FJUN 07 2005

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Anne Maron

б

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR and MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ,

Respondents.

No. H-9041 SF

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between MARILYN

ABADILLA PEREZ (hereafter Respondent), and the Complainant,

acting by and through Truly Sughrue, Counsel for the Department

of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and

disposing the First Amended Accusation (hereafter Accusation)

filed on March 1, 2005 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense she will thereby waive her rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that she will waive other rights afforded to her in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to her in Paragraphs I, II, IV, V, and VI of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs VII through X and XII of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that she will not interpose a defense

- 2 -

thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent choose not to contest the allegations, but to remain silent, and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

11.

17 |

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent MARILYN

ABADILLA PEREZ as stipulated above violate Section 10176(a) and

10177(g) of the California Business and Professions Code.

ORDER

I

- A. All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent

 MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ are revoked.
- B. A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department the appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the Order.
- C. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following conditions and limitations imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:
 - The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
 - 2) The restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate

Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted 1 license. 2 Respondent shall submit with any application for license 3) 3 under an employing broker, or any application for 4 transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by 5 the prospective employing real estate broker on a form 6 approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall 7 certify: 8 That the employing broker has read the Decision of 9 (a) the Commissioner which granted the right to a 1.0 1.1 restricted license; and That the employing broker will exercise close 12 (b) supervision over the performance by the restricted 13 licensee relating to activities for which a real 14 estate license is required. 15 Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the 16 4) 17 issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted 18 license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the 19 effective date of this Order. 20 Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the 21 5) effective date of this Order, present evidence 22 23 satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an 24 original or renewal real estate license, taken and 25 26 successfully completed the continuing education 27 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real - 5 -

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25 26

27

Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If
Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the
Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted
license until Respondent presents such evidence. The
Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for
hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to
present such evidence.

5/17/05 DATED

TRULY SUGHRUE

Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

5) (1/6) DATED

MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ Respondent

I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to form and content and have advised my clients accordingly. Attorney for Respondent The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock _, 2005. JUNE 27 ncon on IT IS SO ORDERED JEFF DAVI Real estate Commissioner

В

(46

F_MAY 0 4 2005

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Cluxe Maure

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR and MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ,

Respondents.

No. H-9041 SF

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR (hereafter Respondent), and the Complainant, acting by and through Truly Sughrue, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the First Amended Accusation (hereafter Accusation) filed on March 1, 2005 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

1 |

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

3. On December 15, 2004, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to him in Paragraphs I, II, III, V, and VI of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs XIII, XIV, XVIII, and XIX of the . Accusation, Respondent stipulates that he will not interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual

Q

allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent choose not to contest the allegations, but to remain silent, and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual, allegations.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

* * *

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR as stipulated above violate Section 10240(c) of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter Code) and Section 2831(a)(6) of the Title 10, California Code of Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

Ι

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Order; provided, however, that:

- 1) Thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed, upon the condition that each Respondent petition pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code and each pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at a rate of \$166 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$5,000.
 - a) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Order in this matter.
 - b) No further cause for disciplinary action against the Real Estate licenses of said Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the decision in this matter.
 - c) If any Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty as provided above prior to the effective date of this Order, the stay of the suspension shall be vacated as to that Respondent and the order of suspension shall be immediately executed, under this Paragraph 2 of this Order, in which

event the said Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for the money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.

2) If said Respondents pay the monetary penalty and any other moneys due under this Stipulation and Agreement and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate licenses of said Respondents occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of this Order, the entire stay hereby granted under Paragraph 1 of this Order, as to said Respondents only, shall become permanent.

7-April-OS

TRULY SUGHRUE

Counsel for Complainant

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

APRIL 4, 2005 DATED

ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR Respondent

	Th	e foregoin	g St	ipulat.	ion and	Agreement	ís	her	reby
adopted	as m	y Decision	and	shall	become	effective	at	12	o'clock
noon on		MAY 24			2005.		- T		

IT IS SO ORDERED 4-28-8

JEFF DAVI

Real estate Commissioner

F [L E D]

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Cinne Shawree

TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

Telephone: (916) 227-0781

5

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-9041 SF

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

Respondent.

ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR, and

MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ,

The Complainant, E. J. HABERER II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR and MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ (herein "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:

PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

Ι

The Complainant, E. J. HABERER II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

111

111

ΙI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code").

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR (hereafter "SALAZAR") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department) as a real estate broker, individually and doing business as REALTY WORLD BONANZA (hereafter "REALTY WORLD").

IV

Respondent MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ (hereafter "PEREZ") was employed by Estrillita Aquino Valentin as a real estate salesperson from March 12, 2002 through September 30, 2003. Respondent PEREZ was employed by Respondent SALAZAR as a real estate salesperson from October 1, 2003 through April 4, 2004.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were performing acts requiring a real estate license for or in expectation of a compensation.

VI

Beginning in October of 2003, the Department conducted an audit of the above business activities of Respondent Salazar for the time period of January 1, 2003 through November 4, 2003. 111

27

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VII

On or about August 15, 2003, Respondent PEREZ prepared and submitted a written offer for the purpose of real property located at 809 Larch Avenue, South San Francisco, California by Rosemary B. Arriola (herein after "BUYER"). Respondent PEREZ represented in said offer that she was in receipt of a check in the amount of \$1,000 from BUYER, to be deposited in escrow within the next business day after acceptance of the offer.

VIII

On or about August 16, 2003, seller submitted a written counteroffer for the above property. The counteroffer provided, among other things, that an additional deposit of \$14,600 would be deposited into the escrow holder account on August 18, 2003.

ΙX

On or about August 18, 2003, BUYER accepted the counteroffer. BUYER also signed a Receipt for Increased Deposit/Liquidated Damages form, which stated that the total deposit was \$15,600.

Х

Respondent's representation that she was in receipt of the \$15,600 check(s) was false. The counteroffer was accepted, but only \$1,000 was placed into escrow. Further the check was not deposited to escrow until September 2, 2003.

ΧI

Respondent's conduct described in Paragraph X above constitutes the making of substantial misrepresentations, and

fraud or dishonest dealing, and is cause under Sections 10176(a) and 10176(i) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent.

XII

In the alternative, Respondent's conduct described in Paragraph X above constitutes the making of substantial misrepresentations, and negligence or incompetence in performing acts requiring a real estate license, and is cause under Sections 10176(a) and 10177(g) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

XIII

Respondent SALAZAR received a deposit check dated July 23, 2003 from Alfred and Maria Argo pursuant to the Argo's offer to purchase real property. The check was not recorded in the Record of Trust Funds Not Placed in a Trust Account as required under Section 2831(a)(6) of the Regulations.

VIX

Respondent SALAZAR conduct described in Paragraph XIII above constitutes cause under Sections 10177(d) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

ΧV

As provided in Paragraph IV, above, Respondent PEREZ was employed by Estrillita Aquino Valentin as a real estate

q

salesperson from March 12, 2002. through September 30, 2003. Estrollita Aquino Valentin died on July 16, 2003.

XVI

Beginning on or before August 2003, and at various times thereafter, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent PEREZ engaged in acts requiring a real estate license. Said acts include, but are not limited to, the activities described in Paragraphs VII, VIII, IX, and X.

IIVX

By the commission of the acts alleged in Paragraph XVI, above, Respondent PEREZ engaged in the business and acted in the capacity of a real estate broker within the State of California as defined by Section 10131(a) of the Code. The conduct of Respondent PEREZ constitutes cause under Sections 10177(d) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

XVIII

Respondent SALAZAR failed to provide his license number on the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement made to borrower Daniel Alarcon, and Cesar and Mercedita Salazar in violation of Section 10240(c) of the Code.

XIX

Respondent SALAZAR conduct described in Paragraph XVIII above constitutes cause under Sections 10177(d) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

E. J. HABERER II

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this 25 day of february, 2005



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE JAN 0:4 2005 STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

FJAN 0:4 2005

By Sime Mairon

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR and MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ

Case No. H-9041 SF OAH No.

