


FILED

March 13, 2014

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By 

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\*\*\*

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NORMA VALDOVINOS,

Respondent.

No. H-11496 SF

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on November 7, 2013, and the findings of fact set forth herein, which are based on one or more of the following: (1) Respondent NORMA VALDOVINOS's (hereinafter "Respondent") express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

This Decision revokes a real estate license on grounds of the failure to notify the Bureau of Real Estate (hereinafter the "Bureau") of the charging of a felony within 30 days of said charge, pursuant to Sections 10186.2(a)(1)(A) and 10186.2(a)(2) of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter the "Code").

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's Criteria of Rehabilitation are attached hereto for the information of Respondent.

///

///

FINDINGS OF FACT

1

On December 28, 2012, Robin S. Tanner made the Accusation in her official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and Notice of Defense were mailed, by certified and regular mail, to Respondent's last known mailing address on file with the Bureau on January 2, 2013.

On November 7, 2013, no Notice of Defense having been filed within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code, Respondent's default was entered herein.

2

Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code as a real estate salesperson.

3

On or about May 11, 2011, in the San Jose Division of the United States District Court, Case No. CR11-00308, Respondent was indicted on the following felony allegations: 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (conspiracy to commit bank fraud); 18 U.S.C. § 1344 (bank fraud); 18 U.S.C. § (aiding and abetting); 18 U.S.C. § 1014 (making a false statement to a bank); 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) (conspiracy to commit money laundering); 18 U.S.C. § 1957 (engaging in monetary transactions using criminally derived property); and 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(A)(I) and 1956(a)(1)(B)(I) (money laundering). A no-bail arrest warrant was issued as to Respondent.

4

On or about May 18, 2011, Respondent was arrested pursuant to the May 11, 2011, arrest warrant. Based upon information and belief, Respondent was released from Federal detention some time between May 18, 2011 and June 5, 2011.

5

On or about June 6, 2011, a second arrest warrant issued as to Respondent. She was designated by the San Jose Division of the United States District Court as a fugitive at law on or about June 15, 2011.

6

On or about October 3, 2012, Respondent was indicted on additional counts to the allegations delineated in Paragraph 3. A no-bail arrest warrant was again issued for Respondent's arrest.

///

On November 26, 2012, a diligent search was made of the records of the Bureau, relating to Respondent's Real Estate Salesperson License No. 01304718. As a result of said search, no record was discovered of Respondent notifying the Bureau, in writing, of any arrest, conviction, indictment, or license disciplinary action.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Cause for disciplinary action against Respondent exists pursuant to Sections 10186.2(a)(1)(A) and 10186.2(a)(2) (failure to report in writing to the Bureau of any felony charge brought against Respondent within 30 days of the issuance of said felony charge) of the Code, and constitutes cause under Section 10177(d) (willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law; Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) for revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under said Real Estate Law.

The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent under the provisions of Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code are revoked.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on APR 03 2014.

DATED: MAR 11 2014

REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

By: JEFFREY MASON  
Chief Deputy Commissioner

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE  
2 P. O. Box 137007  
3 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007

4 Telephone: (916) 263-8670

**FILED**

NOV - 7 2013

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By K. Contreras

8 BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 \* \* \*

11 In the Matter of the Accusation of )

12 NORMA VALDOVINOS, )

13 Respondent. )

NO. H-11496 SF

DEFAULT ORDER

14  
15 Respondent, NORMA VALDOVINOS, having failed to file a Notice of Defense  
16 within the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code, is now in default. It is,  
17 therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the record in this matter as to NORMA  
18 VALDOVINOS.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED 8/23/13.

20 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

21  
22 By:

Joe M Carrillo  
23 JOSEPH CARRILLO  
24 Northern Regional Manager  
25  
26  
27