. 1 2 3 4	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789
5 6 7	
8 9 10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 11 12 13	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) NO. H-9820 SF SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC., dba BROADWAY REALTY, and ROBERT MARK BERNARD,)
14 15 16) Respondents.)) It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent
16 17 18	SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC., a corporation, doing business under the fictitious name of BROADWAY REALTY (hereinafter "Respondent
19 20 21	SONOMA"), by and through Catherine King, counsel for Respondent SONOMA, and ROBERT MARK BERNARD (hereinafter "Respondent BERNARD"), by and through Thomas C. Lasken, counsel for
22 23 24	Respondent BERNARD, and the Complainant, acting by and through Michael B. Rich, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the
25 26 27	Accusation filed on December 20, 2006, in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"): ///
-	H-9820 SF SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD - 1 -

All issues which were to be contested and all
 evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and
 Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which
 hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the
 Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place
 thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of
 this Stipulation and Agreement.

8 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the
9 Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA
10 and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in
11 this proceeding.

3. On January 3, 2007, Respondent BERNARD filed a . 12 Notice of Defense and on January 10, 2007, Respondent SONOMA 13 filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the 14 Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the 15 allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and 16 voluntarily withdraw their respective Notices of Defense. 17 18 Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondents will thereby 19 20 waive Respondents' right to require the Commissioner to prove 21 the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held 22 in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in 23 connection with the hearing such as the right to present 24 evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and 25 the right to cross-examine witnesses. 26

27

2.

4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth

H-9820 SF

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

- 2 -

1 below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in the 2 Accusation pertaining to Respondents are true and correct and 3 stipulates and agrees that the Real Estate Commissioner shall 4 not be required to provide further evidence of such 5 allegations.

It is understood by the parties that the Real 6 5. Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as 7 his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and 8 9 sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that 10 the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the 11 12 Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and 13 proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the 14 APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made 15 16 herein.

17 6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not
18 constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further
19 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real
20 Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically
21 alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

7. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this
Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, Respondents jointly and
severally agree to pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of the
Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code"), the cost
of the audit that led to this disciplinary action. The amount
of said costs is \$3,598.33.

H-9820 SF

Δ.

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

- 3

Respondents have received, read, and understand 8. 1 the "Notice Concerning Costs of Audits". Respondents further 2 understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement in 3 Settlement, the findings set forth below in the DETERMINATION OF 4 ISSUES become final, and that the Commissioner may charge 5 Respondent for the costs of a subsequent audit conducted 6 7 pursuant to Section 10148 of the Code. The maximum costs of said audit will not exceed \$3,598.33. 8 -9 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions 10and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the 11 12 pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made: 13 14 15 The acts and omissions of Respondent SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. and Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD described in 16 the First Cause of Action of the Accusation are grounds for the 17 suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of 18 Respondents under the provisions of Sections 2831, 2831.1, 19 <u>2831.2, 2832, 2832.1, and 2835 of Chapter 6, Title 10, </u> 20 California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "Regulations") and 21 22 Section 10145 and Section 10148 of the Code in conjunction with 23 Section <u>10177(d)</u> of the Code. II 24 The acts and omissions of Respondent BERNARD described 25 in the Second Cause of Action of the Accusation are grounds for 26 27 the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights H-9820 SF

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

- 4

1 of Respondent under Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction
2 with Section 10177(d) of the Code and under Section 10177(h) of
3 the Code.
4 ORDER

T

A. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. under the Real Estate Law are suspended
until such time as Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the
Commissioner that Respondent has cured the trust fund shortages
alleged in the Accusation.

B. <u>All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent</u> SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of the Decision herein; provided, however:

15 1. If Respondent SONOMA petitions, thirty (30) days
 16 of the sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed upon the
 17 condition that:

(a) <u>Respondent SONOMA pays a monetary penalty</u>
pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00
for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00.

(b) <u>Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's</u> check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

27

5

(c) If Respondent SONOMA fails to pay the monetary

5

H-9820 SF

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD 1 penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the 2 Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, vacate and 3 set aside the stay order, and order the immediate execution of 4 all or any part of the stayed suspension.

