LAINO	
1	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
3 4 5	By Protoc
6	· · ·
. 8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * *
10	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) NO. H-29019 LA
12) L-2001060474
13 14	Respondent.)
15	ORDER SUSPENDING RESTRICTED REAL ESTATE LICENSE
16	TO: JAMES EDWARD MACKE: On July 5, 2002, a restricted real estate
17 18	salesperson license was issued by the Department of Real Estate
19	to Respondent on the terms, conditions and restrictions set forth
20	in the Real Estate Commissioner's Order of April 24, 2002, in case No. H-29019 LA. This Order, with the effective date of July
21 22	5, 2002 (the original effective date was May 28, 2002, but was
. 23	stayed for 30 days and then stayed 10 days further pending a
24	Petition for Reconsideration) granted Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license subject
25 26	to the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and
27	Professions Code and to enumerated additional terms, conditions

- 1 -

1 and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of
2 said Code.

Among those terms, conditions and restrictions, 3 Respondent was required to submit, within nine (9) months from 4 5 the effective date the Decision, evidence of having completed 45 hours of approved continuing education offerings as set forth in 6 The 7 Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law. Commissioner has determined that as of May 6, 2003, Respondent 8 has failed to satisfy this condition, and as such, is in 9 10 violation of Section 10177(k) of the Business and Professions 11 Code. 12 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED under authority of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code of the State 13 14 of California that the restricted real estate salesperson license 15 heretofore issued to respondent and the exercise of any privileges thereunder is hereby suspended until such time as 16 Respondent provides satisfactory proof to the Department of 17 compliance with the "condition(s)" referred to above, or pending 18 final determination made after hearing (see "Hearing Rights" set 19 20 forth below). 21 1111

- 22 ////
- 23 ////
- 24 ////
- 25 ////
- 26 ////
- 27

- 2 -

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all license certificates and 1 2 identification cards issued by Department which are in the 3 possession of respondent be immediately surrendered by personal delivery or by mailing in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope 4 5 to: Department of Real Estate 6 Flag Section Attn: P. O. Box 187000 7 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 8 9 HEARING RIGHTS: Pursuant to the provisions of Section 10 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code, you have the right 11 to a hearing to contest the Commissioner's determination that you 12 are in violation of Section 10177(k). If you desire a hearing, 13 you must submit a written request. The request may be in any 14 form, as long as it is in writing and indicates that you want a 15 hearing. Unless a written request for a hearing, signed by or on 16 behalf of you, is delivered or mailed to the Department at 320 17 West 4th Street, Suite 350, Los Angeles, California, 90013-1105 18 within 20 days after the date that this Order was mailed to or 19 served on you, the Department will not be obligated or required 20 to provide you with a hearing. 21 This Order shall be effective immediately. 22 DATED: 23 PAULA_REDDISH ZINNEMANN 24 Real Estate Commissioner 25 26 27

) . t. 7			•	
1			•	
2	cc: James Edward Macke			
3	cc: James Edward Macke 29 Rainbow Ridge Irvine, CA 92612			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24 25				
25 26				
26				
21				
		- 4 -		

ļ

on 10	
SAUTO 1	
2	
3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	By Darthan
5	
6	
. 7	
° 9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-29019 LA
11) L-2001060474
13	JAMES EDWARD MACKE,)) Respondent.)
14	()
15	ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION
16	On April 24, 2002, a Decision After Rejection was
17	signed in the above-entitled matter. Said Decision was stayed
18	by separate Orders to July 5, 2002.
19	On May 17, 2002, Respondent petitioned for
20	reconsideration of the Order April 24, 2002.
21	111
22	111
23	///
24	
25	
26	///
27 ·	///

1	I have given due consideration to the petition of
2	Respondent. I find no good cause to reconsider the Decision
3	of April 24, 2002, and reconsideration is hereby denied.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED June 26, 2002.
5	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
6	
7	flow & Libeator
8	
9	
10	BY: John R. Liberator
11	Chief Deputy Commissioner
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
. 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Ż

spc10	
1	
2	
3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	By Draffer 1
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * *
10 11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
11) NO. H-29019 LA JAMES EDWARD MACKE,)) L-2001060474
13	Respondent.)
14	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE
15	On April 24, 2002, a Decision was rendered in the
16	above-entitled matter to become effective May 28, 2002.
17	On May 22, 2002, the effective date of said Order was stayed
18	until June 27, 2002.
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the
20	Decision of April 24, 2002, is stayed for an additional period
21	of ten (10) days.
22	The Decision of April 24, 2002, shall become effective
23	at 12 o'clock noon on July 5, 2002.
24	DATED: <u>June 25, 2002</u> .
25	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
26	
27	By: <u>BUDIES RAMOS</u> DOLORES RAMOS
	Regional Manager
	1
	11

. .

