FILE

Richard K. Uno, Counsel (SBN 98275)
Department of Real Estate
P. O. Box 187007
Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

May 3, 2013

DEPARTM	IENT OF REAL ESTATE
D	0 -
By U -	AV-
. — (J

|| Telephone: (916) 227-2380

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of TELEGRAPH HILL PROPERTIES, INC., THP-SF, INC., and WB COYLE,

Respondents.

NO. H-11548 SF

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of
the State of California for cause of Accusation against TELEGRAPH HILL PROPERTIES, INC.
(THP), THP-SF, INC. (THP-SF) and WB COYLE (COYLE), sometimes collectively referred to
as "RESPONDENTS", is informed and alleges as follows:

The Complainant makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2

1

THP is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law,
Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (the Code), as a corporate
real estate broker.

26 ///

27 || ///

1 3 2 THP-SF is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code, as a 3 corporate real estate broker. 4 5 At all times herein mentioned, COYLE was licensed by the Department as the 6 designated officer of THP. From December 26, 2006, to December 25, 2010, COYLE was 7 licensed by the Department as the designated officer of THP-SF. As the designated officer, 8 COYLE was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code, for the supervision of the 9 activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of THP and THP-SF for 10 which a real estate license is required. 11 5 12 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or 13 omission or THP or THP-SF, such allegation shall be deemed to also mean that COYLE 14 committed such act or omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operation of 15 THP or THP-SF, and while acting within the course and scope of his employment. 16 6 17 At all times mentioned, RESPONDENTS engaged in the business of, acted in the 18 capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within 19 the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a 20 residential resale brokerage wherein RESPONDENTS bought, sold, or offered to buy or sell, 21 solicited or obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or 22 business opportunities, all for or in expectation of compensation. 23 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 24 7 25 Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 6 above, and incorporates them 26 herein, by reference. 27 ///

- 2 -

8 In or about March 2008, Jim Bailey (Bailey) formed Transition, LLC, for which COYLE was designated as the manager. 9 In or about March 2008, Transition, LLC purchased certain real property commonly known as 2244-2250 Mason Street, San Francisco, California (Mason Property). The goal of Transition, LLC, was to convert apartment buildings into a "tenants in common" ownership building. 10 Ralph V., who had an ownership interest in Transition, LLC, agreed to purchase one of the units in the Mason Property. On or about April 21, 2009, a purchase agreement for the sum of \$799,000.00 was signed by COYLE as manager of Transition, LLC, Ralph V. as buyer of Unit 6 of the Mason Property and Erin Bree Thompson as agent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

15 On or about April 21, 2009, COYLE agreed that THP-SF, which he wholly
16 owned, would list and sell the Mason Property without charging any commission since the buyer,
17 Ralph V. had shared ownership in Transition, LLC.

18 12
19 Approval of the subdivision of the Mason Property was delayed and on or about
20 October 1, 2010, an addendum to the purchase agreement was executed, reducing the purchase
21 price to \$775,000.00. At some point after the other parties executed the original contract,
22 COYLE concealed and failed to disclose the fact that he changed the last page of the purchase
23 contract to indicate that there would be a 2.5% commission for the listing and 2.5% commission
24 to THP-SF.

The other parties did not find out that COYLE had changed the purchase contract
until after the close of escrow. Bailey confronted COYLE about his actions and demanded a

- 3 -

13

refund of the commission. COYLE has refused from and since that time to refund the
 commission.

3	14
4	The facts alleged above violate Sections, 10176(a) (Material Misrepresentation)
5	10176(b) (False Promises to Influence, Persuade, or Induce), 10176(g) (Secret Commission) and
6	10176(i) (Other Conduct/Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) of the Code and are grounds for the
7	suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections
8	10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(g), and 10176(i) (Other Conduct/Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) of the
9	Code.
10 [°]	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
11_	15
12	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 14, above, and incorporates them
13	herein, by reference.
14	16
15	In or about March 2005, Aaron Ferguson (Ferguson), COYLE and others formed
16	Black Ice, LLC. Coyle was named manager of Black Ice, LLC.
17	17
18	In or about March 2005, Black Ice, LLC and others entered into a Tenants in
19	Common Agreement with the goal of purchasing real property and converting it into tenant in
20	common units. The Tenants in Common entity was named "2870-2872-2874-2876-2878
21	Washington Street and 2300-2302-2304 Divisadero Street"(TIC).
22	18
23	Under the TIC agreement, Black Ice, LLC was granted exclusive use of a unit
24	commonly known as 2876 Washington Street, San Francisco, California. (Washington Property).
25	19
26	COYLE concealed and failed to advise Ferguson that in or around April, 2011, he
27	listed the Washington Property for sale through THP-SF. COYLE concealed and failed to
	- 4 -

