

4	
_	
5	
6	
7	
8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28982 LA
12	RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS,
13	Respondent.
14	//
15	ORDER SUSPENDING REAL ESTATE LICENSE
16	TO: RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS
17	Effective September 17, 2001, the real estate broker
18	licenses and license rights of RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS were
19	suspended for a period of ninety (90) days, provided, however,
20	that sixty (60) days of the 90-day suspension was stayed for two
21	years upon terms and conditions. Additional conditions included
22	the following:
23	1. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and
24	Professions Code, Respondent was to pay the Commissioner's
25	reasonable cost for (a) the audits which led to this disciplinary
26	
27	action and (b) a subsequent audit to determine if Respondent is
	in compliance with the Real Estate Law.

Ì

- 1 -

2. The cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action is \$3,205.22.

3. The cost of the follow-up audit is \$2,796.10.

4. Respondent was to pay such costs within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

5. If payment is not timely made as provided, or as provided in a subsequent agreement, the Commissioner may suspend Respondent's license.

6. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment
 is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement to
 provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is
 adopted following a hearing.

The Commissioner has determined that as of this date, Respondent has failed to satisfy these conditions, and as such, is in violation of the terms and conditions as described above.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the stay of the
suspension of the real estate licenses of Respondent RUTH
GEORGENNE FARIAS is hereby vacated and set aside, and that the
broker license is suspended until such time as Respondent
provides proof satisfactory to the Department of compliance with
the conditions referred to above, or pending final determination
made after hearing (see "Hearing Rights" set forth below).

2 -

27

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

16

17

18

19

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all license certificates and identification cards issued by the Department which are in the possession of Respondent be immediately surrendered by personal delivery or by mailing in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope to:

> Department of Real Estate Attn: Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Hearing Rights: You have the right to a hearing to contest the Commissioner's determination that you are in violation of the Stipulation and Agreement. If you desire a hearing, you must submit a written request. The request may be in any form, as long as it is in writing and indicates that you want a hearing. Unless a written request for a hearing, signed by or on behalf of you, is delivered or mailed to the Department at 320 W. Fourth St., Room 350, Los Angeles, CA. 90013, within 20 days after the date that this Order was mailed to or served on you, the Department will not be required to furnish you a hearing.

This Order shall be effective immediately. DATED: larch 2004.

JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner

the Khileste

- 3 -

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, SBN 66674 Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct) -or- (213) 576-6982 (office) DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Dy Mueleublo
8	THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) DRE No. H-28982 LA
12	RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS,
13	Respondent. ) <u>STIPULATION</u> AND
14	) <u>AGREEMENT</u>
15	)
16	It is hereby stipulated by and between RUTH GEORGENNE
17	FARIAS (sometimes referred to as "Respondent"), represented by
18	Scott I. Richards, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and
19	through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real
20	Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of
21	the Accusation filed on March 2, 2001, in this matter:
22	1. All issues which were to be contested and all
23	evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent
24	at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
25	held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative
26	Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be
27	

ч. на селото на селот

l.

tr.

- `1 - 、

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
 Stipulation and Agreement (Stipulation).

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the
4 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
5 the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
6 proceeding.

7 3. Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of 8 9 requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. 10 Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of 11 Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by 12 withdrawing said Notice of Defense she thereby waives her right to 13 require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 14 Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the 15 provisions of the APA and that she will waive other rights 16 afforded to her in connection with the hearing such as the right 17 to present evidence in her defense of the allegations in the 18 Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

19 4. This Stipulation is based on the allegations 20 contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and 21 economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but 22 to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these 23 allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to 24 25 herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to 26 provide further evidence to prove said allegations.

27

1 5. This Stipulation is based on Respondent's decision 2 not to contest the allegations set forth in the Accusation as a 3 result of the agreement negotiated between the parties. This Stipulation is expressly limited to this proceeding and any 4 5 further proceeding initiated by or brought before the Department 6 of Real Estate based upon the facts and circumstances alleged in 7 the Accusation, and is made for the sole purpose of reaching an 8 agreed disposition of this proceeding without a hearing. The decision of Respondent not to contest the allegations is made 9 10 solely for the purpose of effectuating this Stipulation. It is 11 the intent and understanding of the parties that this Stipulation shall not be binding or admissible against Respondent in any 12 13 actions against Respondent by third parties.

14 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real 15 Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as her Decision in 16 this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth 17 18 in the "Order" herein below. In the event that the Commissioner, 19 in her discretion, does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be 20 void and of no effect and Respondent shall retain the right to a 21 hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of 22 the Administrative Procedures Act and shall not be bound by any 23 stipulation or waiver made herein.

