1 Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, California 90012 2 3 (213) 897-3937 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 4 Kriederhold 5 6 7 8 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 NO. H-27461 LA LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION; 12 STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT and STEPHEN LEIDNER, 13 individually and as designated officer of, Lantern Financial Corporation, 14 15 Respondents. 16 17 It is hereby stipulated by and between LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION and STEPHEN LEIDNER, individually and as designated 18 officer of Lantern Financial Corporation, (sometimes collectively 19 referred to as Respondents), and the Complainant, acting by and 20 21 through Elliott Mac Lennan, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of 22 the Accusation filed on December 11, 1997, in this matter: 23 24 25 26 27

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

- 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement (Stipulation).
- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11506 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in their defense the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve

as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 5. This Stipulation is based on Respondents' decision not to contest the allegations set forth in the Accusation as a result of the agreement negotiated between the parties. This Stipulation is expressly limited to this proceeding and any further proceeding initiated by or brought before the Department of Real Estate based upon the facts and circumstances alleged in the Accusation for the sole purpose of reaching an agreed disposition of this proceeding without a hearing. The decision of Respondents not to contest the allegations is made solely for the purpose of effectuating this Stipulation. It is the intent and understanding of the parties that this Stipulation shall not be binding or admissible against Respondents in any actions against Respondents by third parties.
- 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt this Stipulation as his Decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the "Order" herein below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation, it shall be void and of no effect and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any stipulation or waiver made herein.
- 7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation shall not



constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further 1 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real 2 3 Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding, but does 4 constitute an estoppel, merger and bar to any such matters which 5 6 were specifically alleged in this proceeding.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

I

The conduct of Respondent LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION, as described in Paragraph 4, is in violation of Section 10145 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) and Sections 2832.1, 2831, 2831.1 and 2831.2, of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the California Code of Regulations (Regulations) and is a basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license and license rights as a violation of the Real Estate Law pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code.

II

The conduct of Respondent STEPHEN LEIDNER, as described in Paragraph 4, constitutes a failure to keep LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION in compliance with the Real Estate Law during the time that he was the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee. This conduct is a basis for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license pursuant to Section $\underline{1}0177$ (h) of the Code.

13 (REV. 3-95)

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

ORDER

WHEREFORE THE FOLLOWING ORDER IS MADE PURSUANT TO THE WRITTEN STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES:

Ι

All license and license rights of Respondents LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION and STEPHEN LEIDNER under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that if Respondent's petition thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed on the condition that:

- 1. Respondents pay a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$50.00 for each day of said suspension stayed, for a total monetary penalty of \$1,500 each.
- 2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check and payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 3. No further cause for disciplinary action o against the real estate license of a Respondent occurs within two years of the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 4. If a Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms of the Decision, the

Commissioner, may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the 30 day stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

5. If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of a Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision, the thirty (30) day stay granted pursuant to this paragraph shall become permanent.

II

- 1. The remaining sixty (60) days of the ninety (90) day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:
- (a) Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California.
- (b) That no final subsequent determination be made after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and

1

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

As a further condition of staying the ninety (90) day suspension, Respondents shall first provide evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that the deficit in the amount of \$3,732.24 has been cured including the source of funds used to cure the deficit.

III

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Code, Respondents, or either of them shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for an audit to determine if Respondents have corrected the trust fund violations found in paragraph I of the Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondents shall pay such cost, not to exceed \$4,400, within 45 days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay orders if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondents and The vacation and the set aside of the stay the Commissioner. shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until



| 1 | Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Commissioner to provide for payment. Should no order vacating the |
| 3 | stays be issued either in accordance with this condition or |
| 4 | conditions 5 and 6, the stay imposed herein shall become |
| 5 | permanent. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN Counsel for Complainant |
| 8 | * * * |
| 9 | We have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its terms |
| 10 | are understood by us and are agreeable and acceptable to us. We |
| 11 | understand that we are waiving rights given to us by the |
| 12 | California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited |
| 13 | to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), |
| 14 | and we willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those |
| 15 | rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove |
| 16 | the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which we would |
| 17 | have the right to cross-examine witnesses against us and to |
| 18 | present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. |
| 19 | DATED: 1/16/98 |
| 20 | LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION |
| 21 | Respondent, BY: STEPHEN LEIDNER, D.O. |
| 22 | 7/11/GO A |
| 23 | DATED: 1618 STEPHEN LEIDNER, |
| 24 | individually and as designated officer of Lantern Financial |
| 25 | Corporation, Respondent |
| 26 | DATED: July 20, 1998 - Dunder |
| 27 | STEVEN GOURLEY, Esq. Counsel for Respondents |

