1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel (SBN 186744) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-0780 (Direct) -or- (916) 227-0789 (Main Office) -or- (916) 227-9458 (Fax)					
8	BEFORE THE					
9	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	* * *					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-11350 SF					
13	DAVID KUMAR LAL, and					
14	DAVID EDGARDO REYES MELENDEZ,) <u>FIRST AMENDED</u>) <u>ACCUSATION</u>					
15	Respondent.)					
16						
17	The Complainant, ROBIN S, TANNER, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of					
18	the State of California, for this First Amended Accusation against DAVID KUMAR LAL					
19	(herein "LAL") dba Fantastic Real Estate, and DAVID EDGARDO REYES MELENDEZ					
20	(herein "REYES") dba Mortgage Relief Assistance Group, Inc., (herein collectively					
21	"Respondents") is informed and alleges as follows:					
22	1					
23	The Complainant makes this First Amended Accusation in her official capacity.					
24						
25	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are, licensed and/or					
26	have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and					
27	Professions Code) (herein "the Code").					
	1.					

1	3				
2	At all times herein mentioned, LAL was and now is licensed by the State of				
3	California Department of Real Estate (herein the "Department") as a real estate broker doing				
4	business as Fantastic Real Estate.				
5	4				
6	At all times herein mentioned, REYES was and now is licensed by the				
7	Department as a real estate salesperson. Between about October 31, 2008 and about April 12,				
8	2010, REYES was not in the employ of a supervising real estate broker.				
9	5				
10	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in				
11	the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California				
12	on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the meaning of:				
13	(a) Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of				
14	a real estate brokerage wherein Respondents sold or offered to sell,				
15	bought or offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers or purchasers of,				
16	solicited or obtained listings of, or negotiated the purchase, sale or				
17	exchange of real property or a business opportunity; and				
18	(b) Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of				
19	a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein, Respondents				
20	solicited lenders or borrowers for or negotiated loans or loan				
21	modifications or collected payments or performed services for				
22	borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans or loan				
23	modifications secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property				
24	or on a business opportunity.				
25	6				
26	Between about July 30, 2009 and about December 18, 2009, REYES, while not				
27	in the employ of a supervising broker, claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected or				
	- 2 -				

1	contracted for advance fees from the borrowers shown below prior to submission to the					
2	Department of any or all materials used in advanced fee agreements or performed services for the					
3	following borrowers in connection with loans secured with real property before the borrowers					
4	became obligated to complete	said loans, and failed to deposit	said advance fees in	nto a trust		
5	account with a bank or other recognized depository, in violation of Sections 10130 (licensed					
6	activities), 10131.2 (brokers collecting advance fees), 10139 (penalties for unlicensed activities),					
7	10085 (advance fee materials), 10085.5 (advance fees), 10085.6 (advance fees), 10146					
8	(depositing advanced fees into a trust account) of the Code, and Sections 2970 (advance fee					
9	materials) and 2972 (advance fee accounting content) of Title 10, Chapter 6, of the California					
10	Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations"):					
11	Borrower	Property Address	Advance Fee	Date		
12 13	Alicia G.	Newbridge St. East Palo Alto, CA	\$1,300	7/30/09		
14	Martin G.	Sunatra Ave San Jose, CA	\$1,300 \$1,300	7/29/09 9/15/09		
15 16 17	Abron N.	Fallbrook Ave. San Jose, CA	\$1,300 \$1,300 \$900	12/18/09 12/20/09 11/xx/10		
17		7		[
19	On about December 18, 2009, REYES represented himself as an attorney to					
20	Abron M., when in fact; REYES is not now, and has never been, licensed as an attorney, in					
21	violation of Sections 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation) and 10176(i) (dishonest dealing)					
22	of the Code.	· · · ·				
23		8				
24	Between about July 30, 2009 and about December 18, 2009, REYES failed to					
25	obtain a real estate license bearing the fictitious business name "Mortgage Relief Assistance					
26	Group, Inc." while conducting activities for which a license was required, in violation of					
27	Section 10159.5 of the Code and Section 2731 of the Regulations.					
	- 3 -					