.

410. 6109	
; 1 2 3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	By Million Chinic
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9 10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * * *
10) In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-29019 LA
12	JAMES EDWARD MACKE, et al.,) L-2001060474
13) Respondents.)
14)
15	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE
16	On April 24, 2002, a Decision was rendered in the
17	above-entitled matter to become effective May 28, 2002.
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the
19	Decision of April 24, 2002, is stayed for a period of 30 days.
20	The Decision of April 24, 2002, shall become effective
• 21	at 12 o'clock noon on June 27, 2002.
22	DATED: <u>May 22, 2002</u> .
23	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
24	\mathcal{T}
25	By: M. Dalores lans
26 27	DOLORES RAMOS Regional Manager
21	
	· ·

1	
2	MAY - 6 2002
3	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	B Mille Main
5	G B
6	
7	
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of:) No. H-29019 LA
12	SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.,) No. L-2001060474
13	LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and) JAMES EDWARD MACKE
14	Respondents.
15) ```````````````````````````````
16	DECISION AFTER REJECTION
17	The matter of JAMES EDWARD MACKE, alone, came on for
18	hearing before Paul M. Hogan, Administrative Law Judge of the
19	Office of Administrative Hearings, in Los Angeles, California,
20	on October 30, 2001. Respondents SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC. and
21	JAMES EDWARD MACKE were severed from the hearing.
22	Sean Crahan, Counsel, represented the Complainant.
23	Respondent appeared and represented himself ¹ .
24	
25	
26	¹ In the Proposed Decision dated November 15, 2001, the Administrative Law Judge recited that Respondent MACKE was represented by Frank Buda, Esq.
27	This was not correct. The transcript shows no appearance by Frank Buda, Esq. and that Respondent MACKE represented himself.
	_ 1 _

Evidence was received, the hearing was closed, and the matter was submitted.

On November 15, 2001, the Administrative Law Judge submitted a Proposed Decision which I declined to adopt as my Decision.

1

2

3

4

5

7

27

Pursuant to Section 11517(c)(2)(E) of the Government 6 Code of the State of California, Respondent was served with notice of my determination not to adopt the Proposed Decision of 8 the Administrative Law Judge along with a copy of said Proposed 9 Respondent was notified that the case would be Decision. 10 decided by me upon the record including the transcript of the 11 proceedings held on October 30, 2001, and upon any written 12 argument offered by Respondent and Complainant. 13

On February 6, 2002, the transcript of the October 30, 14 2001 hearing was received. Respondent was requested to submit 15 argument within fifteen (15) days thereof. Despite notice to do 16 so, Respondent has not submitted argument. On April 3, 2002, 17 Complainant submitted Argument After Non-Adoption. 18

I have given careful consideration to the record in 19 this case including the transcript of proceedings of 20 October 30, 2001 and Complainant's Argument After Non-Adoption. 21 The following shall constitute the Decision of the 22 Real Estate Commissioner in this proceeding: 23 FINDINGS OF FACT 24 The Real Estate Commissioner makes the following 25 factual findings: 26

2 -

Thomas McCrady filed the Accusation in his 1 1. 2 official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (the 3 "Department"). Upon his own motion, the Administrative Law 4 5 Judge amended page 2, line 15 of the accusation to strike the words "an expired real estate licensee," and to substitute the 6 words "while holding an expired real estate license" in lieu 7 8 thereof.

9 2. JAMES EDWARD MACKE, Respondent, is presently
10 licensed as a real estate salesperson. He originally obtained
11 such license on March 10, 1993. It expired on March 9, 1997 and
12 remained expired until July 14, 2000, when Respondent procured
13 its renewal under the license of broker Lawrence Edward Crane.
14 During the period March 10, 1997, to July 14, 2000, Respondent
15 did not hold a real estate license.

¹⁶ 3. The parties have timely filed and served on one ¹⁷ another all pleadings, notices and other papers as required by ¹⁸ law.

¹⁹
 4. The sole issue pending between the parties is
 ²⁰ whether Respondent engaged in acts for which a real estate
 ²¹ license was required during the time he had no license.

