

1 RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel III, SBN 98275
2 Department of Real Estate
3 P. O. Box 137007
4 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007
5 Telephone: (916) 576-8700
6 (916) 576-7848(Direct)
7 Email: Richard.Uno@dre.ca.gov

FILED
MAY 02 2023
DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
By J. Taggart

8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 * * *

11 In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12) No. H- 12666 SF
13 WENDELL JAMON JONES,) ACCUSATION
14 Respondent.)
15)

16 The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, acting in her official capacity as a
17 Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against
18 WENDELL JAMON JONES (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows:

19 1

20 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part
21 1 of Division 4, of the Business and Professions Code (Code) as a real estate broker whose
22 license has at all times mentioned herein since November 8, 2019, been, and now is, a restricted
23 real estate broker license subject to terms, conditions and restrictions pursuant to Sections
24 10156.6 and 10156.7 of the Code.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

2

Effective June 14, 2021, the Supreme Court of the State of California, Case No. S 267944, suspended Respondent's State Bar License for five (5) years, stayed the suspension while placing Respondent on Probation for five (5) years, with terms and conditions.

3

On or about January 1, 2021, the State Bar Court, in Case No. 20-O-30669, pursuant to a Stipulation, suspended Respondent's State Bar License for five (5) years, stayed the suspension, while placing Respondent on probation for five (5) years with terms and conditions.

PRIOR ADMINISTRATIVE DISCIPLINE

4

Effective April 28, 2015, the Supreme Court of the State of California, Case No. S 224370, suspended Respondent's State Bar License for one (1) year, stayed the suspension, and placed Respondent on two (2) year probation.

5

On or about December 3, 2014, the State Bar Court, in Case No. 14-O-00876, found that Respondent violated Civil Code Section 2944.7 and Business and Professions (B & P) Code Section 6106.3 (failure to perform under advance fee). In Case No. 14-O-03242, the State Bar Court found that Respondent violated Civil Code Section 29344.7 and Business and Professions Code Section 6106.3.

6

Effective September 4, 2014, the Supreme Court of the State of California, Case No. S 219304, suspended Respondent's State Bar License for one (1) year, stayed the suspension, and placed Respondent on two (2) year probation.

7

On or about April 17, 2014, the State Bar Court, in Case No. 12-O-15885, found that Respondent violated Civil Code Section 2944.7 and B & P Code Section 6106.3 (failure to

1 perform under advance fee). In Case No. 14-O-03242, the State Bar Court found that
2 Respondent violated Civil Code Section 29344.7 and B & P Code Section 6106.3 (disobeyed,
3 violated court order to forebear an act of Respondent's profession) and B & P Code Section
4 6068(o) (3) (failure to report sanction) In Case No. 12-O-16465, the State Bar Code found that
5 Respondent violated B & P Code Section 6103, Rule 3-110A (failure to appear) and B & P
6 Code Section 6068 (m) (failure to report significant development). In Case No. 13-O-11274,
7 the State Bar Court found that Respondent violated B & P Code Section 6068 (o) (failure to
8 report sanction), B & P Code Section 6106 (false certification to Bankruptcy Court) and B & P
9 Code Section 6068 (c) (maintain unjust action).

10 8

11 Effective July 19, 2021, in Case No. H-3336 FR, the Real Estate Commissioner
12 revoked Respondent's real estate broker license, with the right to a restricted real estate broker
13 license.

14 9

15 Effective November 8, 2019, in Case No. H-12045 SF, the Real Estate
16 Commissioner revoked Respondent's real estate broker license, with the right to a restricted real
17 estate broker license.

18 10


19 The facts alleged above, in Paragraphs 2 and 3, constitute grounds under Section
20 10177(f) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of
21 Respondent under Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code.

22 11

23 Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
24 resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request
25 the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this
26 part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the
27 case.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that, upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.


STEPHANIE YEE
Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California, on
this 24th day of April, 2023.

DISCOVERY DEMAND

The Department of Real Estate hereby requests discovery pursuant to Section 11507.6 of the California Government Code. Failure to provide discovery to the Department may result in the exclusion of witnesses and/or documents at the hearing, and other sanctions as the Administrative Law Judge deems appropriate.