¢	- 600A.
2	\bigcirc
1 2 3 4 5 6	State Bar No. 223266 Bureau of Real Estate P.O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 Telephone: (916) 263-8672 (916) 263-3767 (Fax)
7	
8 9	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12 13	J V HOLDINGS INC and)NO. H-12007 SFJASON DAVID CROUCH,)FIRST AMENDED
14	Respondents.
15	The Complainant POPINIS TANDED - G
16	The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity against J V HOLDINGS INC
17 18	("JVHI") and JASON DAVID CROUCH ("CROUCH"), (collectively "Respondents"), and is
18	informed and alleges as follows:
20	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS
21	1
22	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are licensed and/or
23	have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and
24	Professions Code) ("Code").
25	
26	
27	///
	·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·. ·

4

At all times herein mentioned, JVHI was and now is licensed by the State of California Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") as a corporate real estate broker, by and through CROUCH as designated officer-broker of JVHI, to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

3

At all times herein mentioned, CROUCH was and now is licensed by the Bureau,
individually as a real estate broker, and as the designated officer-broker of JVHI. As said
designated officer-broker, CROUCH was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to
Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real
estate licensees, and employees of JVHI for which a license is required.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or
omission of JVHI, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,
employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with JVHI committed
such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of such
corporate respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and
employment.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

20At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers wherein, on behalf of others, 21 for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the State of California, within the 22 meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a property 23management business with the public wherein Respondents leased or rented or offered to lease or 24 rent, or placed for rent, or solicited listings or places for rent, or solicited for prospective tenants, 25 or negotiated the sale, purchase, or exchange of leases on real property or on a business 26 opportunity, or collected rents from real property, or improvements thereon, or from business 27 opportunities.

5

- 2 -

-	
e -	
	6
:	In so acting as real estate brokers as described in Paragraph 5, above,
:	Respondents accepted or received funds in trust ("trust funds") from or on behalf of tenants,
	where and others in connection with renting and the collection of rents on real property or
4	
e	
7	The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited or
8	
9	Respondents for the handling of trust funds at the following financial institutions:
	a) Bank of Marin, Oakland, CA, 94612, account name "JV Holdings, Inc dba All
11	Emeryville Properties dba All East Bay Properties Prop. Mgmt. Trust Account"
12	account number xxxxx0768 ("Trust Account #1"); and,
13	b) Bank of Marin, Oakland, CA, 94612, account name "JV Holdings, Inc dba All
14	Emeryville Properties dba All East Bay Properties Alameda Commercial Prop,
15	LLC Trust" account number xxxxx2476 ("Trust Account #2").
16	8
17	Between about October 29, 2015, and about November 13, 2015, an audit was
18	conducted of the records of Respondents in connection with the activities described in
19	Paragraphs 5, 6, and 7, above. The auditor herein examined the records for the period between
20	about October 1, 2013, and about September 30, 2015, and found Respondents:
21	a) caused, suffered, or permitted the balance of funds in Trust Account #1 and
22	Trust Account #2 to be reduced to an amount, which as of September 30, 2015, was
23	approximately \$146,780.23 less than the aggregate liability of JVHI to all owners of such funds,
24	without the prior written consent of the owners of such funds, in violation of Section 10145 of
25	the Code and in conjunction with Section 2832.1 of Chapter 6, Title 10, of the California Code of
26	Regulations ("Regulations");
27	
1	

- 3 -

Ì	b) failed to keep a columnar record in chronological sequence of all trust funds	
2		ĺ
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	d) failed to reconcile at least once a month, the balance of all separate	
8		
9		
10	e) allowed an unlicensed individual without fidelity bond coverage, Sara O.	
11	("Sara"), to be a signatory on the trust account, in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations.	
12	9	
13	Respondent CROUCH failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of	
. 14	JVHI in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above to occur.	
15	10	
16	The acts and/or omissions of CROUCH as described in Paragraph 14, constitute	
17	failure on the part of CROUCH, as designated broker-officer for JVHI, to exercise reasonable	
18	supervision and control over the licensed activities of JVHI as required by Section 10159.2 of the	
19	Code and Section 2725 of the Regulations.	I
20		
21	11	
22	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged in Paragraph 8, above,	
23	constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of	
· 24	Respondents pursuant to the following provisions of the Code and Regulations:	
25	As to Paragraph 8(a), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
26	conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;	
27		
	· · ·	

. .

•

- 4 -

•		
•		
	As to Paragraph 8(b), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
,	² conjunction with Section 2831 of the Regulations;	
-	As to Paragraph 8(c), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
2	⁴ conjunction with Section 10145(g) of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;	
5	As to Paragraph 8(d), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
6	conjunction with Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; and,	
7	As to Paragraph 8(e), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
8	conjunction with beetion 2854 of the Regulations.	
9		
10	The facts described in Paragraphs 9 and 10 constitute cause for the suspension or	;
, ,	revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CROUCH under Section 10177(g)	
12	and be been for the code, and section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with	
13	Section 10177(d) of the Code.	
14	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>	
15	13	
16	<u>Audit Costs</u>	
17	The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged above entitle the Bureau to	
. 18	reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) of the Code.	
19	14	
20	Investigation and Enforcement Costs	
21	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in	
22	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the	
23	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to	
24	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.	
25	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations	
26 27	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary	
21	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of	
	- 5 -	

,

, . .

Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

ROBIN S. TANNER Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California

/, 2017. this /3