5 (d) No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action 6 against Respondent SONOMA occurred within two (2) years of the 7 effective date of the Decision herein. Should such a 8 determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, 9 vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of 10 all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the 11 Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, 12 prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under 13 the terms of this Decision. 14

(e) If Respondent SONOMA pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision herein, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

20 2. Thirty (30) days of said sixty (60) day suspension 21 shall be stayed upon condition that:

(a) <u>No final subsequent determination be made, after</u>
hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action
against Respondent SONOMA occurred within two (2) years of the
effective date of the Decision herein.

(b) Should such a determination be made, the
Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the

H-9820 SF

λ.

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

6.

stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

5 (c) If no order vacating the stay is issued, and if no 6 further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate 7 license of Respondent SONOMA occurs within two (2) years from 8 the effective date of the Decision, then the stay hereby granted 9 shall become permanent.

C. Respondent SONOMA shall, jointly and severally_ 10 with Respondent BERNARD, within sixty (60) days of receiving an 11 invoice therefor from the Commissioner, pay the Commissioner's 12 costs in the amount of \$3,598.33 of the audit conducted pursuant 13 to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code that 14 resulted in the determination that Respondent committed the 15 violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of 16 17 Issues, above. If Respondent fails to pay such cost within the 18 sixty (60) days, the Commissioner may in his discretion suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real 19 Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent 20 enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to 21 provide for payment. Upon payment in full, any suspension 22 provided in this paragraph shall be stayed. 23

D. Respondent SONOMA shall, jointly and severally with Respondent BERNARD, pay the Commissioner's costs, not to exceed \$3,598.33 of a subsequent audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code. In

H-9820 SF

۸.

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

- 7 -

1	calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost,
2	the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for
3	all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall
4	include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's
5	place of work. Respondent shall pay such cost within sixty (60)
6	days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner
7	detailing the activities performed during the audit and the
8	amount of time spent performing those activities. If Respondent
9	fails to pay such cost within the sixty (60) days of receiving
10	an invoice therefor from the Commissioner, the Commissioner may
11	in his discretion suspend all license and licensing rights of
12	Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in
13	full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory
14	to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in
15	full, the suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.
16	. II
17	A. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
18	ROBERT MARK BERNARD under the Real Estate Law are suspended
19	until such time as Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the
20	Commissioner that Respondent has cured the trust fund shortages
21	alleged in the Accusation.
22	B. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
23	ROBERT MARK BERNARD under the Real Estate Law are suspended
24	until such time as Respondent provides proof satisfactory to the
25	Commissioner that Respondent has, within one hundred twenty
26	(120) days prior to the effective date of the Decision herein or
27	any date after said effective date, taken and successfully
	H-9820 SF SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD - 8 -
	I

.

completed the trust fund accounting and handling course 1 specified in paragraph (3), subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 2 of the Business and Professions Code. Upon satisfaction of this 3 condition, the suspension provided in this paragraph shall be 4 stayed. 5 C. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent 6 ROBERT MARK BERNARD under the Real Estate Law are suspended for 7 a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of the 8 Decision herein; provided, however: 9 1. If Respondent BERNARD petitions, thirty (30) days 10 of said sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition 11 that: 12 Respondent BERNARD pays a monetary penalty 13 (a) pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 14 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of 15 \$3,000.00. 16 (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 17 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of 18 the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the 19 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 20 21 matter. (c) If Respondent BERNARD fails to pay the monetary 22 penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the 23 Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, vacate and 24 set aside the stay order, and order the immediate execution of 25 all or any part of the stayed suspension. 26 No final subsequent determination be made, after 27 (d) H-9820 SF SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. All and an ROBERT MARK BERNARD 9

hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action 1 against Respondent BERNARD occurred within two (2) years of the 2 effective date of the Decision herein. Should such a 3 determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, Δ vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of 5 all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the 6 7 Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under 8 the terms of this Decision. 9

10

(e) If Respondent BERNARD pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real 11 estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from 12 the effective date of the Decision herein, then the stay hereby 13 granted shall become permanent. 14

2. Thirty (30) days of said sixty (60) day suspension 15 shall be stayed upon condition that: 16

No final subsequent determination be made, after (a) 17 hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action 18 against Respondent BERNARD occurred within two (2) years of the 19 effective date of the Decision herein. 20

Should such a determination be made, the 21 (b) Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the 22 stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the 23 stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent BERNARD shall 24 25 not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of 26 27 this Decision.