7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real
Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not
constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further
administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real

- 3 –

Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically
alleged to be causes for Accusation in this proceeding but do
constitute a bar, estoppel and merger as to any allegations
actually contained in the Accusation against Respondent herein.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

8. This stipulation and the order made pursuant to this stipulation shall have no collateral estoppel or res judicata effect in any proceeding(s) in which RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS and the Department are not parties. This stipulation is made and accepted with the express understanding and agreement that it is for the purpose of settling these proceedings only, and is not intended as, nor shall be it be deemed, used, argued, or accepted as an acknowledgement or admission of fact in any other judicial, administrative, or other proceeding in which the Department is not a party.

9. Respondent understands that by agreeing to this
Stipulation, Respondent agrees to pay, pursuant to Business and
Professions Code Section 10148, the cost of the audit of Home
Services Unlimited, Inc. which led to this disciplinary action.
The amount of said cost is \$3,205.22.

10. Respondent has received, read, and understands the Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit". Respondent further understands that by agreeing to this Stipulation, the findings set forth below in the Determination of Issues become final, and the Commissioner may charge Respondent for the cost of any subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Business and

Professions Code Section 10148 to determine if the violations 1 have been corrected. The maximum cost of the subsequent audit 2 will not exceed \$3,205.22. ٦ Δ DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 5 By reason of the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed 6 that the following determination of issues shall be made: 7 The conduct of RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS, as described in 8 Paragraph 4, constitutes a violation of Business and Professions 9 Code ("Code") Sections 10145, 10232, 10232.25 and 10229 and 10 violations of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations 11 Section 2832. This conduct is a basis for the suspension or 12 revocation of Respondent's license pursuant to Section 10177(d) 13 of the Business and Professions Code. 14 ORDER 15 WHEREFORE THE FOLLOWING ORDER IS HEREBY MADE: 16 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent RUTH 17 GEORGENNE FARIAS under the Real Estate Law suspended for a period 18 19 of ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision; 20 provided, however, that if Respondent petitions, the initial 21 thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall 22 be permanently stayed, if prior to the effective date of this 23 Decision Respondent provides evidence satisfactory to the 24 Commissioner that the trust fund deficit set forth in Audit 25 Report LA 000202, in the amount of \$5,235,61, been cured, 26 27

÷5-

including the identity of the source of funds used to cure it; and upon condition that:

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

26

27

L

Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to 1. 3 Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate 4 of \$75 per day for each day of the suspension for a total 5 monetary penalty of \$2,250. 6

Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 7 2. 8 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of 9 the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the 10 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 11 matter.

No further cause for disciplinary action against 3. the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the Commissioner, may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any 18 part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of 21 this Decision. 22

23 If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no 5. 24 further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate 25 license of Respondent occurs within two years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent

1

2

3 6. The remaining sixty (60) days of the ninety (90) 4 day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the 5 following terms and conditions:

(a) Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations
governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real
estate licensee in the State of California; and

9 (b) That no final subsequent determination be made after 10 hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action 11 occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of this 12 Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner Decision. 13 may, in her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and 14 reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no 15 such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become 16 permanent. 17

7. Respondent RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS shall, within nine 18 (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present 19 evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that 20 Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or 21 22 renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of 23 24 the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If 25 Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may 26 order the suspension of the restricted license until the 27

Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

Respondent RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS shall within six 8. 4 (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and 5 pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by 6 7 the Department including the payment of the appropriate 8 examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, 9 the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license 10 until respondent passes the examination.

11

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and 9. 12 Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's 13 reasonable cost for (a) the audits which led to this disciplinary 14 action and (b) a subsequent audits to determine if Respondent 15 RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS are now in compliance with the Real Estate 16 The cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action Law. 17 is \$3,205.22. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's 18 reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average 19 hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate 20 brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and 21 from the auditor's place of work. Said amount for the prior and 22 subsequent audits shall not exceed \$6,410.44. 23

Respondent shall pay such cost within 60 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the

activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities.

The Commissioner may suspend the license of Respondent 3 pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided 5 for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between 6 the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain 7 8 in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent 9 enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to 10 provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is 11 adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition. 12 Prior to the effective date of the Decision 10. 13 Respondent RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS shall submit to the Department 14 of Real Estate Quarterly Trust Fund Status Reports for the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 15 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> quarters of 2001, as set forth in Issue 5 of Audit 16

Report LA 000202, and Paragraph 9 of the Accusation.

