· •.		Slag	
1		LED	
3	3    JI	JL 1 2 2016	
4 5	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE By S. Black		
6 7			
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE		
9 10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12 13	3 DEON FRANKLIN JONES, No. 1	H-11006 SF	
14	ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE		
	ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF I	JCENSE	
15	On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No	o. H-11006 SF revoking the	
16	<ul> <li>On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No</li> <li>real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 20</li> </ul>	b. H-11006 SF revoking the 11, but granting Respondent	
	<ul> <li>On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No</li> <li>real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 20</li> <li>the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license</li> </ul>	b. H-11006 SF revoking the 11, but granting Respondent	
16 17	<ul> <li>On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No</li> <li>real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 20</li> <li>the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license</li> <li>for a restricted real estate salesperson license.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>c. Respondent did not apply</li> </ul>	
16 17 18	<ul> <li>On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No</li> <li>real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 20</li> <li>the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license</li> <li>for a restricted real estate salesperson license.</li> <li>On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>b. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>b. Respondent did not apply</li> </ul>	
16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No</li> <li>real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 20</li> <li>the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license</li> <li>for a restricted real estate salesperson license.</li> <li>On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate</li> <li>salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of Califor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>b. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>b. Respondent did not apply</li> </ul>	
16 17 18 19 20	5On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No6real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 207the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license8for a restricted real estate salesperson license.9On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate0salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of Califor1the filing of said petition.	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>c. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>cment of said real estate</li> <li>nia has been given notice of</li> </ul>	
16 17 18 19 20 21	5On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No6real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 207the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license8for a restricted real estate salesperson license.9On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate9Salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of Califor1the filing of said petition.2The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the pet3Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>c. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>c.</li></ul>	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No6real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 207the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license8for a restricted real estate salesperson license.9On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate9Salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of Califor1the filing of said petition.2The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the perint3Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater4integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>c. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>c.</li></ul>	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	5On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No6real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 207the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license8for a restricted real estate salesperson license.9On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate9Salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of Califor1the filing of said petition.2The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioned for show greater3Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater4integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>c. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>c.</li></ul>	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 24	5On July 8, 2011, a Decision was rendered in Case No6real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective August 8, 207the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license6for a restricted real estate salesperson license.9On July 15, 2014, Respondent petitioned for reinstate9Salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of Califor1the filing of said petition.2The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the perint3Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater4prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State)	<ul> <li>b. H-11006 SF revoking the</li> <li>11, but granting Respondent</li> <li>c. Respondent did not apply</li> <li>c.</li></ul>	

- 1 -

х 		
	The Bureau has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California Code of	
2	Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for	
3	reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:	
4	Regulation 2911(b) Restitution to any person who has suffered monetary losses	
5	through "substantially related" acts or omissions of the applicant.	
6	Respondent has failed to provide evidence that reimbursement in the amount of	
7	\$1,995.00 was made to borrower Caroline Epple as a condition ordered in the Stipulation and	
8	Agreement in Case No. H-11006 SF.	
9	Regulation 2911(j) Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging,	
10	adjudicated debts or monetary obligations to others.	
11	Respondent has unsatisfied IRS liens for the tax years 2003, 2005, and 2006, in	
12	the amounts of \$1,315.29, \$10,227.63 and \$10,927.38 respectively.	
13	Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has	
14	undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate	
15	salesperson license at this time.	
16	Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that	
17	Respondent has satisfied Regulations 2911(a) and (j), I am not satisfied that Respondent is	
18	sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a real estate salesperson license.	
19	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for	
20	reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license is denied.	
21	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on AUG 0 2 2016	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED $\frac{7/8}{26/6}$	
23	REAL ESPATE COMMISSIONER	
24	$\langle A \rangle$	
25	Wayne S. Bell	
26	U I	
27		
	- 2 -	

٠