H-9820 SF

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

10 -

1 (c) <u>If no order vacating the stay is issued, and if</u> no 2 further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate 3 license of Respondent BERNARD occurs within two (2) years from 4 the effective date of the Decision, then the stay hereby granted 5 shall become permanent.

Respondent shall BERNARD, jointly and severally 6 D. with Respondent SONOMA, within sixty (60) days of receiving an 7 invoice therefor from the Commissioner, pay the Commissioner's 8 costs in the amount of \$3,598.33 of the audit conducted pursuant 9 to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code that 10 resulted in the determination that Respondent committed the 11 violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of 12 Issues, above. If Respondent fails to pay such cost within the 13 sixty (60) days, the Commissioner may in his discretion suspend 14 all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real 15 Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent 16 17 enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, any suspension 18 provided in this paragraph shall be stayed. 19

E. Respondent BERNARD shall, jointly and severally 20 with Respondent SONOMA, pay the Commissioner's costs, not to 21 exceed \$3,598.33 of an audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 22 of the Business and Professions Code. In calculating the amount 23 of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use 24 the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing 25 audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation 26 for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. 27

H-9820 SF

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

- 11 ·

Respondent shall pay such cost within sixty (60) days of 1 receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner detailing 2 the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time 3 spent performing those activities. If Respondent fails to pay 4 such cost within the sixty (60) days, the Commissioner may in 5 his discretion suspend all license and licensing rights of 6 Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in 7 full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory 8 to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in 9 full, the suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed. 10

F. Respondent BERNARD shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's real estate broker license until Respondent passes the examination.

G. Respondent BERNARD shall, within nine (9) months 18 from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence 19 satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent 20 BERNARD has, since the most recent issuance of an original or 21 renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed 22 the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 23 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate License. 24 If Respondent BERNARD fails to satisfy this condition, the 25 Commissioner may order the suspension of the Respondent's real 26 estate broker license until Respondent presents such evidence. 27

H-9820 SF

SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

- 12 -

The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

5 y 26, 2007 6 7

4

8

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ЪΑТ

111

11

Counsel HAEL B. RICH

Department of Real Estate

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its 9 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to 10 I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the 11 me. California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not 12 limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the 13 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and 14 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring 15 the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 16 hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 17 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 18 19 mitigation of the charges.

> SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. Respondent

CATHERINE KING Designated Broker/Officer

> SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD

H-9820 SF

•	
• •	
1	Approved as to form and content by counsel for
2	Respondent SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC.
3	
4	DATED CATHERINE KING
5	Attorney for Respondent SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC.
6	CILINIA /
7	July 30, 2007 AMart Dem
8	DATED ROBERT MARK BERNARD Respondent
9	
10	Approved as to form and content by counsel for
11	Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD.
12	11 152000 This Fact
13	DATED THOMAS C. LASKEN
14	Attorney for Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD
15	
16	
17	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
18	adopted by me as my Decision in this matter as to Respondent
19	SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. and Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD, and
20	
21	, 2007.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, 2007.
23	JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner
24	
25	
26	
. 27	
	H-9820 SF SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD
	- 14 -

· 	
- 1	Approved as to form and content by counsel for
2	Respondent SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC.
3	children Orland
4	DATED CATHERINE KING
. 5	Attørney for Respondent SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC.
6	
7	
8	DATED ROBERT MARK BERNARD Respondent
9	
10	Approved as to form and content by counsel for
11	Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD.
12	
13	DATED THOMAS C. LASKEN
14	Attorney for Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD
15	
16	* * *
17	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
18	adopted by me as my Decision in this matter as to Respondent
19	SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. and Respondent ROBERT MARK BERNARD, and
20	shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on
21	December 5 , 2007.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED
23	JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner
. 24	
25	
26	MAJan
27	
	H-9820 SF SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC. ROBERT MARK BERNARD
	- 14 -