1	9						
2	Between about July 23 and July 29, 2009, LAL dba Fantastic Real Estate,						
3	claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected or contracted for advance fees from the						
4	borrowers shown below prior to submission to the Department of any or all materials used in						
5	advanced fee agreements or performed services for the following borrowers in connection with						
6	loans secured with real property and failed to deposit said advance fees into a trust account with						
7	a bank or other recognized depository; rather LAL represented that such fees would be used to						
8	list and attempt to short-sale the borrowers' homes and/or would use said advance fees as the						
9	down payment on a home, when in fact LAL and Fantastic Real Estate, used said advance fees						
10	for their own private use, in violation of Sections 10176(a), (b) (making a false promise),						
11	(e) (commingling), (i) and/or (g) (negligence), 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and						
12	Sections 2970 and 2972 of the Regulations:						
13		Borrower	Property Address	Advance Fee	Date		
14		Martin G.	Sunatra Ave	\$5,000	7/29/10		
15		Marun G.	San Jose, CA	Ψ5,000	,, <u>,</u> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
16		Isalia G.	Downer Ave.	\$5,000	7/23/10		
17			Richmond, CA	\$1,500	8/28/10		
18				\$1,500	9/22/10		
19				\$1,500	10/22/10		
20		10					
21		The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the					
22	license and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and/or						
23	the Regulatio	ns:					
24		(a) as to Paragrap	h 6, and REYES, under Sec	ctions 10130, 10131	2,		
25		10139, 10085	, 10085.5, 10085.6, and 101	46 of the Code, and	1		
26		Sections 2970), and 2972 of the Regulatio	ns, in conjunction v	vith		
27		Section 1017	7(d) of the Code;				
	- 4 -						
	11						

1 (b) as to Paragraph 7, and REYES, under Sections 10176(a) and 2 10176(i) of the Code; 3 (c) as to Paragraph 8, and REYES, under Section 10159.5 of the Code 4 and Section 2731 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 5 10177(d) of the Code; and 6 (d) as to Paragraph 9, and LAL, under Sections 10176(a), (b), (e), (i), (g) 7 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of the 8 Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 9 11 11 Investigation and Enforcement Costs 12 Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issue					
 (c) as to Paragraph 8, and REYES, under Section 10159.5 of the Code and Section 2731 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and (d) as to Paragraph 9, and LAL, under Sections 10176(a), (b), (e), (i), (g) 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> 10 11 <u>Investigation and Enforcement Costs</u> 					
 and Section 2731 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and (d) as to Paragraph 9, and LAL, under Sections 10176(a), (b), (e), (i), (g) 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of th Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> 10 11 <u>Investigation and Enforcement Costs</u> Section 10106 of the Code acception in participant part, that in any order issues 					
 5 10177(d) of the Code; and 6 (d) as to Paragraph 9, and LAL, under Sections 10176(a), (b), (e), (i), (g) 7 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of th 8 Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 9 10 11 11 11 Investigation and Enforcement Costs 10 10106 of the Code mentides in part that in any order issues 	Ì				
 (d) as to Paragraph 9, and LAL, under Sections 10176(a), (b), (e), (i), (g) 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of th Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> 11 <u>Investigation and Enforcement Costs</u> 					
7 10085, 10085.5, 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of th 8 Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 9 10 10 Investigation and Enforcement Costs 11 Investigation and Enforcement Costs 12 10106 of the Code meetides in pertinent part, that in any order issues					
 Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> 10 <u>Investigation and Enforcement Costs</u> Section 10106 of the Code precides in participant part, that in any order issues 					
9 10 11 11 11 11 11 12 13 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	e				
10 11 11 Investigation and Enforcement Costs 11 0.000 of the Code mentides in participant part, that in any order issues					
Investigation and Enforcement Costs	: t				
11 Service 1010C of the Code mervides in participant part, that in any order issue					
12 Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issu	Investigation and Enforcement Costs				
	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in				
resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the					
Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to					
15 pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the c	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.				
16 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the alle	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations				
17 of this First Amended Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imp	of this First Amended Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing				
18 disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real E	disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate				
19 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the invest	Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation				
20 and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be prop	and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper				
under other applicable provisions of law.					
22					
23 ROBIN S. TANNER					
24 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner					
25					
26 Dated at Oakland, California,					
27 this 201 day of Norman, 2012.					
- 5 -					