation	rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State			
25	Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and				
26	integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the				
27	prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (	(Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).			

1	The Bureau has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California Code of					
2	Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for					
3	reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:					
4	Regulation 2911(j)—Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging					
5	monetary obligations to others					
6	Respondent has not provided proof of satisfaction of the amount owed from the					
7	previous matter involving his license surrender.					
8	Regulation 2911(n)(1)—Change of attitude from that which existed at the time of					
9	the conduct in question as evidenced by any or all of the following:					
10	(1) Testimony of applicant.					
11	Respondent has no change in attitude and failed to take complete responsibility					
12	for his actions.					
13	Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that					
14	Respondent has complied with Regulations 2911 (j), and (n)(1), I am not satisfied that					
15	Respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a real estate salesperson license.					
16	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for					
17	reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license is denied.					
18	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on JAN 2 4 2014					
19 ·	IT IS SO ORDERED JAN 02 2014					
20	REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER					
21	KLINE LOUVINISSIONER					
22						
23	By: JEFFREY MASON Chief Deputy Commissioner					
24						
25						
26						
27						
	- 2 -					