

neigenhof of

NO. H-28165 LA

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SAMEH AMIN SOLIMAN,

Respondent.

_____)

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On August 20, 1999, a Decision was rendered herein
revoking Respondent's real estate broker license, but granting
Respondent the right to apply for and be issued a restricted
real estate broker license on certain terms and conditions. A
restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent
on September 21, 1999.

On or about October 20, 2003, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said license and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of the petition.

1

26 27

111

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license, in that:

10 In the Decision which revoked Respondent's real 11 estate broker license, there were Determination of Issues made 12 that there was cause to revoke Respondent's real estate license pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section 14 10177(d), for violation of Code Section 10145 and Sections 2831 15 16 and 2831.2, Title 10, Chapter 10, California Code of 17 Regulations ("Regulations").

Ι

The facts underlying said discipline were that on or about November 20, 1998, the Department of Real Estate completed an audit of Respondent's activities for the period of November 1, 1997 through October 21, 1998. Said audit found violations of the Real Estate Law.

24 25 26

111

111

///

1

2

2

4

5

6

7

8

0

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

111

27

2 The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the 3 petitioner (Feinstein v. State Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and 5 integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The 6 proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment 7 on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 8 9 Cal. 3d 395). 10 The Department has developed criteria in Regulation 11 2911, to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an 12 applicant for reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria 13 relevant in this proceeding are: 14 2911(k) - Respondent has not corrected business 15 16 practices. Subsequent audits were conducted of Respondent's 17 activities for the period of January 1, 1999 through January 18 31, 2000 and January 31, 2001 through January 31, 2004. 19 The audits found violations of the Real Estate Law. 20 2911(n)(1) - As part of the petition application 21 process, Respondent had an interview with a Deputy Real Estate 22 23 Commissioner. Respondent did not display a change in attitude. 24 Given the fact that Respondent has not established

that Respondent has complied with Regulation 2911(k) and 2911(n)(1), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a plenary real estate broker license.

З

II

1

25

26

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license is denied. This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon 5 2007 JUL on 6-8-07 DATED: JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner Sameh A. Soliman cc: 2482 Newport Blvd., # 5 Costa Mesa, CA 92627

÷	~ 1
1	Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
2	
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct)
4	AUG 3 0 1999
5	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
6	By C. T
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	· * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of ()
12	SAMEH AMIN SOLIMAN, individually) NO. H-28165 LA and dba Royal Pacific Funding) L-1990070161
13	Group, and A Class Act Properties,) L-1999070161
14	Respondent.)
15	
16	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT
17	It is hereby stipulated by and between SAMEH AMIN
18	SOLIMAN, individually and dba Royal Pacific Funding Group, and A
19	Class Act Properties (hereinafter "Respondent"), representing
20	himself, and the Complainant, acting by and through Chris Leong,
21	Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the
22	purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on
23	June 17, 1999, in this matter:
24	1. All issues which were to be contested and all
25	evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent
26	at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
27	held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) OSP 98 10924

.

:

.

.

÷-,,

.

-1-

.

.

Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation.

Respondent has received, read and understands the
 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and
 the Accusation, filed by the Department of Real Estate in this
 proceeding.

On July 7, 1999, Respondent filed a Notice of 3. 8 Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the 9 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 10 Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws 11 said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he 12 understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will 13 thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the 14 allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 15 accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent 16 will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the 17 hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the 18 allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 19 witnesses.

4. In the interest of expedience and economy,
Respondent chooses not to contest the factual allegations in
Paragraphs 1 through 9 of the Accusation, but to remain silent
and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual
statements, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a
prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to
herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to

-2-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

27

1

2

3

7

OSP 98 10924

provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

1 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real 2 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as 3 his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and 4 sanctions on Respondent's real estate licenses and license 5 rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the 6 Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation 7 and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent 8 shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the 9 Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be 10 bound by any admission or waiver made herein. 11 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 12 By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions 13 and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the 14 pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and 15 agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made: 16 The acts and omissions of Respondent, described in 17 Paragraphs 1 through 9 of the Accusation, are in violation of 18 Section 10145 of the Business and Professions Code and Sections 19 2831 and 2831.2 of the Regulations, which is cause for the 20 suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license 21 rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of 22 the Business and Professions Code. 23 <u>ORDER</u> 24 WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is hereby made: 25 The licenses and license rights of Respondent, Α. 26 under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and 27

-3-

OURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA Q. 113 (REV. 3-95)