5. It has been established that Respondent, while employed by Sierra Financial as a loan officer, solicited and negotiated loans on real property as set forth below on the dates indicated:

3 -

26

27

11

1 A loan on 11405 Sherwood Drive, Garden Grove (a) 2 for borrower Mark L. Wong, March 16, 2000. Respondent received 3 a commission of \$2,374.98 for this loan. 4 (b) A loan on 12689 Cambria Drive, Rancho 5 Cucamonga, for borrowers George H. and Danielle K. Porter, on б April 21, 2000. Respondent received a commission of \$8,058.35 7 for this loan. 8 (c) A loan on 29507 Desert Terrace Drive, 9 Menifee, for James T. and Beth A. Rose, March 31, 2000. 10 Respondent received a commission of \$1,435.70 for this loan. 11 6. It is inferred from the foregoing facts that 12 Respondent, in soliciting and negotiating these loans, performed 13 acts for which a real estate license was required during a 14 period of time when he had no valid and active real estate 15 license. 16 Respondent presented the following evidence in 7. 17 mitigation and extenuation: 18 Respondent testified that this incident has (a) 19 caused him shame and embarrassment as he comes from a family of 20 realtors. His father and his uncle have been in real estate 21 since 1935: 22 Respondent testified that he tried to renew (b) 23 Shortly before he left the Weyerhaeuser Mortgage his license. 24 Company in early 1997, he took two continuing education courses, 25 and gave the course certificates to his supervisor, along with 26 an application for renewal. He knew his license was due to 27

- 4 -

¹ expire at the end of February 1997.

2	(c) On joining Sierra Financial in March of
3	1997, he filled out a DRE Form 214 requesting transfer of his
4	license from one broker to another. He never heard anything
5	further about his license. He gave his existing license to
6	Sierra which was about to expire.
7	(d) He was not surprised to hear nothing from
8	the Department about his license. All licensing documents and
9	correspondence are customarily handled by clerical personnel
10	working for the supervising broker.
11	8. There is no evidence of other disciplinary
12	problems arising during Respondent's licensure, nor is there any
13	evidence of any damage caused anyone by reason of his
14	misconduct.
15	9. On the other hand, there are obvious

¹⁶ inconsistencies in his testimony, and one is left wondering why, ¹⁷ when he knew his license was about to expire, he did nothing ¹⁸ more to get it renewed, or at least, inquire concerning its ¹⁹ status. One is left in doubt as to Respondent's reliability and ²⁰ sincerity.

21 10. All allegations concerning Respondent MACKE
 22 contained in the accusation upon which no specific findings have
 23 been made have not been proved.

²⁴ 11. All evidence presented by Respondent MACKE in explanation, extenuation and mitigation has been considered. //

27

- 5 -

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

2 The conduct of Respondent, JAMES EDWARD MACKE, described in Findings 5 and 6 above, was in violation of section 3 4 10130 of the Business and Professions Code, and subjects his 5 real estate license to discipline pursuant to Business and б Professions Code section 10177(d).

7 The objective of an administrative proceeding relating 8 to discipline, if any, is to protect the public, and to 9 determine whether a license holder has exercised his privilege 10 in derogation of the public interest. Such proceedings are not 11 for the primary purpose of punishment. Fahmy v. MBC (1995) 38 12 Cal.App.4th 810, 817. The Real Estate Commissioner is empowered 13 to enforce the provisions of the Real Estate Law "in a manner 14 which achieves the maximum protection for the purchasers of real 15 property and those persons dealing with real estate licensees." 16 See Business and Professions Code Section 10050 and Handeland 17 vs. Department of Real Estate (1976) 58 Cal.App.3" 513.

18 In light of the foregoing, and after considering all 19 facts in mitigation and aggravation, it has not been shown that 20 a suspension alone would be consistent with the public interest. 21 Therefore, I have determined that protection of the public 22 requires the following Order.

1

24 25

23

11

11

11

11

26 27

• •	
1	ORDER
2	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:
3	All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JAMES EDWARD
4	MACKE under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however,
5	a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to
б	Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and
7	Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor and
8	pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for
9	the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of
10	this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall
11	be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the
. 12	Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations,
13	conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section
14	10156.6 of that Code:
15	1. Any restricted real estate salesperson license
16	issued to Respondent pursuant to this Decision shall be
17	suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective
18	date of this Decision; provided, however, that if Respondent
19	petitions, said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be
20	stayed upon condition that:
21	(a) Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant
22	to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the
23	rate of \$112.00 for each day of the suspension for a total
24	monetary penalty of \$10,000.00.
25	(b) Said payment shall be in the form of a
26	
27	cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery

- 7 -

¹ Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by
² the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in
³ this matter.

(c) <u>No further cause for disciplinary action</u>
against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two
years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
(d) If Respondent fails to pay the monetary
penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the
Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the

¹⁰ immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension ¹¹ in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any ¹² repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to ¹³ the Department under the terms of this Decision.