1	MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel U DEC 2 0 2006
2	Department of Real Estate DEPARIMENT OF KEAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007
3	Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 By D. Eh
4	Telephone: (916) 227-0789
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) NO. H-9820 SF
12	SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC., dba) BROADWAY REALTY and) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
13	ROBERT MARK BERNARD,)
14	Respondents.
15	
16	The Complainant, E. J. HABERER II, a Deputy Real Estate
17	Commissioner of the State of California, for Causes of Accusation
18	against SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC., doing business under the
19	fictitious name of BROADWAY REALTY, and ROBERT MARK BERNARD, is
20	informed and alleges as follows:
21	FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
22	I
23	Respondents SONOMA MANAGEMENT, INC., (hereinafter
24	referred to as "Respondent SONOMA") and ROBERT MARK BERNARD
25	(hereinafter referred to as "Respondent BERNARD") are presently
26	licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law,
27	111

1 -_

i

Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions
Code (hereafter the "Code").

ΙI

The Complainant, E. J. HABERER II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity and not otherwise.

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent SONOMA was
and is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker
corporation acting by and through Respondent BERNARD as its
designated broker officer.

12

16

3

7

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent BERNARD was At all times herein mentioned, Respondent BERNARD was and is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, and as the designated broker officer of Respondent SONOMA.

V

17 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent SONOMA, such 18 19 allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, 20 employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent SONOMA committed such act or omission 21 22 while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations 23 of Respondent SONOMA and while acting within the course and 24 scope of their corporate authority and employment.

25 26

27

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed

VI

- 2 -

1 to act as real estate brokers within the State of California,
2 within the meaning of:

3	(a) Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the
4	operation and conduct of a real estate resale
5	brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of
6	others, for compensation or in expectation of
7	compensation, Respondent sold or offered to sell,
8	bought or offered to buy, solicited prospective
9	sellers or purchases of, solicited or obtained
10	listings of, and/or negotiated the purchase or
11	sale of real property for or in expectation of
12	compensation; and,
13	(b) Section 10131(b) of the Code, including the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

operation and conduct of a property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents leased or rented and offered to lease or rent and placed for rent, and solicited listings of places for rent, and solicited listings of places for rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents from real property or improvements thereon.

VII

In so acting as a real estate broker as described in
 Paragraph VI, above, Respondent SONOMA accepted or received funds
 in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of owners,

- 3 -

tenants and/or others in connection with the property management 1 2 activities.

VIII

4 The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by 5 Respondent SONOMA were deposited or caused to be deposited by 6 Respondent SONOMA into a bank account maintained by Respondent 7 SONOMA for the handling of trust funds at Sonoma Valley Bank, 202 8 West Napa Street, Sonoma, California, in Account No. 001601040, entitled "Sonoma Management Inc Trust Account" (hereinafter "Trust Account #1").

IX

12 Within the three year period next preceding to the 13 filing of this Accusation, in connection with the collection and 14 disbursement of trust funds, Respondent SONOMA failed to deposit 15 and maintain the trust funds in a trust account or neutral escrow 16 depository, or to deliver said funds into the hands of the owners 17 of the funds, as required by Section 10145 of the Code, in such a 18 manner that as of November 30, 2004, there was a trust fund 19 shortage in the approximate sum of \$8,711.00 in Trust Account #1.

20

3

9

10

11

X

21 Within the three year period next preceding to the 22 filing of this Accusation, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, as alleged above, Respondent SONOMA 23 24 failed to obtain the prior written consents of the principals for 25 the reduction of the aggregate balance of trust funds in Trust 26 Account #1 to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust 27 fund liability to the owners of said funds in conformance with

1 Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations, Section
2 (2832.1 (hereinafter "Regulations").