<sup>18</sup> DATED: \_\_\_\_

8-14-01

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

 $\frac{1}{1}\gamma$ .

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly,

14:00 7149534219 2+03-1995 9:30PHA 69/66/2001 17:67 FRCA4 69/66/2001 17:67 FRCA4 69/66/2001 17:67

LAW OFFICES

LAN OFFICES

P. 1

I intolligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right te cross-exching witherses against me and to present evidence in dutunse and mitigation of the charges. Respondent RUTH GROEGERNE FARIAE can aignify acceptance

and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and 7 Agreement by faxing a copy of its signature pope, as ectually . signed by Respondent. to the Department at the following 10 telephonn/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Blilott Maw 41 Nonnan. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understando that by 23 electronically sending to the Department & fax copy of 33 Respondent's actual signature as it appears on the Stapulation 14 and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department 15 whalt be an binding on Respondent as if the Department had 14 received the original signed Scipulation and Agreement. \$7

· AU DATED: QUE & BOOL 13 2¢ DATED: 5 91 \$\$

UTR E FARTAS Respondent **RECION** 

Attorney for Respondent

- 1D -

intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

Respondent RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS can signify acceptance 6 7 and approval of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and 8 Agreement by faxing a copy of its signature page, as actually - 9 signed by Respondent, to the Department at the following 10 telephone/fax number: (213) 576-6917, Attention: Elliott Mac 11 Lennan. Respondent agrees, acknowledges and understands that by 12 electronically sending to the Department a fax copy of 13 Respondent's actual signature as it appears on the Stipulation 14 and Agreement, that receipt of the faxed copy by the Department 15 shall be as binding on Respondent as if the Department had 16 received the original signed Stipulation and Agreement. 17

10

	DATED:	·····.		
19				
20	DATED:	·		
21	Diribe.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26			· •.	•
27				

1

2

3

4

5

18

RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS, Respondent

SCOTT I. RICHARDS, Attorney for Respondent

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on \_\_\_\_ September 17 2001. WI. IT IS SO ORDERED PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner ` 

## BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS,

VicDoik

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Case No. H-28982 LA

OAH No. L-2001050653

Respondent

## NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

## To the above named respondent:

 $\mathbf{LF}$ 

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 W. Fourth St., Ste. 630, Los Angeles, CA on <u>August 14, 2001</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 a.m.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

July 16, 2001 Dated: Bν Counsel cc: Ruth Georgenne Farias Scott Richards, Esq. Sacto OAH

est for					
2	Los Angeles, California 90013-1105				
. 4	Telephone: (213) 576-6911 (direct)				
5					
7					
. 8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	* * *				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ) No. H-28982 LA				
12	RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS, dba       ACCUSATION         the Loan Service Center, and       Ocastview Financial				
14	Respondent. )				
15	))				
16	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate				
17	Commissioner of the State of California, acting in his official				
18	capacity, for cause of accusation against RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS				
19	dba the Loan Service Center and Coastview Financial, is informed				
20 .	and alleges as follows:				
21	1.				
22	RUTH GEORGENNE FARIAS (FARIAS) sometimes referred to as				
23	<sup>23</sup> Respondent, is presently licensed and/or has license rights under				
24	4 the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California				
25	Business and Professions Code).				
26					
27	. /				
	- 1 -				

1 2. 2 All references to the "Code" are to the California 3 Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" 4 are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. 5 3 6 At all times mentioned, FARIAS was licensed or had 7 license rights issued by the Department of Real Estate 8 (Department) as a real estate broker. On July 9, 1980, FARIAS 9 was originally licensed as a real estate salesperson. Since 10 April 26, 1993, FARIAS has been licensed as a real estate broker. 11 12 At all times mentioned, in the City of Tustin, County 13 of Orange, State of California, FARIAS acted as real estate 14 broker within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code in that 15 she operated as a mortgage loan broker and as a loan servicer dba 16 the Loan Service Center. Although an active fictitious business 17 name of FARIAS, Coastview Financial had no real estate activity 18 during the audit period set forth in Paragraph 6. 19 5. 20 At all times mentioned, in connection with the 21 activities described in Paragraph 4, FARIAS accepted or received 22 funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of borrowers and 23 investor/lenders. Thereafter she made disposition of such funds. 24 FARIAS maintained the following trust account for her broker 25 licensed activities into which she deposited certain of these 26 funds: 27