(e) If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and
 if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real
 estate license of Respondent occurs within two years from the
 effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall
 become permanent.

¹⁹ 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be ²⁰ suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate ²¹ Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of ²² nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to ²³ Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

3. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that

27

- 8 -

Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

4 4. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the
5 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the
6 removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of
7 a restricted license until two years have elapsed from the
8 effective date of this Decision.

⁹ 5. Respondent shall submit with any application for
 ¹⁰ license under an employing broker, or any application for
 ¹¹ transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the
 ¹² prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by
 ¹³ the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:

(a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and

(b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.

²¹ 6. Respondent shall, within six months from the
²² effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional
²³ Responsibility Examination administered by the Department
²⁴ including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If
²⁵ Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may

26 27

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 9 -

order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

1

2

Respondent MACKE shall, within nine months from 3 7. 4 the effective date of this Decision, present evidence 5 satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent 6 has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal 7 real estate license, taken and successfully completed the 8 continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of 9 the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If 10 Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may 11 order the suspension of the restricted license until the 12 Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford 13 Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the 14 Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. 15 This Decision shall become effective on 16 May 28 2002. 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED April 2 2002. 19 20 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner 21 22 aula !! 23 24 25 26 27

- 10 -

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	FILED JAN 1 1 2002 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By Manig
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	· * * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-29019 LA
12	JAMES EDWARD MACKE,) L-2001060474
13))
14	Respondent.)
15	NOTICE
16	To: Respondent JAMES EDWARD MACKE.
17	YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that the Proposed Decision
18	herein dated November 15, 2001, of the Administrative Law Judge
19	is not adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner.
20	A copy of the Proposed Decision dated November 15, 2001, is
21	attached for your information.
22	In accordance with Section 11517(c) of the Government
23	Code of the State of California, the disposition of this case
24	will be determined by me after consideration of the record herein
25	including the transcript of the proceedings held on October 30,
26	111
27	- 1 -

2001, and any written argument hereafter submitted on behalf of
 Respondent and Complainant.

Written argument of Respondent to be considered by me must be submitted within 15 days after receipt of the transcript of the proceedings of October 30, 2001, at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Real Estate unless an extension of the time is granted for good cause shown.

8 Written argument of Complainant to be considered by me 9 must be submitted within 15 days after receipt of the argument of 10 Respondent at the Los Angeles office of the Department of Real 11 Estate unless an extension of the time is granted for good cause 12 shown.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Kuman Y, 2002 DATED:

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Æstate Commissioner

۰.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * * * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and JAMES EDWARD MACKE, No. H-29019 LA

OAH No. L-2001060474

Respondents.

PROPOSED DECISION

This case was tried before Paul M. Hogan, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, at Los Angeles, California on October 30, 2001.

Sean Crahan, counsel, appeared for complainant. Frank M. Buda, attorney at law, appeared for respondent James Edward Macke, who was present throughout the hearing and who participated therein. On motion of complainant, an order severing the matters pertaining to all other respondents was granted, and the case was prosecuted against Mr. Macke alone.

Upon his own motion, the Administrative Law Judge amends page 2, line 15 of the accusation to strike the words "an expired real estate licensee," and to substitute the words "while holding an expired real estate license" in lieu thereof.

The parties presented oral and documentary evidence and submitted the matter for decision. The Administrative Law Judge proposes:

Findings of Fact

1. Complainant, Thomas McCrady, is a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, and caused the accusation to be filed and served on respondent while serving in his official capacity.

2. James Edward Macke, respondent, is presently licensed as a real estate salesperson. He originally obtained such license some time prior to February 28, 1997, when it expired without renewal, until July 14, 2000, when respondent procured its renewal. During the period February 28, 1997, to July 14, 2000 respondent did not hold an active real estate license.

3. The parties have timely filed and served on one another all pleadings, notices and other papers as required by law.

4. The sole issue pending between the parties is whether respondent engaged in acts for which a real estate license was required during the time he had no active license.

5. It has been established that respondent, while employed by Sierra Financial as a loan officer, solicited and negotiated loans on real property as set forth below on the dates indicated:

(a) A loan on 11405 Sherwood Drive, Garden Grove for borrower Mark L. Wong, March 16, 2000;

(b) A loan on 12689 Cambria Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, for borrowers George H. and Danielle K. Porter, on April 21, 2000;

(c) A loan on 29507 Desert Terrace Drive, Menifee, for James T. and Beth A. Rose, March 31, 2000.

