JUN 0 7 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean armon

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11 In the Matter of the Accusation of

12 THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI,

Respondent.

NO. H-7797 SF

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On July 31, 2000, an Order was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on August 30, 2000.

On August 16, 2002, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to

my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions prior to and as a condition of issuance of the license within nine months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.
- 3. Submittal of proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and completed the trust fund accounting and handling course specified in paragraph (3), subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

we

DATED:

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 AUG 1 0 2000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 б 7 8 BEFORE THE 9 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-7797 SF 13 THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI, STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT 14 Respondent. 15 16 It is hereby stipulated by and between THOMAS MICHAEL 17 GIOSEFFI, (hereafter Respondent) represented by Terrel J. 18 Mason, Riede, McCall & Mason, Attorneys at Law, and the 19 Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel 20 for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose 21 of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on 22 February 22, 2000: 23 1. All issues which were to be contested and all 24 evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent 25 at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be 26 held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative

Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI

27

FILE NO. H-7797 SF

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in these proceedings.
- 3. On March 14, 2000, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense herein, pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code, for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to him in Paragraphs I through III of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations pertaining to him contained in Paragraphs IV through XXIII of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that he will not interpose a

FILE NO. H-7797 SF

defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 6. Respondent has received, read and understands the "Notice Concerning Costs of Prior Audit." Respondent understands, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner will charge Respondent for the costs of the Department's prior audit that is involved herein, and referenced by Audit report number OK-98-0181, dated September 30, 1999, pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code. The maximum costs of said audit will not exceed \$2,400.00.
- 7. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as the decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent, as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on

the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

8. The Order or any subsequent order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation as to Respondent without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determinations of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI as stipulated above violate Sections 10085, 10145(a), 10145(d), 10146, and 10159.5 of the Code, and Sections 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, 2832, 2834, 2970, and 2972 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10137, 10176(e), and 10177(d) of the Code.

25 |] / / /

3

8

.10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

23

26 ///

27 || / / /

FILE NO. H-7797 SF

THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI

ORDER

		real	estate	license	(s) a	and	license	rights	of	Respondent
18 hard a 200 may 2		W 7 W 7								<u> </u>
	THOM	LAS M	ICHAEL	GIOSEFFI	are	her	ceby rev	oked.		
	The Property lies.	A STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.						 >		

- B. A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if he makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision.
- to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:
 - suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real
 Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's
 conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime
 which is substantial related to Respondent's fitness
 or capacity as a real estate licensee.
 - suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real
 Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the
 Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions
 of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided
 Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate
 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the
 restricted license.

Я

issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until two (2) years has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

- (4) Respondent shall submit with any application for
 license under an employing broker, or any application
 for transfer to an new employing broker, a statement
 signed by the prospective employing real estate
 broker on a form approved by the Department of Real
 Estate which shall certify:
 - of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and,
 - supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
- effective date of this Decision, present evidence
 satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that
 Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an
 original or renewal real estate license, taken and
 successfully completed the continuing education
 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real
 Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If
 Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the

- effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.
- (7) Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable costs for the Department's prior audit, Audit No. OK-98-0181, as a result of the trust fund violations found herein. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable costs, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all Department personnel performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel costs, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's place of work and per diem. Respondent shall pay such costs within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice for the audit from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount

FILE NO. H-7797 SF

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of time spent performing those activities. The total costs shall not exceed \$2,400.00. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

date of this Decision, submit proof to the satisfaction of the Real Estate Commissioner that he has made restitution in the total sum of not less than \$2,280.00 to the following persons and in the following amounts, pertaining to the matters alleged in the Accusation herein:

CLIENT	FEE PAID	RESTITUTION STILL OWED
Trudi Lynn Sagovac	\$800	\$280
Paige (Chernick) Auerbach	\$400	\$400
Karen Zimmerman	\$800	\$800
Joy Carey	\$800	\$800
ooy carey	3000	3000

June 28, 2000

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counsel for the Complainant

1

2

3

6

7

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

· 25

26

27

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel or understand that I have the right to consult with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including but not limited to the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to crossexamine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

June	27,	20.00
DA	TED	

Respondent

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

for Respondent Attorney

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby

auopi	-eu	as my	DECISION	and	SHOTT	pecome	errecerve	at	12	Ō.	CTOCK
G											
noon	on		August 30)		2000.				•	

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

FILE NO. H-7797 SF

- 10 -

THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI, Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at ____ The Office of Administrative Hearing, the Elihu Harris State Building, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 206, Oakland, California July 6, 2000, and July 7, 2000 ___, at the hour of 9:00 AM

or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: May 9, 2000

To the above named respondent:

Counsel

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel State Bar No. 66322 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation of) 14 THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI, 15 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 21 alleges as follows: 22 23

24

25

27

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

NO. H-7797 SF

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of Accusation against THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI, is informed and

PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

Respondent THOMAS MICHAEL GIOSEFFI (hereafter Respondent) is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

II

The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity and not otherwise.

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent THOMAS
MICHAEL GIOSEFFI was and is licensed by the Department of Real
Estate (hereafter the Department) as a real estate broker,
individually and doing business as NORDIC FINANCIAL &

DEVELOPMENT. At no time herein was Respondent licensed to do
business under the fictitious business name(s) of SAGE & COMPANY,
SAGE AND COMPANY, FINDERS USA, and/or FINDERS USA HOUSING
CONSULTANTS. At no time herein was Respondent authorized by the
Department to conduct a prepaid rental listing service business.