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

XI

Within the three year period next preceding the filing of this Accusation, in connection with the receipt and disbursement of trust funds as above alleged, Respondent SONOMA:

- (a) Failed to maintain a written control record of all trust funds received and disbursed containing all information required by Section 2831 of the Regulations, including but not limited to recordation of all deposits, from whom received, amount of deposit, check numbers and dates of disbursements, recordation of dates of receipt, and recordation of accurate dates of deposit of trust funds for Trust Account #1;
- (b) Failed to keep an accurate separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, accounting for all funds that have been deposited to the broker's trust account, containing all of the information required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations for Trust Account #1;
- (c) Failed to reconcile the balance of separate beneficiary or transaction records with the control records of trust funds received and disbursed at least once a month, and/or failed to maintain a record of such reconciliations as required by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations

- 5 -

for Trust Account #1;

.

1

.

2	(d)	Failed to deposit client funds not immediately
3		placed in the hands of the broker's principal or a
4		neutral escrow depository, into a designated trust
5		account in the name of the broker within three
6		business days of receipt in violation of Section
7		2832 of the Regulations;
8	(e)	Deposited broker funds in excess of \$200.00 into
9		Trust Account #1 and commingled trust funds with
10		Respondent's own money or property in violation of
11		Section 2835 of the Regulations and Section
12		10176(e) of the Code; and,
13	(f)	Failed to maintain copies of all canceled checks in
14		violation of Section 10148 of the Code.
15		XII
16		The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as
17	alleged above	constitute grounds for disciplinary action under
18	the following	provisions:
19	.(a)	As alleged in Paragraph IX, under Section 10145 of
20		the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d)
21		of the Code;
22	(b)	As alleged in Paragraph X, under Section 2832.1
23		of the Regulations in conjunction with Section
24		10177(d) of the Code;
25	(c)	As alleged in Paragraph XI(a), under Section 2831
26		of the Regulations in conjunction with Section
27		10177(d) of the Code;
	ł	

- 6 -

ł	
1	(d) As alleged in Paragraph XI(b), under Section
2	2831.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with
3	Section 10177(d) of the Code;
4	(e) As alleged in Paragraph XI(c), under Section
5	2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with
6	Section 10177(d) of the Code;
7	(f) As alleged in Paragraph XI(d), under Section 2832
8	of the Regulations in conjunction with Section
9	10177(d) of the Code; and,
10	(g) As alleged in Paragraph XI(e), under Section 2835
11	of the Regulations in conjunction with Section
12	10177(d) of the Code and/or Section 10176(e);
13	(h) As alleged in Paragraph XI(f), under Section 10148
14	of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d)
15	of the Code.
16	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION
17	XIII
18	There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate
19	and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations
20	contained in Paragraphs I through XII, inclusive, of the First
21	Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein
22	fully set forth.
23	XIV
24	At all times above mentioned, Respondent BERNARD was
25	responsible, as the designated broker/officer of Respondent
26	SONOMA, for the supervision and control of the activities
27	
	- 7 -

•	
•	
1	conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and
2	employees. Respondent BERNARD failed to exercise reasonable
. 3	supervision and control over the property management and
4	employment activities of Respondent SONOMA. In particular,
5	Respondent BERNARD permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct
6	described in the First Cause of Accusation above to occur, and
7	failed to take reasonable steps, including but not limited to the
8	handling of trust funds, maintaining of trust account records,
9	and the implementation of policies, rules, procedures, and
10	systems to ensure the compliance of Respondent SONOMA with the
11	Real Estate Law.
12	XV
13	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent BERNARD
14	constitute grounds for suspension or revocation of his real
15	estate broker license under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of
16	the Code and/or Section 10159.2(a) of the Code in conjunction
17	with Section 10177(d) of the Code.
18	111
19	111
20	111
21	111
22	111
23	111
24	111.
25	111
26	111
27	111

- 8 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all license(s) and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. reder E. J. HABÉRER II Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Oakland, this 30 day of November 2006.