6. It is inferred from the foregoing facts that respondent, in negotiating these loans, performed acts for which a real estate license was required during a period of time when he had no valid and active real estate license.

7. Respondent presented the following evidence in mitigation and extenuation:

(a) This incident has caused him shame and embarrassment. He comes from a family of realtors. His father and his uncle have been in real estate since 1935.

(b) He tried to renew his license. Shortly before he left the Weyerhause corporation in early 1997, he took two continuing education courses, and gave the course certificates to his supervisor, along with an application for renewal. He knew his license was due to expire at the end of February 1997.

(c) On joining Sierra Financial in March of 1997, he filled out a DRE Form 214 requesting transfer of his license from one broker to another. He never heard anything further about his license. He gave his "old license" to Sierra.

2

(d) He was not surprised to hear nothing from the Department about his license. All licensing documents and correspondence are customarily handled by clerical personnel working for the supervising broker. Sales agents rarely get involved in the renewal process.

8. There is no evidence of other disciplinary problems arising during respondent's licensure, nor is there any evidence of any damage caused anyone by reason of his misconduct.

9. On the other hand, there are obvious inconsistencies in his testimony, and one is left wondering why, when he knew his license was about to expire, he did nothing more to get it renewed, or at least, inquire concerning its status. One is left in doubt as to respondent's reliability and sincerity.

10. All allegations concerning Mr. Macke contained in the accusation upon which no specific findings have been made have not been proved.

11. All evidence presented by Mr. Macke in explanation, extenuation and mitigation has been considered.

Conclusions of Law

The conduct of respondent, JAMES EDWARD MACKE, described in Findings 5 and 6 above, was in violation of section 10130 of the Business and Professions Code, and subjects his real estate license to discipline pursuant to section 10177(d) of said Code.

<u>Order</u>

The real estate salesperson's license issued to respondent JAMES EDWARD MACKE is hereby suspended for ninety (90) days. The period of suspension shall begin 30 calendar days following the effective date hereof.

November 15, 2001

PAUL M. HOGAN Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings

	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ $DEC - 5 2001$ $DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE$
	By KMederhold
	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1 1 1: 14 14	* * * In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-29019 LA SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.,) L-2001050081 JAMES EDWARD CRANE, and) JAMES EDWARD MACKE,) STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT Respondents.)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	It is hereby stipulated by and between LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE (referred to as Respondent herein), acting by and through his Counsel Frank M. Buda, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through Sean Crahan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on April 12, 2001, in this matter: 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be eld in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative rocedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be ubmitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
	-1-

¹ Stipulation And Agreement (hereafter Stipulation).

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the
3 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
4 the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
5 proceeding.

6 3. On April 30, 2001, Respondent filed a Notice of 7 Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the 8 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 9 Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws 10 said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he 11 understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will 12 thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the 13 allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 14 accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive 15 other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such 16 as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in 17 the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

18 This Stipulation and Agreement relates to the 4. 19 factual allegations contained in paragraphs one (I) through six 20 (VI) in the Accusation filed in this proceeding. Respondent 21 chooses not to contest these factual allegations and to remain 22 silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual 23 allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a 24 basis for the discipline stipulated to herein. This Stipulation 25 and Agreement and the findings based on Respondent's decision not 26 to contest the Accusation is hereby expressly limited to this 27 proceeding and made for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed

-2-

1 disposition of this proceeding, only. Respondent's decision not 2 to contest the factual allegations is made solely for the purpose 3 of effectuating this Stipulation and is intended by Complainant 4 and Respondent to be non-binding upon him in any actions against 5 Respondent by third parties and shall not be deemed, used, or accepted as an acknowledgement or admission. The Real Estate 6 7 Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to 8 prove such allegations.

9 It is understood by the parties that the Real 5. 10 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation And Agreement as 11 her Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and 12 sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and/or license 13 rights as set forth in the below Order. In the event that the 14 Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation And 15 Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent 16 shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the 17 Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be 18 bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

19 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real 20 Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation And 21 Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any 22 further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of 23 Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not 24 specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this 25 proceeding. 26 11

27

7. The below Determination of Issues contains a

-3-

1 determination that Respondent CRANE, has violated Code Section 2 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, and 2834. Respondent 3 CRANE, is aware that by agreeing to this Stipulation And 4 Agreement In Settlement, if the findings set forth below in the 5 Determination of Issues become final, the Commissioner may charge б Respondent CRANE for the costs of Audit LA 990447 and of any 7 follow-up audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Code 8 to determine if Respondent CRANE is in compliance with the Real 9 Estate Law. The maximum cost of each of the audits will not 10 exceed \$2,581.46. 11 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 12 By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers, 13 made solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending 14 Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that 15 the following Determination of Issues shall be made: 16 The conduct or omissions of Respondent LAWRENCE EDWARD 17 CRANE under Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions 18 Code are, as set forth in paragraphs one (I) through six (VI) in 19 the Accusation constitute cause to suspend or revoke his real 20 estate broker license and/or license rights under the provisions

²¹ of Code Sections 10137, 10177(h) and 10177(d) for violations of

²² Code Sections <u>10145</u>, <u>10161.8</u> and <u>10240</u>, Regulations <u>2831</u>, <u>2831.1</u>, ²³ 2831.2, and <u>2834</u>.