÷	
1	disclose to Ferguson that on or about April 13, 2011, the fact that he entered into a contract to
2	sell the Washington Property to Alicia E. and Hosain R. for \$925,000.00.
3	20
4	COYLE concealed and failed to disclose to Ferguson that on or about May 2,
5	2011, that escrow on the Washington Property closed and that THP-SF received a 2%
6	commission in the amount of \$18,500.00 and \$245,533.35 to Black Ice, LLC and \$16,055.41 to
7	2870 Washington TIC, of which COYLE was manager.
8	21
9	The facts alleged above violate Sections, 10176(a) (Material Misrepresentation)
10	10176(b) (False Promises to Influence, Persuade, or Induce), 10176(g) (Secret Commission) and
11	10176(i) (Other Conduct/Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) of the Code and are grounds for the
12 [.]	suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections
13	10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(g), and 10176(i) (Other Conduct/Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) of the
14	Code.
15	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
16	22
17	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 21, above, and incorporates the same
18	herein by reference.
19.	23
20	On or about December 3, 2007, James W. Gay (Gay) entered into a Limited
21	Partnership with Creative Alliance, LLC (Creative), whose manager and sole owner was
22	COYLE. The Limited Partnership, known as Venture Property Group, LP (Venture), provided
23	that Creative was to act as the General Partner. Venture owned five LLC's, including Hot
24	Peanut, LLC.
25	///
26	///
27	///
	- 5 -
l	

1	24
2	On or about December 14, 2007, Hot Peanut, LLC purchased certain real property
3	commonly known as 812-816 Green Street, San Francisco, California (Green Property). This
4	purchase was entirely funded by Gay.
5	25
6	COYLE concealed and failed to disclose to Gay the fact that on or about April 8,
7	2011, he sold the Green Property for \$1,025,000.00 to Pivot Point Partners, LLC (PPP). COYLE
8	signed escrow documents as the manager for Hot Peanut. The Green Property had gone into
9	default while COYLE was its manager.
_10	26
11	COYLE concealed and failed to disclose to Gay the fact that PPP's address is the
12	same as COYLE's main office and the fact that PPP is owned by Gabrielle Fruchtman-Larkin,
13	who is the sister of COYLE's ex-girlfriend, Tamara Fruchtman.
14	27
15	COYLE concealed and failed to disclose to Gay that on or about September 14,
16	2012, PPP sold the Green Property for \$2,200,000.00. COYLE concealed and failed to disclose
17	to Gay the fact that he received compensation outside of escrow in recognition of his scheme
18	allowing Fruchtman-Larkin and others to realize a gain of over 100% in 17 months.
19	28
20	The facts alleged above violate Sections, 10176(a) (Material Misrepresentation)
21	10176(b) (False Promises to Influence, Persuade, or Induce), 10176(g) (Secret Commission),
22	10176(i) (Other Conduct/Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) and 10177.6 (Disclosure of Roles in
23	Transaction) of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and
24	license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(g), and 10176(i)
25	(Other Conduct/Fraud or Dishonest Dealing) of the Code.
26	
27	

- 6 -

1	
1	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
2	29
3	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 28, above, and incorporates the same,
4	herein by reference.
5	30
6	On or about May 11, 2010, COYLE wrote a \$23,285.27 check out of his broker
7	account, ending in 6309, to an account ending in 7674, entitled "Telegraph Hill Properties, Inc",
8	which was not designated as a trust account, in violation of Section 2832 (Trust Funds to be
9	deposited into Trust Account) of the Regulations and Section 10145 (Trust Fund Handling) of
10	the Code.
11	31
12	On the following dates and in the indicated amounts, COYLE wrote checks from
13 .	his account ending in 7674, within which he deposited trust funds, to his personal account,
14	ending in 5988:
15	May 12, 2010 for \$22,000.00, May 18, 2010 for \$12,000.00, and May 25, 2010 for \$10,000.00.
16	These transfers constituted commingling of funds in violation of Section 2835 of the Regulations
17	and Section 10176(e) of the Code.
18	32
19	The facts alleged above violate Sections 2832 and 2835 of the Regulations and
20	Section 10145 and 10176(e) of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
21	licenses and license rights of Respondents under Sections 10176(e), 10177(d) (Intentionally
22	Violate/Disregard Real Estate Laws) and/or 10177(g) (Negligence/ Incompetence Real Estate
23	Licensee) of the Code.
- 24	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
25	33
26	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 32, above, and incorporates the same
27	herein by reference.
	- 7 -

1	34
2	Based on the investigation of the numerous complaints received against
3	RESPONDENTS, the Department ordered an audit of RESPONDENT's real estate businesses.
4	Pursuant to the audit request, Department auditor, Kon Naly attempted to audit RESPONDENT
5	real estate businesses. COYLE repeatedly refused to cooperate with the auditors request for real
6	estate documents and to meet for the audit.
7	35
8	On or about December 6, 2011, the Department caused a Subpoena Duces Tecum
9	to be served on COYLE to produce transactions files and related documents on the transactions
10	set forth, herein, above. COYLE failed to comply with the Subpoena.
11	36
12	The facts set forth above constitute violations of Section 10145(h) (Licensee to
13	Furnish Documents for Audit) and 10148 (Maintain Real Estate Records) of the Code and are
14	grounds for the revocation or suspension of RESPONDENT's real estate licensees pursuant to
15	Section 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.
16	PRIOR ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION
17	37
18	Effective September 16, 2008, in case No. H-10229 SF, the Real Estate
19	Commissioner, adopted a Stipulation and Agreement which provided that the real estate licenses
20	of THP and COYLE were each suspended 60 days, of which 30 days were stayed for 2 years, an
21	the remaining 30 days were bought down for \$3,000.00 for each license.
22	38
23	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
24	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the commissioner may request the
25	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
26	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
27	

- 8 -

· .

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
 of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, and for such other
 and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

SI SM

ROBIN S. TANNER Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California, st Mag_, 2013. day of this