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV 3-95) 95 28391

| 1 | * * * * |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby |
| 3 | adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 |
| 4 | o' clock noon on September 23 , 1998. |
| 5 | IT IS SO ORDERED <u>8/27</u> , 1998. |
| 6 | JIM ANTT JR. |
| 7 | Real Estate Commissioner |
| 8 | Antinx f |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 5 28391

ELLIOTT MAC LENNAN, Counsel State Bar No. 66674 Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Los Angeles, California 90012 3 Hiederhay Telephone: (213) 897-3937 4 5 6 7 8 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 No. H-27461 LALANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION; 12 and STEPHEN LEIDNER, <u>ACCUSATION</u> individually and 13 as designated officer of 14 Lantern Financial Corporation, Inc., 15 Respondents. 16 17 The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation 18 against LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION, and STEPHEN LEIDNER, 19 individually and as designated officer of Lantern Financial 20 Corporation is informed and alleges in his official capacity as 21 22 follows: 23 24 25 26 27

DURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA D. 113 IREV 3-951 28391

| 1 | I | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | LANTERN FINANCIAL CORPORATION (LFC) and STEPHEN LEIDNER | | |
| 3 | (LEIDNER), sometimes collectively referred to as Respondents, are | | |
| 4 | presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real | | |
| 5 | Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and | | |
| 6 | Professions Code). | | |
| 7 | II | | |
| 8 | All references to the "Code" are to the California | | |
| 9 | Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" | | |
| 10 | are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations. | | |
| 11 | III | | |
| 12 | At all times mentioned, LFC was licensed by the | | |
| 13 | Department of Real Estate of the State of California (Department) | | |
| 14 | as a corporate real estate broker by and through LEIDNER as | | |
| 15 | designated officer. LFC was first licensed by the Department on | | |
| 16 | July 24, 1993. | | |
| 17 | IV | | |
| 18 | At all times mentioned, LEIDNER was licensed by the | | |
| 19 | Department as designated officer of LFC to qualify LFC and to act | | |
| 20 | for LFC as a real estate broker and, as provided by Section | | |
| 21 | 10159.2 of the Code, was responsible for the supervision and | | |
| 22 | control of the activities conducted on behalf of LFC by its | | |
| 23 | officers, managers and employees as necessary to secure full | | |
| 24 | compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law including | | |
| 25 | the supervision of the salespersons licensed to the corporation in | | |
| 26 | the performance of acts for which a real estate license is | | |
| 27 | required. | | |

1 V 2 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in the accusation to an act or omission of LFC such allegation shall be 3 deemed to mean that the officers, directors, managers, employees, 4 agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with 5 LFC, including LEIDNER, committed such act or omission while 6 engaged in the furtherance of the business or operation of LFC and 7 while acting within the course and scope of its corporate 8 authority, agency and employment. 9 10 VI 11 At all times mentioned, LFC and LEIDNER were acting as the agent or employee of the other and within the course and scope 12 13 of such agency or employment. 14 VII At all times mentioned, in the City of Simi Valley, Los 15 Angeles County, California, Respondent LFC and Respondent LEIDNER 16 acted as real estate brokers within the meaning of Code Section 17 10131(d), whereby lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans 18 secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, 19 wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and 20 consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation 21 of compensation and for fees often collected in advance. 22 addition, Respondents conducted broker controlled escrows under 23 the exemption set forth in Section 17006(a)(4) of the California 24 Financial Code. 25 26