Respondents

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CA 94612 on WEDNESDAY, APRIL 6, 2005, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: JANUARY 4, 2005

TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel

1 TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 DEC 0 3 2004 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 4 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0781 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H- 9041 SF 12 ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR, and ACCUSATION 13 MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ, 14 Respondent. 15 The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 17 Accusation against ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR and MARILYN ABADILLA 18 PEREZ (herein "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 20 21 The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real 22 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 23 Accusation in his official capacity. 24 25 /// 26 ///

II

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code").

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent ARNALDO BAYOT SALAZAR (hereafter "SALAZAR") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department) as a real estate broker, individually and doing business as REALTY WORLD BONANZA (hereafter "REALTY WORLD").

IV

Respondent MARILYN ABADILLA PEREZ (hereafter "PEREZ") was employed by Estrillita Aquino Valentin as a real estate salesperson from March 12, 2002 through September 30, 2003.

Respondent PEREZ was employed by Respondent SALAZAR as a real estate salesperson from October 1, 2003 through April 4, 2004.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were performing acts requiring a real estate license for or in expectation of a compensation.

VI

Beginning in October of 2003, the Department conducted an audit of the above business activities of Respondent Salazar for the time period of January 1, 2003 through November 4, 2003.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VII

On or about August 15, 2003, Respondent PEREZ prepared and submitted a written offer for the purpose of real property located at 809 Larch Avenue, South San Francisco, California by Rosemary B. Arriola (herein after "BUYER"). Respondent PEREZ represented in said offer that she was in receipt of a check in the amount of \$1,000 from BUYER, to be deposited in escrow within the next business day after acceptance of the offer.

VIII

On or about August 16, 2003, seller submitted a written counteroffer for the above property. The counteroffer provided, among other things, that an additional deposit of \$14,600 would be deposited into the escrow holder account on August 18, 2003.

IX

On or about August 18, 2003, BUYER accepted the counteroffer. BUYER also signed a Receipt for Increased Deposit/Liquidated Damages form, which stated that the total deposit was \$15,600.

Х

Respondent's representation that she was in receipt of the \$15,600 check(s) was false. The counteroffer was accepted, but only \$1,000 was placed into escrow. Further the check was not deposited to escrow until September 2, 2003.

XΙ

T

Respondent's conduct described in Paragraph X above constitutes the making of substantial misrepresentations, and fraud or dishonest dealing, and is cause under Sections 10176(a) and 11076(i) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent.

XII

In the alternative, Respondent's conduct described in Paragraph X above constitutes the making of substantial misrepresentations, and negligence or incompetence in performing acts requiring a real estate license, and is cause under Sections 10176(a) and 11077(g) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

XIII

Respondent SALAZAR received a deposit check dated July 23, 2003 from Alfred and Maria Argo pursuant to the Argo's offer to purchase real property. The check was not recorded in the Record of Trust Funds Not Placed in a Trust Account as required under Section 2831(a)(6) of the Regulations.

XIV

Respondent SALAZAR conduct described in Paragraph XIII above constitutes cause under Sections 10177(d) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

XV

As provided in Paragraph IV, above, Respondent PEREZ was employed by Estrillita Aquino Valentin as a real estate salesperson from March 12, 2002. through September 30, 2003. Estrollita Aquino Valentin died on July 16, 2003.

XVI

Beginning on or before August 2003, and at various times thereafter, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent PEREZ engaged in acts requiring a real estate license. Said acts include, but are not limited to, the activities described in Paragraphs VII, VIII, IX, and X.

IIVX

By the commission of the acts alleged in Paragraph XVI, above, Respondent PEREZ engaged in the business and acted in the capacity of a real estate broker within the State of California as defined by Section 10131(a) of the Code. The conduct of Respondent PEREZ constitutes cause under Sections 10177(d) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

XVIII

Respondent SALAZAR failed to provide his license number on the required Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement made to borrower Daniel Alarcon, and Cesar and Mercedita Salazar in violation of Section 10240(c) of the Code.

XIX

Respondent SALAZAR conduct described in Paragraph XVIII above constitutes cause under Sections 10177(d) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this 2+ th day of leptember, 2004

1.3

1.8