24

25

26

27

<u>ORDER</u>

WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made:

-4-

1 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of 2 ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision; 3 provided, however, that if Respondent petitions, the last eighty <u>,</u> 4 (80) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be 5 6 stayed upon condition that: 7 1. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate 8 of \$112.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary 9 penalty of \$10,000.00. 10 11 2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 12 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the 13 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 14 matter. 15 3. No further cause for disciplinary action against the 16 real estate license of Respondent occurs within two years from 17 the effective date of the Decision in this matter. 18 4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance 19 with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner 20 may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or 21 any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent 22 shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or 23 otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of 24 this Decision. 25 4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in 26 27 accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the

-5-

Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

5. During two years from the effective date of this
Decision, Respondent shall not remain or become an officer or
designated officer of a corporate broker, nor become the broker
for a business of any form the activities of which require a real
estate license, unless he owns 80 or more percent of such
corporation or business.

12 6. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's 13 reasonable cost for the subject Audit, LA 990447, and a Follow-up 14 Audit of any business in which Respondent is performing acts for 15 which a real estate license is required. 16 The Follow-up Audit is to determine if Respondent is in compliance with the Real Estate 17 In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable 18 Law. cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly 19 salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, 20 and shall include allocation for travel costs, including mileage, 21 22 time to and from the auditor's place of work and per diem. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an 23 invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed 24 during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those 25 activities. The Commissioner may, in her discretion, vacate and 26 set aside the stay order, if payment has not been made as 27

-6-

provided for herein, or as provided for in subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The vacation and set aside of the stay shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment.

7. Respondent LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE shall, within six
 months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass
 the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the
 Department including the payment of the appropriate examination
 fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the
 Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until
 Respondent passes the examination.

8. If Respondent; pays the monetary penalty, pays for
the audit and followup audit, complies with the conditions
hereinabove set forth and if no further cause for disciplinary
action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs
within two years from the effective date of the Decision, the
stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

DATED: <u>11~19~01</u> 19 20

21

27

SEAN CRAHAN, Counsel for Complainant.

I have read the Stipulation And Agreement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the

-7-

Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

7 Respondent can signify acceptance and approval of the 8 terms and conditions of this stipulation and Agreement by faxing 9 a copy of the signature page, as actually signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following telephone/fax number: (213) 10 576-6917. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that 11 by electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of his 12 13 actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department shall be as 14 binding on Respondent as if the Department had received the 15 16 original signed Stipulation and Agreement.

Further, Respondent's counsel will, within 24 hours of obtaining Respondent's original signature to the Stipulation and Agreement, deliver the Stipulation and Agreement to the Department.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DATED:

DATED

LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, Respondent.

FRANK M. BUDA, ESQ. Counsel for Respondent Lawrence Edward Crane, approved as to form.

-8-

The foregoing Stipulation And Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision as to Respondent LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on December 26, 2001 Lev 29, 2001 IT IS SO ORDERED PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner -9-

	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
3 - 4 - 5 - 6	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By Konderhalt	
7	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * *	
11 12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-29019 LA SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.,) L-2001050081	
13 14	LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and) JAMES EDWARD MACKE,) Respondents.)	
15 16	ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE On April 12, 2001, an Accusation was filed in this	
17 18	matter against Respondent SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., et. al. On November 14, 2001, Jeffrey D. Rice, petitioned the	
19 20	Commissioner to voluntarily surrender the corporate real estate broker license of SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC. pursuant to Section	
21 22	10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent SIERRA FINANCIAL	,
23 24 25	INC.'s petition for voluntary surrender of its real estate brok license is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as s	
25 26 27	forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement express	ed
	- 1 -	

in Respondent's Declaration dated November 14, 2001 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). Respondent SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.'s license certificates shall be sent to the below listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order: DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Attn: Licensing Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon December 26 , 2001. on DATED: Northele 29 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner 2 -

•		
· · · · ·		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-29019 LA	
12	SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.,) L-2001050081 LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and)	
13	JAMES EDWARD MACKE,	
14	Respondents.	
15	/	
16	DECLARATION	
17	My name is Jeffrey D. Rice. I am currently the	
18	President and Secretary of SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., and have	
19	authority to surrender the corporate real estate broker license	
20	of SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.	
21	In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with	
22	the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections	
23	11400 et seq., of the Business and Professions Code) I wish to	
24	voluntarily surrender the corporate real estate broker license	
25	and license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate	
26	("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
27	Section 10100.2.	