27

1 VIII

| 2 | On October 1, 1997, the Department completed a field |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | audit examination of the books and records pertaining to the |
| 4 | activities of LFC described in Paragraph VII. The audit |
| 5 | examination covered the period of time beginning on January 1, |
| 6 | 1996 and ending on June 30, 1997. The audit examination revealed |
| 7 | violations of the Code and the Regulations as now set forth. |
| 8 | IX |
| 9 | In connection with the aforesaid real estate activities |
| 10 | described in Paragraph VII, LFC and LEIDNER accepted or received |
| 11 | funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of borrowers and |
| 12 | lenders and thereafter made disbursements of such funds including |
| 13 | credit report, appraisal and loan processing fees. Respondents |
| 14 | maintained the following trust accounts at the Bank of America in |
| 15 | Sherman Oaks, California, into which they deposited certain of |
| 16 | these funds: |
| 17 | "Lantern Financial Corporation Escrow Trust Account (T/A #1) Account No. 2610" |
| 18 | "Lantern Financial Corporation Andiana |
| 19 | Account No5498" |
| 20 | "Lantern Financial Corporation Client Trust Account (T/A #3) Univest 2 |
| 21 | Account No. 9477" |
| 22 | "Lantern Financial Corporation Insurance Trust Account (T/A #4) Account No. 6855" |
| 23 | "Lantern Financial Corporation Client Trust Account (T/A #5) |
| 24 | Univest 1 Account No. 0517" (T/A #5) |
| 25 | "Lantern Financial Corporation Client Trust Account (T/A #6) |
| 26 | Account No. 1769" |
| 27 | |

2 With respect to the trust funds referred to in Paragraph

Х

3 IX, LFC and LEIDNER:

- 4 Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of
- trust funds from T/A #1 where the disbursement of said funds 5
- reduced the total of aggregate funds in T/A #1, to an amount 6
- which, on May 30, 1997, was \$1,375.56 less than the existing 7
- aggregate trust fund liability of Respondents to every principal 8
- who was an owner of said funds, without first obtaining the prior 9
- written consent of the owners of said funds, as required by 10
- 11 Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations.
- This shortage was cured during the audit; 12
- 13 Permitted, allowed or caused the disbursement of (b)
- trust funds from T/A #6 where the disbursement of said funds 14
- reduced the total of aggregate funds in T/A #6, to an amount 15
- which, on June 30, 1997, was \$2,356.68, less than the existing 16
- aggregate trust fund liability of Respondents to every principal 17
- who was an owner of said funds, without first obtaining the prior 18
- written consent of the owners of said funds, as required by 19
- Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations; 20
- 21 Failed to maintain a control record for the daily (c)
- balance of the receipt and disposition of all trust funds received 22
- by T/A # 5 and T/A # 6, as required by Section 2831 of the 23
- 24 Regulations:
- 25 Failed to maintain a separate record for each
- beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all 26
- 27 trust funds received, deposited, and disbursed by T/A # 5 and T/A



```
#6, as required by Regulation 2831.1;
```

- 2 (e) Failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the
- 3 balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records
- 4 maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all
- 5 trust funds received and disbursed out of T/A # 5 and T/A #6, as
- 6 required by Regulation 2831.2;
- 7 (f) Failed to perform a monthly reconciliation of the
- 8 balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records
- 9 maintained pursuant to Regulation 2831.1 with the record of all
- 10 trust funds received and disbursed out of T/A # 3, as required by
- 11 Regulation 2831.2;
- (g) While acting as a principal, LFC failed to place
- 13 all funds received from lenders for the purchase of real property
- 14 sales contracts or promissory notes secured directly or
- 15 collaterally by liens on real property from lender-purchasers W.
- 16 Rabinov, Platzner, Barron, Healy, H. Hamburger, Kazan, Loeb and D.
- 17 Rabinov, into a neutral escrow depository where the delivery of
- 18 the contracts or promissory notes did not occur simultaneously
- 19 with the receipt of said funds, as required by Section 10145(b) of
- 20 the Code; and
- 21 (h) Failed to maintain a separate record for each
- 22 beneficiary or transaction, thereby failing to account for all
- 23 trust funds received, deposited, and disbursed by T/A # 2,
- 24 specifically with respect to the \$65.95 overage, as required by
- 25 Section 10145 of the Code.