SP 98 10924

Professions Code, are hereby revoked commencing on the effective 1 date of this Decision. However, Respondent shall be entitled to 2 apply for and be issued a restricted real estate broker license 3 pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Code, if Respondent: 4 Makes application therefor and pay to the 1. 5 Department the appropriate fee for said license within one year 6 from the effective date of this Decision. 7 The restricted license issued to Respondent shall 2. 8 be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the 9 Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, 10 conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 11 10156.6 of the Code. 12 The restricted license may be suspended prior to 3. 13 hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of 14 Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime 15 which bears a significant relationship to Respondent's fitness 16 or capacity as a real estate licensee. 17 The restricted license may be suspended, prior to 4. 18 and pending final determination after formal hearing by Order of 19 the Real Estate Commissioner based upon evidence satisfactory to 20 the Commissioner that Respondent has, subsequent to the date 21 hereof, violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, 22 the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate. 23 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license. 24 Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 5. 25 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor the removal 26 of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of the 27

SP 98 10924

-4-

restricted license until at least one year has elapsed from the date of this Decision.

Respondent shall pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of 6. 3 the Business and Professions Code, the Commissioner's reasonable 4 costs for an audit to determine if Respondent has corrected the 5 trust fund violations found in the Determination of Issues. In 6 calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable costs, 7 the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for 8 all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall 9 include an allocation for travel costs, including mileage, time 10 to and from the auditor's place of work, and per diem. The 11 Commissioner's reasonable costs shall in no event exceed 12 \$2,200.00.

a. Respondent shall pay such costs within 45 days of
receipt of an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the
activities performed during the audit and the amount of time
spent performing those activities;

Notwithstanding the provisions of any other b. 18 paragraph herein, if Respondent fails to pay, within 45 days 19 from receipt of the invoice specified above, the Commissioner's 20 reasonable costs for an audit to determine if Respondent has 21 corrected the violations found in the Determination of Issues, 22 the Commissioner may order the indefinite suspension of 23 Respondent's real estate license and license rights. The 24 suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, 25 or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the 26 Commissioner to provide for such payment. The Commissioner may 27

-5-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 1

2

13

OSP 98 10924

impose further reasonable disciplinary terms and conditions upon Respondent's real estate license and license rights as part of any such agreement.

7. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department, including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the license until Respondent passes the examination.

Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the 8. 11 effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory 12 to the Real Estate Commissioner that he has, since the most 13 recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, 14 taken and successfully completed the continuing education 15 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law 16 for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to 17 satisfy this condition, the Real Estate Commissioner shall 18 afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the 19 Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

9. Any restricted license issued to Respondent under
the Real Estate Law is suspended for a period of thirty (30)
days from the issuance of; provided, however, the suspension
shall be permanently stayed upon condition that:

a. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to
 25 Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate
 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-93) OSP 98 10924

27

3

10

20

-6-

of \$50.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary 1 penalty of \$1,500.00. 2 Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's b. 3 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of 4 the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the 5 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 6 matter. 7 As a further condition of receiving a restricted 10. 8 real estate broker license, Respondent shall pay complainant. 9 Patricia Hayes \$1,000.00 prior to the date any such restricted 10 license is issued. 11 12 8/10 DATED: 13 LEONG ESQ. Counsel for Complainant 14 * * 15 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its 16 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to 17 I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the me. 18 California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 19 limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the 20 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 21 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 22 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 23 hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 24 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and **25** . mitigation of the charges. 26

-7-

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TO. 113 (REV. 3-85)

SP 98 10924

-99 DATED: h-SAMEH AMIN SOLIMAN, individually and dba Royal Pacific Funding Group, and A Class Act Properties, Respondent The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on September 21, IT IS SO ORDERED Ang. JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner John R Liberto COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

OSP 98 10924

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

In the Matter of the Accusation of

Case No. <u>H-28165 LA</u>

L-1999070161

By C.

OAH No.

SAMEH AMIN SOLIMAN, individually and dba Royal Pacific Funding Group and A Class Act Properties,

Respondent(s)



NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above-named Respondent(s):

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the Office of Administrative Hearings, 320 West Fourth Street, Suite 630, Los Angeles, CA 90013-1105 on <u>THURSDAY. SEPTEMBER 9. 1999</u>, at the hour of <u>9:00 A.M.</u>, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

URON .By

CHRIS LEONG, Counsel

cc: Sameh Amin Soliman Sarapuddin/Fajardo Sacto. OAH

<u>July 15, 1999</u>

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

Dated:

Spr.	
1	
2	320 West Fourth Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 -or- (213) 576-6910 (Direct)
4	
5	By <u>C.</u>
6	
7	
8	
9	· · ·
10	
11) NO. H-28165 LA
12	and dba Royal Pacific Funding) ACCUSATION
13	
14	Respondent.)
15 16	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate
18	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
18	against SAMEH AMIN SOLIMAN, individually and dba Royal Pacific
19	Funding Group, and A Class Act Properties (hereinafter .
20	"Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:
· 21	1.
22	The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate
23	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation
24	against Respondent in his official capacity.
25	2.
26	All Sections of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code
27	of Regulations, are hereinafter referred to as "Regulations".
(€)	
COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)	-1-
OSP 98 10924	

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was and still is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"), and was and still is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "Department") as a real estate broker, individually and dba Royal Pacific Funding Group, and A Class Act Properties.

4.

At all times mentioned herein, in Los Angeles County, California, Respondent acted as a real estate broker in the State of California, within the meaning of Code Section 10131(d), wherein he arranged, negotiated, processed and consummated, on behalf of others, loans secured by an interest in real property for others for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

5.

On or about November 20, 1998, the Department completed an audit, LA 980272, of the activities of Respondent, for the period from November 1, 1997 through October 21, 1998. The results of that audit are set forth in Paragraphs 6 and 7.

6.

During 1997 and 1998, in connection with his real estate business activities, Respondent accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of owners and tenants and thereafter made disbursements of such funds. These trust funds were maintained by Respondent in a

-2-

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

bank account at Bank of America, 2701-C Harbor Blvd., Costa Mesa, CA. The account was known as Newport Coast Escrow Real Estate Broker Trust Account, Account No. 08676-16680 (hereinafter "TA#1"). **,** . .

7.

In connection with those trust funds described in Paragraph 6, Respondent:

(a) collected two advance fees of \$500.00, each, from Patricia Hayes, for a total of \$1,000.00. Said fees were not deposited into a trust account. Also, the "Equity Purchase Agreement" provided by Respondent to Hayes was used without 11 prior approval from the Department. Further, Respondent converted said funds for his personal use. This conduct constitutes conversion, fraud and dishonest dealing, in violation of Code Section 10176(i) and also constitutes an advance fee violation, in violation of Code Section 10146 and Section 2970 of the Regulations;

failed to establish and maintain the trust (b) account in the name of the broker as trustee or in the name of a licensed dba, in violation of Gode Section 10145 and Section 2832 of the Regulations;

(c) failed to maintain any columnar records for the trust funds showing all trust funds received, all disbursements, and daily balances, in violation of Section 2831 of the Regulations;

CALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(d) failed to reconcile the balances of the separate ledgers with the balance of the control records at least once a month, in violation of Section 2831.2 of the Regulations;

(e) failed to provide or maintain Mortgage Loan
 Disclosure Statements to or for borrowers, including Kanda
 Sharawy, Donna Willis, Sylvia Abdel Wahab, Lillian Tanicus,
 Nabil Abdelmalak, in violation of Code Section 10240 and Section
 2840 of the Regulations;

(f) failed to disclose in the escrow instructions that he had a financial interest in the escrow company, in violation of Section 2950(h) of the Regulations; and

(g) used unlicensed dba's, including "Royal Pacific Funding Corp.", "Newport Coast Escrow" and "Class Act

Properties", in violation of Section 2731 of the Regulations.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondent of Code Sections 10145, 10146, 10177(d)
and 10240 and Sections 2731, 2831, 2831.2, 2832, 2840, 2950(h)
and 2970 of the Regulations)

As a First Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 7, herein above.

9.

8.

The conduct of Respondent, in handling trust funds as alleged in Paragraphs 5 through 7, constitutes violation under Code Sections 10145, 10146 and 10240, and Sections 2731, 2831, 2831.2, 2832, 2840, 2950(h) and 2970 of the Regulations. Said

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-05)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

conduct is cause pursuant to Code Section 10177(d) for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

3 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 4 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 5 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 6 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent, 7 SAMEH AMIN SOLIMAN, individually and dba Royal Pacific 8 Funding Group, and A Class Act Properties, under the Real 9 Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and 10 Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as 11 may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. 12 including the imposition of a fine of up to \$10,000.00 13 pursuant to the provisions of Section 10139.5 of the Business 14 and Professions Code. 15 Dated at Los Angeles, California 16 this 17th day of June, 1999. 17 18 THOMAS MCCRADY 19 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 20 21 22 23 Sameh Amin Soliman cc: 24 Thomas McCrady Sacto. 25 - 1 LA Audit Section/Sarapuddin SR 26 27 -5-

STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) DSP 98 10924

PAPER

1