- 1 -

•

I understand that by so voluntarily surrendering the license of SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., that it can only be reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code. I also understand that by so voluntarily surrendering the license of SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., I agree to the following:

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as my 7 petition for voluntary surrender of the license of SIERRA 8 FINANCIAL, INC. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding 9 and agreement by SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC. that, it waives all 10 rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the 11 allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a 12 hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the 13 Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et 14 seq.), and that I also waive other rights afforded to SIERRA 15 FINANCIAL, INC. in connection with the hearing such as the right 16 to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the 17 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 18 witnesses. I further agree that upon acceptance by the 19 Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all 2.0 affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department 21 in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all 22 allegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department 23 Case No. H-29019 LA, may be considered by the Department to be 24 true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether or not to 25 26 grant reinstatement of the license of SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., pursuant to Government Code Section 11522. 27

- 2 -

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that I freely and voluntarily surrender my licenses and all license rights attached thereto.

Place of Exec a/nd ion.

Jeffrey D. Rice, President, SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., Respondent

い	STATE O	RTMENT OF REAL ESTATE JUL 27 2001 DF CALIFORNIA * * * * DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
	SIERRA FINANCIAL INC., et al.,))) Case No. H-29019 LA
	Respondents.) OAH No. L-2001050081

AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California, on October 30 and 31, 2001, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: July 27, 2001.

cc: Sierra Financial Inc. Lawrence E. Crane James E. Macke Frank M. Buda, Esq. Danio Fajardo Lisa Kwong Sacto./OAH DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

HAN, Counsel

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97vj)

D' IAN	BEFORE THE DEPAI STATE O		Li	JUL - 3 2001	
	In the Matter of the Accusation of	.)			SIAIE
	SIERRA FINANCIAL INC., et al.,))	₂ <i>901</i> 9 By Case No. H-28986 LA	Mill Same	Ĵ
	Respondents.)	OAH No. L-2001050081		-
		/			

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, California, on October 30 and 31, 2001, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Bv:

Dated: July 3, 2001.

cc: Sierra Financial Inc. Lawrence E. Crane James E. Macke Frank M. Buda, Esq. Danio Fajardo Lisa Kwong Sacto./OAH DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

SEAN CRAHAN, Counsel

, and o			
	1 2 3 4 5	JAMES R. PEEL, Counsel (SBN 47055) Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6913 (Direct)	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By
	6		
	7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT O	
	9		
	10	STATE OF CALIFO	JRNIA
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of	No. H-29019 LA
	12) SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC.,)	ACCUSATION
	13	LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and) JAMES EDWARD MACKE,)	
	14	Respondents.	
	15)	
	16	The Complainant, Thomas McCr	cady, a Deputy Real Estate
	17	Commissioner of the State of Californi	ia, for cause of Accusation
	18	against SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., LAWREN	NCE EDWARD CRANE, and JAMES
	19	EDWARD MACKE, alleges as follows:	
	20	I	
	21	The Complainant, Thomas McCr	ady, acting in his official
	22	capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commi	issioner of the State of
	23	California, makes this Accusation SIEF	RRA FINANCIAL, INC.,
	24	LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and JAMES EDWAR	RD MACKE.
	25	111	
	26	///	
	27	111	
		- 1 -	

SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and JAMES EDWARD MACKE (hereinafter referred to as "Respondents") are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter Code).

III

8 At all times herein mentioned, respondent SIERRA 9 FINANCIAL, INC., was licensed by the Department of Real Estate of 10 the State of California as a corporate real estate broker, and 11 respondent LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, was licensed as the designated 12 broker officer of said corporation, and ordered, authorized or 13 participated in the illegal conduct of respondent SIERRA 14 FINANCIAL, INC., as alleged in this Accusation. Respondent JAMES 15 EDWARD MACKE, an expired real estate licensee, was employed by 16 respondents SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., and LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE as 17 a real estate salesperson.