27

/

DURT PAPER ATE OF CALIFORNIA O 113 (REV. 3-93)

| | | * |
|----|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | The con | duct of Respondents LFC and LEIDNER, described in |
| 3 | Paragraph X, viola | ated the Code and the Regulations as set forth: |
| 4 | <u>Paragraph</u> | Provisions Violated |
| 5 | X(a) | Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and |
| 6 | | Section 2832.1 of the Regulations |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | X(b) | Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and |
| 9 | | Section 2832.1 of the Regulations |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | X(c) | Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and |
| 12 | | Section 2831 of the Regulations |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | X(d) | Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and |
| 15 | | Section 2831.1 of the Regulations |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | X(e) | Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and |
| 18 | | Section 2831.2 of the Regulations |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | X(f) | Section 10145 & 10159.2 of the Code, and |
| 21 | | Section 2831.2 of the Regulations |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | X(g) | Section 10145(b) of the Code, and |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | X(h) | Section 10145 of the Code |
| 26 | | Section 2834 of the Regulations |
| 27 | | |
| | | |

ΧĮ



- 1 Each of the foregoing violations separately constitutes cause for
- 2 the suspension or revocation of the real estate licenses and
- 3 license rights of Respondents LFC and LEIDNER under the provisions
- 4 of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

5 XII

- 6 The investigative audit moreover revealed that LFC and
- 7 LEIDNER failed to deliver a statement in writing containing all
- 8 the information required by Section 10232.5 of the Code to loan
- 9 purchaser H. Hamburger before said purchaser became obligated to
- 10 make the purchase and before the receipt by or on behalf of the
- ll broker of any funds from said purchaser. Specifically, the Lender
- 12 Purchaser Disclosure Statement was not provided to H. Hamburger
- 13 for the Yarbrough and Mellone loans. This conduct constitutes a
- 14 violation of Section 10232.4 of the Code and is cause to suspend
- or revoke LFC and LEIDNER's real estate licenses and license
- 16 rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

17 XIII

- 18 The conduct of Respondents LFC and LEIDNER, as described
- 19 in the audit report, to wit, naming LFC as beneficiary of the
- 20 loans for the Sanchez, Yarbrough, Mellone, and Childs trust deeds,
- 21 without actually funding the loans; failing to provide
- 22 documentation to demonstrate that the trust deed assignments were
- 23 actually recorded for the H. Hamburger trust deeds for the
- 24 Yarbrough and Mellone loans; and, in recording trust deed
- 25 assignments after the assignee's funds were received and deposited
- 26 for the Kazan, Platzner, Fiszer, Chomette, Loeb and Rabinov loans
- 27 into the escrow trust account of T/A #1 constitutes fraud and/or



| 1 | dishonest dealing. This conduct and violation are cause to | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of | | |
| 3 | Respondents LFC and LEIDNER pursuant to Sections 10234 and | | |
| 4 | 10176(i) of the Code. | | |
| 5 | XIV | | |
| 6 | The overall conduct of Respondents LFC and LEIDNER, as | | |
| 7 | described in Paragraphs VII through XIII herein above, constitutes | | |
| 8 | negligence and/or incompetence. This conduct and violation are | | |
| 9 | cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license | | |
| 10 | rights of Respondents LFC and LEIDNER and pursuant to Section | | |
| 11 | 10177(g) of the Code. | | |
| 12 | WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted | | |
| 13 | on the allegations made by the accusation and, that upon proof | | |
| 14 | thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action | | |
| 15 | against the license and license rights of against LANTERN | | |
| 16 | FINANCIAL CORPORATION and STEPHEN LEIDNER, individually and as | | |
| 17 | designated officer of Lantern Financial Corporation, under the | | |
| 18 | Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and | | |
| 19 | Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be | | |
| 20 | proper under other applicable provisions of law. | | |
| 21 | Dated at Los Angeles, California | | |
| 22 | this 11th day of December, 1997. | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | THOMAS MC CRADY Deputy Real Estate Commissioner | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | cc: Lantern Financial Corporation c/o Stephen Leidner, D.O. | | |
| 27 | Sacto. MGS | | |