- 2.-

"Ruth Farias Henry Serrano dba The Loan Service Center 1 Trust Account (T/A #1) 2 Account No. 55460141" Peoples Bank of California З 1212 Valley View Garden Grove, California 4 "Leslie Thomas dba The Loan Service Center 5 Trust Account (T/A #2)Account No. 0030007026" 6 Pacific Business Bank 2019 Orangewood 7 Orange, California 8 6. 9 On January 26, 2001, the Department completed an audit 10 examination of the books and records of FARIAS pertaining to the 11 activities described in Paragraph 4 that require a real estate 12 license. The audit examination covered a period of time 13 beginning on December 1, 1999 and terminating on 14 November 30, 2000. The audit examination revealed violations of · 15 the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following 16 paragraphs. 17 7. 18 With respect to the trust funds referred to in 19 Paragraph 5, it is alleged that FARIAS: 20 Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of (a) 21 trust funds from T/A #1, the trust fund used as a depository for 22 the monthly payments and principal payoffs from borrowers 23 received by The Loan Servicing Center, where the disbursement of 24 funds reduced the total of aggregate funds in the T/A #1, to an 25 amount which, on November 30, 2000, was \$5,109.51 less than the 26 existing aggregate trust fund liability of FARIAS to every 27

3

principal who was an owner of said funds, without first obtaining 1 the prior written consent of the owners of said funds, as 2 required by Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1. This 3 shortage was caused in part by borrowers making mortgage payments Δ with non-sufficient funds checks and was in part undeterminable; 5 and б Failed to place trust funds into a trust fund (b) 7 account in the name of the broker as trustee, as required by Code 8 Section 10145 and Regulation 2832. T/A #1 was co-established in Q FARIAS' name and in the name of one of her two licensed 10 salespersons, to wit, Henry Serrano. 11 8. 12 The conduct of Respondent FARIAS, described in 13 Paragraph 7, above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set 14 forth below: 15 PARAGRAPH PROVISIONS VIOLATED 16 Code Section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1 7(a) 17 18 7(b) Code Section 10145 and Regulations 2832 19 20 The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or 21 revocation of the real estate license and license rights of 22 FARIAS under the provisions of Code Section 10177(d). 23 24 25 26 27

The audit examination also revealed, that FARIAS met the criteria as a threshold broker pursuant to:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

21

22

Code Section 10232(a)(1), by negotiating ten or a) more loan transactions secured directly or collaterally by liens 5 on real property, in aggregate amount of \$1,000,000, within a twelve month period. FARIAS satisfied the threshold criteria as of September 29, 2000, by negotiating and closing fifteen loans valued at approximately \$1,200,000; and

10 Code Section 10232(a)(2), by collecting more than b) 11 \$250,000 on behalf of owners of promissory notes secured directly 12 or collaterally by liens on real property. FARIAS satisfied this 13 second and independent threshold criteria by collecting \$320,000 14 in borrower payments.

15 Yet under either the negotiation or collection 16 criteria, FARIAS failed to provide the Department with timely or 17 accurate Quarterly and Annual Trust Fund Status Reports. This 18 conduct constitutes a violation of and Code Sections 10232.2 and 19 10232.25, and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate 20 license and license rights of FARIAS under Code Section 10177(d)

10.

On or about, July 7, 2000, FARIAS made or arranged its 23 first multi-lender transaction in the amount of \$200,000 for 24 borrower Palm Apartments, Inc., 1109 W. San Bernardino Road, 25 Covina, California on behalf of investor/lenders Leo Lowenkron 26 and Noah Grange. FARIAS failed to submit a notice to the 27

9.

Department with respect to The Loan Service Center's first multi-1 lender note secured directly by an interest in the same real 2 This conduct constitutes a violation of Code Section property. ٦ 10229(a) and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate 4 license and license rights of FARIAS under Code Section 10177(d) 5 11. 6 The overall conduct of Respondent FARIAS constitutes 7 negligence or incompetence. This conduct and violation are cause 8 for the suspension or revocation of FARIAS' real estate license q and license rights under Code Section 10177(g). 10 11 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 12 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 13 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 14 action against the license and license rights of Respondent RUTH 15 GEORGENNE FARIAS under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 16 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and 17 further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions 18 of law. 19 Dated at Los Angeles, California 20 this 2nd day of March, 2001. 21 22 23 Deputy Real Estate commissioner cc: Ruth Georgenne Farias 24 Sacto LF25 TΜ Audits 26 27