

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ROSANA EYVAZNEJAD,

No. H-11401 SF

Respondent.

ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC CORRECTING CLERICAL ERROR

It having been called to the attention of the Real Estate Commissioner that there was a clerical error in the Petition Order dated January 28, 2021, and good cause appearing therefor, the Order is:

Amended to show that the Decision was rendered in Case No. H-11401 SF.

This Decision shall become effective effectively immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED SEP 1 6 2021

DOUGLAS R. McCAULEY REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

1			\frown	
Ĩ			FILED	
	1		FEB 0 3 2021	
	2		DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
	3		By S. BLACK	
	4			
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8	8 BEFORE THE DEDADTMENT OF DEAL FOR STATE		
	9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
	10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	11	* * * In the Matter of the Accusation of		
	12	ROSANA EYVAZNEJAD,	No. H-11401 SF	
	13	Respondent.	NO. 11-11-401 SF	
	14		TEMENT OF LICENSE	
	15	ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE On April 16, 2013, a Decision was rendered in Case No. H-11404 SF revoking		
	16	the mortgage loan endorsement of Respondent effective May 13, 2013, but granting Respondent		
	17	the right to the issuance of restricted mortgage loan endorsement. A restricted mortgage loan		
	18	endorsement was issued to Respondent on May 13, 2013, and Respondent has held a restricted		
	19	mortgage loan endorsement since that time.		
	20	On November 12, 2019, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said mortgage		
	21	loan endorsement, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of		
	22	the filing of said petition.		
	23	The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State		
	24	Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and		
	25	integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the		
	26	prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).		
	27	///		

	\frown	
9		
1	I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence submitted in	
2	support thereof.	
3	The Department has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California	
4	Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for	
5	reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:	
6	Regulation 2911(2) Restitution to any person who has suffered monetary losses	
7	through "substantially related" acts or omissions of the applicant.	
8	Respondent is unable to provide proof that restitution has been paid to all parties	
9	¹⁰ Regulation 2911(10) Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging.	
10		
11	adjudicated debts or monetary obligations to others.	
12	Respondent has an outstanding rederal tax lien in the amount of \$68,000.00,	
13	has not made an effort to pay or set up payment arrangements to discharge this debt.	
14	Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has	
15	and going barnelent renabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's a mortga	
16	endorsement at this time.	
17	Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that	
18	Respondent has satisfied Regulations 2911(2) and 2911(10), I am not satisfied that Respondent is	
19	sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a mortgage loan endorsement.	
20	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for	
21	reinstatement of Respondent's a mortgage loan endorsement is denied. FEB 2 4 2021	
22	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED Z. Z.Z.	
24	DOUGLAS R. McCAULEY REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER	
25	REAL ESTATE COMIMISSIONER	
26	Emine To la la	
27	Pous Brullin	
	-2-	