IV

19 At all times herein mentioned, respondent SIERRA 20 FINANCIAL, INC., on behalf of others in expectation of 21 compensation, engaged in the business, acted in the capacity of, 22 advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State 23 of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, 24 including soliciting borrowers and lenders and negotiating loans 25 on real property. 26 111

2

27 ///

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

18

II

1 V 2 During 1998 through 2000, in connection with the 3 aforesaid real estate brokerage activities, respondent SIERRA 4 FINANCIAL, INC., accepted or received funds from borrowers and 5 lenders and thereafter made disbursements of such funds. 6 Respondent maintained trust account No. 192-001367-8 at 7 Washington Mutual Bank, 3304 State Street, Santa Barbara. 8 VI 9 In connection with Respondents' activities as a real 10 estate broker as described above, respondents SIERRA FINANCIAL, 11 INC., and LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, acted in violation of the Real 12 Estate Law, Business and Professions Code (hereinafter Code), and 13 California Code of Regulations (hereinafter Regulations), Title 14 10, Chapter 6, as follows: 15 1. Violated Section 10137 of the Code by employing 16 respondent JAMES EDWARD MACKE, who was not licensed as a real 17 estate salesperson or broker, to solicit and negotiate loans on real property, as set forth below. These activities require a 18 19 real estate license under Section 10131(d) of the Code. 20 A loan on 12689 Cambria Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, a) 21 for borrowers George H. and Danielle K. Porter. 22 A loan on 11405 Sherwood Drive, Garden Grove, for b) 23 borrower Mark L. Wong. 24 C) A loan on 29507 Desert Terrace Drive, Menifee, for 25 borrowers James T. and Beth A. Rose. 26 A Loan on 29519 Desert Terrace Drive, Menifee, for d) 27 borrower Carole A. Anderson. 3 -

1 e) A loan on 29771 Desert Jewel Drive, Menifee, for 2 borrowers Siamak and Siavosh Azimie. 3 A loan on 1 Via Geneva, Rancho Santa Margarita f) 4 area, for borrowers Paul M. and Maureen E. Hamilton-Rivers. 5 2. Violated Section 10145(a) of the Code and 6 Regulation 2835 by maintaining as of May 17, 2000, an overage of 7 \$305.00 in the trust account. 8 3. Violated Regulation 2831 by not always maintaining 9 a control record that was complete and accurate. 10 4. Violated Regulation 2831.1 by not always 11 maintaining separate records for each beneficiary or transaction 12 that were complete and accurate. 13 5. Violated Regulation 2831.2 by failing to maintain a monthly reconciliation of the separate records with a control 14 15 record for the trust account. 16 6. Violated Regulation 2834 by allowing Vincent Joseph 17 Barbato and Jeffrey David Rice to be trust account signatories. 18 There was no fidelity bond coverage. 19 7. Violated Section 10161.8 of the Code by failing to 20 notify the Department of Real Estate that salesperson Vincent 21 Joseph Barbato was employed by Respondents. 22 8. Violated Section 10240 of the Code in that the 23 broker or the broker's representative and borrowers did not sign 24 the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements. 25 VII 26 The conduct of respondent SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., as 27 alleged above, subjects its real estate license and license Δ

•	
×.	
1	rights to suspension or revocation pursuant to Sections 10137,
2	10177(d), and 10177(g) of the Code.
3	VIII
4	The conduct of respondent LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, as
5	alleged above, as the responsible broker, by allowing and
. 6	permitting respondent SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., to engage in the
7	conduct specified in paragraph VI above, subjects his real estate
8	licenses and license rights to suspension or revocation pursuant
9	to Sections 10137, 10177(d), and 10177(h) of the Code.
10	IX
. 11	The conduct of respondent, JAMES EDWARD MACKE, as
12	alleged above, was in violation of Section 10130 of the Code, and
13	subjects his real estate license to suspension or revocation
14	pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code.
15	111
16 ,	
17	111
18	111
19	111
20	111
21	111
. 22	111
23	111
. 24	
25	111
26	///
27	111
	- 5 -

i			
1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be		
2	conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon		
3	proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary		
4	action against all licenses and license rights of respondents		
5	SIERRA FINANCIAL, INC., LAWRENCE EDWARD CRANE, and JAMES EDWARD		
6	MACKE under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the		
7	Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further		
8	relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.		
. 9	Dated at Los Angeles, California		
10	this 12 th day of April, 2001.		
11			
12	Shomo Mª Cinh		
13	> >		
14	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	cc: Sierra Financial, Inc.		
23	Lawrence Edward Crane James Edward Macke		
24	Thomas McCrady Sacto		
25	DW		
26			
27			
	- 6 -		

٠

÷