e Stationes		
е 24 — 14		
1 2 3	State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 137007	
4	Sacramento, CA 95813-7007	
5	Telephone: (916) 576-8700 (916) 576-7847 (Direct) SEP 0 8 2021	
6	Fax: (916) 263-3767 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By D. D.	
7		
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
. 9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of	
12	VERSO REAL ESTATE SERVICES INC. and EARLE F. MAKIN, DRE No. H- 12549 SF	
13	ACCUSATION	
14	Respondents.	
15	The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, a Supervising Special Investigator of the	
16	State of California, for cause of Accusation against VERSO REAL ESTATE SERVICES INC.	
17	and EARLE F. MAKIN (collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:	
18	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS	
19	1	
20	The Complainant, STEPHANIE YEE, a Supervising Special Investigator of the	
21	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.	
22	2 .	
23	Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real	
24	Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code).	
25		
26		
27	///	
	-1-	
ļ		

3

1

 $\|$

2	At all times mentioned, Respondent VERSO REAL ESTATE SERVICES INC.	
3	(VRESI) was and is licensed by the State of California Department of Real Estate (Department)	
4	as a real estate broker corporation.	
5	4	
6	At all times mentioned after September 1, 2017, the corporate powers, rights and	
7	privileges of VRESI were forfeited pursuant to the provisions of the Revenue and Taxation	
8	Code.	
9	5	
10	At all times mentioned, Respondent EARLE F. MAKIN (MAKIN) was and is	
11	licensed by the Department individually as a real estate broker, and as the designated broker	
12	officer of VRESI. As said designated broker officer, MAKIN was responsible pursuant to	
13	Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real	
14	estate licensees, and employees of VRESI for which a license is required.	
15	6	
16	Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or	
17	omission of VRESI, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,	
18	employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with VRESI committed	
19	such acts or omissions while engaged in furtherance of the business or operation of VRESI and	
20	while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.	
21	7	
22	At all times mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the	
23	capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California within	
24	the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage	
25	loan brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for	
26	loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and	
27		

1 2 3 4	Respondents on behalf of others for compensation	negotiated, processed, and consummated by n or in expectation of a compensation. June 3, 2021, an audit was conducted of the
5 6 7	records of VRESI. The auditor herein examined t through March 31, 2021.	he records for the period of January 1, 2020,
8 9	9 Each and every allegation in Parage	raphs 1 through 8, inclusive, above are
10 11 12 13	incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein. 10 During the audit period, VRESI, while forfeited by the Secretary of State, in course of the real estate mortgage loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph 7, negotiated	
14 15 16	and arranged the mortgage loan for approximately aggregate value of approximately \$17,004,623, inc	40 institutional loan transactions with an
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Phuong Thao T. and Peter L. Allan and Katie C. 156 Fulai C. and Tin Tin H. 11	
	- 3 -	

		l
1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	12	
3	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, above are	
4	incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
5	13	
6	MAKIN, on behalf of VRESI, submitted a renewal application to the Department	
7	of the State of California for a real estate corporate officer license on or about October 11, 2018.	
8	14	
9	In response to Question 8 of said application, to wit: "Is the corporation currently	
10	in good standing with the Office of the Secretary of State?". MAKIN answered "yes".	
11	15	
12	Respondents failure to disclosure the corporate license forfeiture by the Secretary	
13	of State Office set forth in Paragraph 4 in said application constitutes the procurement of a real	
14	estate license by fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit, or by making a material misstatement of fact	
15	in said application, which is grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondents licenses	
16	under Sections 10177(a) and/or 10177(j) of the Code.	
17	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
18	16	
19	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 16, inclusive, is incorporated	
20	by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
21	17	
22	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 7 including, but not limited	
. 23	to the loan transactions described in the table below, Respondents failed to provide and/or	
24	provided incomplete and/or inaccurate Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements (MLDS) to	
25	borrowers containing all of the information required by Section 10241 of the Code, including,	
26	but not limited to the following:	
27	///	
	- 4 -	

1	(a) MLDS were not signed or dated by VRESI, its agents or employees, or		
2	were not signed or dated by the borrower within three (3) days of a completed loan application or		
3	before the borrower became obligated to the loan, and VRESI did not deliver the MLDS to the		
4	borrower within three (3) business days after receipt of a complete written loan application from		
5	the potential borrower in violation of Section 10240(a) of the Code; and		
6	(b) failed to disclose within the printed text of the MLDS the license number		
7	for VRESI and/or the license number of VRESI representative who negotiated the loan for		
8	certain transactions, in violation of Sections 10236.4 of the Code.		
9	Borrower Loan Application Date MLDS Delivered		
10	Nancy Q. 3/2/2020 3/23/2020		
11	Phuong Thao T. and 6/9/2020 7/1/2020		
12	Peter L.		
13	Allan and Katie C. 11/8/2020 12/3/2020		
14	Fulai C. and Tin Tin H. 1/26/21 2/8/2021		
15	18		
16	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 7 Respondents failed to the		
' 17	disclose license identification numbers on their website, https://imeldapadrid.com/ and Facebook		
18	page in violation of Section 10140.6(b) of the Code and Section 2733 of the Regulations.		
19	19		
20	The facts alleged in the Third Cause of Action are grounds for the suspension or		
21	revocation of Respondents' licenses and license rights under the following sections of the Code		
22	and Regulations:		
23	As to Paragraph 17(a), under Section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
24	conjunction with Section 10240(a) of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations;		
25	As to Paragraph 17(b), under Section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
26	conjunction with Section 10236.4 of the Code; and		
27	///		
	- 5 -		

1	As to Paragraph 18, under Section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in
2	conjunction with Section 10140.6(b) of the Code and Section 2773 of the Regulations.
3	FORTH CAUSE OF ACTION
4	20
5	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, is incorporated
6	by this reference as if fully set forth herein.
7	21
8	Respondent MAKIN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of
9	VRESI in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above to occur.
10	22
11	The acts and/or omissions of MAKIN as described in Paragraph 21, constitutes
12	failure on the part of MAKIN, as designated broker-officer for VRESI, to exercise reasonable
13	supervision and control over the licensed activities of VRESI as required by Section 10159.2 of
14	the Code and Section 2725 of the Regulations.
15	23
16	The facts described above as to the Forth Cause of Action constitute cause for the
17	suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent MAKIN under Section
18	10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code, and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction
19	with Section 10177(d) of the Code.
20	COST RECOVERY
21	24
22	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
23	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request
24	the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this
25	part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the
26	case.
27	
	- 6 -

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations	
2	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing discipline on all	
3	licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the	
4	Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement of this case as	
5	permitted by law, for the cost of the Department's audit as permitted by law, any penalties	
6	permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under the provisions of	
7	law.	
8	Autona de	
9	STEPHANIE YEE	
10	Dated at Oakland, California, Supervising Special Investigator	
11	this 26th day of Angust, 2021	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	DISCOVERY DEMAND	
22		
23	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Government Code, the Department of Real	
24 25	Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the	
25 26	Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate	
20	may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.	
	OO	
	- 7 -	