FILED				
JUN 1 2 2014         MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel (SBN 186744)         Bureau of Real Estate         1651 Exposition Boulevard         P. O. Box 137007         Sacramento, CA 95813-7007         Telephone:       (916) 263-8670 (Main)         -or-       (916) 263-7303 (Direct)         -or-       (916) 263-3767 (Fax)	Hand States and States			
* * *				
In the Matter of the Accusation of )				
) AMERICAN MARKETING ) NO. H-11715 SF				
Respondents.				
)				
The Complainant, ROBIN TANNER, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the				
"SMITHTON") (herein collectively "Respondents"), and is informed and alleges as follows:				
1				
Professions Code) (herein "the Code").				
2				
-1-				
	JUN 1 2 2014 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA *** In the Matter of the Accusation of AMERICAN MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC., a Corporation, and ZOYA LEE SMITHTON, Respondents. The Complainant, ROBIN TANNER, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity against AMERICAN MARKETING SYSTEMS, INC. (herein "AMSI") and ZOYA LEE SMITHTON (herein "SMITHTON") (herein collectively "Respondents"), and is informed and alleges as follows: 1 At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are, licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code"). 2 At all times herein mentioned, AMSI was and now is licensed by the State of California Bureau of Real Estate (herein the "Bureau") as a corporate real estate broker by and			

through SMITHTON, as designated officer-broker of AMSI to qualify said corporation and to
 act for said corporation as a real estate broker.
 3

At all times herein mentioned, SMITHTON was and now is licensed by the Bureau as a real estate broker individually and as designated officer-broker of AMSI. As said designated officer-broker, SMITHTON was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of AMSI, for which a license is required.

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or
omission of AMSI, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,
employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with AMSI committed
such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of such
corporate respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and
employment.

4

5

At all times herein mentioned Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in
the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers wherein, on behalf of others,
for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the State of California within the
meaning of Sections:

 (a) 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate brokerage with the public wherein Respondents sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicited or obtained listings of, or negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or a business opportunity; and,

(b) 10131(b) of the Code in the operation of a property management business with the public wherein Respondents leased or rented or offered to lease or rent,

- 2 -

1	placed for rent, or solicited listings of places for rent, or solicited for				
2	prospective tenants, or negotiated the sale, purchase or exchanges of leases on				
3	real property, or on a business opportunity, or collected rents from real				
4	property or improvements thereon or from business opportunities.				
5	6				
6	In so acting as real estate brokers, as described in Paragraph 5 above, Respondents				
7	accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of sellers, purchasers,				
8	owners, tenants and others in connection with Respondents' mortgage loan brokerage activities,				
9	and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said trust funds.				
10	7				
11	The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited				
12	or caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (herein "trust fund				
13	accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds at the following branch				
14	of Bank of America Merrill Lynch in San Francisco, California:				
15	(a) "American Marketing Systems Inc Unfurnished Rental Trust				
16	Account," account number xxxxxxx5272 (herein "Trust Account				
17	#1"); and,				
18	(b) "American Marketing Systems Inc Unfurnished Rental Trust				
19	Account," account number xxxxxx7738 (herein "Trust Account				
20	#2").				
21	8				
22	Between about October 1, 2010 and July 31, 2012, Respondents, in connection				
23	with the property management activities described in Paragraphs 5 through 7, above:				
24	(a) failed to keep a columnar record in chronological sequence of all trust				
25	funds received and disbursed from Trust Account #1 containing all the				
26	information required by Section 2831 (control record) of the				
27	Regulations;				
	- 3 -				

Ш

				`		
1	(b)	failed to keep	o a separate record for ea	ach beneficiary or tr	ansaction for	
2		Trust Account	nt #1 containing all the i	nformation required	l by Section	
3	10145 (trust fund handling) of the Code and Section 2831.1 (separate					
4	records) of the Regulations, such that Trust Account #1 contained					
5		\$6,870.66 in	Unidentified/Unaccount	ted for Funds on Jul	y 31, 2012;	
6	(c)	Represented	that Respondents collect	ted compating an area of		
7						
8	following purchasers, when in fact, Respondents had not collected any such earnest money deposits, in violation of Section 10176(a) (substantial					
9			ation) of the Code:	tion of Section 101	/6(a) (substantial	
10		Purchaser:	Property:	Data of Offer	A	
11		Rejean B.	Greenhill Road		<u>Amount:</u> \$22,000;	
12		Mir M. A.	Shelterwood Place	1/30/12	\$22,000; \$27,000; and	
13	(d)	failed to the c	lisclose license identific	ation numbers on th	eir website and	
14	business cards in violation of Section 10140.6(b) (license identification					
15		number discl	osure) of the Code and S	ection 2773 (license	e identification	
16	number disclosure) of the Regulations.					
17			9			
18	The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the					
19	licenses and license	e rights of Resp	ondents under the follov	ving provisions of t	ne Code and/or	
20	the Regulations:					
21	(a)	as to Paragrap	h 8(a), under Section 28	31 of the Regulatio	ns, in	
22		conjunction w	vith Section 10177(d) of	the Code;		
23	(b)	as to Paragrap	h 8(b), under Section 10	)145 of the Code an	d Section 2831.1	
24			ions, in conjunction wit			
25	(c)		h 8(c), under Section 101			
26	(d)		h 8(d), under Section 10			
27			ions, in conjunction witl			
			4			
			- 4 -			

1	PRIOR DISCIPLINE
2	10
3	Effective March 16, 2000, in Case No. H-7729 SF, the Real Estate Commissioner
4	restricted Respondent ZOYA LEE SMITHTON's real estate broker license for violating Sections
5	10176(e) and 10177(d) of the Code.
6	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>
7	11
8	Audit Costs
9	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above entitle the Bureau to
10	reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) of the Code.
11	
12	Investigation and Enforcement Costs
13	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
14	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the
15	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
16	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
17	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
18	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
19	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of
20	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and
21	enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under
22	other applicable provisions of law.
23	Com S-
24	ROBIN TANNER
25	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
26	Dated at Oakland, California
27	this $2^{4}$ day of June, 2014.
	- 5 -
1	

1	DISCOVERY DEMAND	
2	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the	
3	Bureau of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in	
4	the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau of Real Estate	1.3
5	may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the	
6	Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	·	
17		
18		1
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25		
26		
27		
	- 6 -	