IV

At no time herein was SYDNEY RAYMOND (hereafter RAYMOND) licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker, a salesperson, or a prepaid rental listing service licensee, individually or under any fictitious business name. A all times herein mentioned, RAYMOND was the owner of a tenant placement business(es) and/or a prepaid rental listing service with the fictitious business name(s) of SAGE & COMPANY, SAGE AND COMPANY, FINDERS USA, and/or FINDERS USA HOUSING CONSULTANTS.

V

At least within the last three years, Respondent engaged in activities on behalf of others for which a real estate

license is required, for or in expectation of compensation, including but not limited to the following:

- (a) Under Section 10131(a) of the Code, sell or offer to sell, buy or offer to buy, solicit prospective sellers or purchasers of, and/or negotiate the purchase, sale or exchange of real property;
- (b) Under Section 10131(b) of the Code, lease or rent, offer to lease or rent, solicit prospective tenants, collect rents on, and/or manage certain real properties in California; and/or,
- (c) Under Section 10167.3(b), the operation of a prepaid rental listing service business supplying prospective tenants with listings of residential real properties available for tenancy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VI.

In or about the spring of 1998, RAYMOND and Respondent entered into an agreement for Respondent to sponsor or join RAYMOND's "tenant placement" business(es) as the real estate broker of record, wherein they would and did contract for, charge, claim, demand, collect and/or receive fees from prospective tenants in advance of services to be provided, including but not limited to soliciting and negotiating with property owners and landlords to secure housing for clients. Such fees are advance fees and trust funds.

- 3 -

2

4

5

6

•

•

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

20

22

23

24

45

26

VII

Beginning in August of 1999, the Department conducted an audit of the above business activities of Respondent for the time period of May 1, 1998 through August 31, 1999 (hereafter the audit period). During the course of the activities described in Paragraphs V and VI above, Respondent received and disbursed advance fee funds in trust on behalf of others, including but not limited to the following:

NAME	DZ	(1)		AMOUNT
Trudi Lynn Sagovac	May	15,	1998	\$800.00
Page Chernick	June	23,	1998	\$400.00
Karen Zimmerman	January	18,	1999	\$800.00

VIII

Within the last three years, Respondent deposited or caused the deposit of the trust funds into two bank accounts as follows:

- (a) Trust Account #1: Account No. 01825-10835 at Bank of America in San Rafael, California, in the name of "Thomas Gioseffi, Real Estate Broker Trust, Sage & Company Authorized Agent," opened on May 26, 1998 and closed on October 28, 1998; and
- (b) Bank Account #2: Account No. 183004647 at Luther Burbank Savings in Santa Rosa, California, an interest-bearing account in the name of "Thomas Gioseffi, DBA Sage & Company", opened on October 21, 1998 and closed on April 23, 1999.

IX

In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds in Trust Account #1, Respondent failed to deposit and maintain the trust funds in a trust account or other recognized depository, as required by Sections 10145 and 10146 of the Code, in such a manner that as of August 31, 1998, there was a trust fund shortage in the approximate sum of \$8,562.

X

In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds in Bank Account #2, Respondent failed to deposit and maintain the trust funds in a trust account or other recognized depository, as required by Sections 10145 and 10146 of the Code, in such a manner that as of April 23, 1999, there was a trust fund shortage in the approximate sum of \$800.

XI

In connection with the receipt and disbursement of trust funds as above alleged, Respondent:

- (a) Failed to deposit trust funds into Bank Account #2 in the name of Respondent as trustee at a bank or other financial institution, in conformance with Section 2832 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereafter the Regulations);
- (b) Failed to maintain a written control record of all trust funds received and disbursed for each account containing all information required by Section 2831 of the Regulations, including but not limited to recordation of all deposits and disbursements;

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- (c) Failed to maintain separate beneficiary or transaction records for each account containing all information required by Section 2831.1;
- (d) Failed to reconcile the balance of separate beneficiary or transaction records with the control records of trust funds received and disbursed for each account at least once a month, and/or failed to maintain a record of such reconciliations as required by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations;
- (e) Commingled the trust funds in each account with funds belonging to RAYMOND, and/or Respondent;
- (f) As to Trust Account #1, permitted withdrawals to be made on the signature of RAYMOND without the requisite fidelity bond insurance coverage under Section 2834 of the Regulations; and,
- (g) As to Bank Account #2, deposited trust funds into an interest bearing account without complying with the requirements of Section 10145(d) of the Code.

· XII

Some or all of the trust fund shortages alleged in Paragraphs IX and X above were caused by withdrawals by RAYMOND. During the audit period, Respondent compensated and/or authorized, permitted or ratified the compensation of RAYMOND for activities for which a real estate license is required when she did not have a license.

27 || / / /

Я

q

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

IIIX

Respondent withdrew, or authorized, permitted or ratified the withdrawal of trust funds from the accounts for disbursement to himself and/or to RAYMOND prior to the times that the advance fees were earned, and/or prior to performance of Respondent's obligations under the contracts; and/or for expenditures that were not on behalf of the principal owners of the funds; and/or prior to the mailing of verified accountings to the owners of the funds; and/or failed to refund unearned fees to clients.

XIV

Respondent used, or authorized, permitted or ratified the use by his company of advance fee contract forms for the tenant placement business(es) without advance approval of the materials from the Department as required by Section 10085 of the Code and 2970 of the Regulations.

XV

In the conduct of the business activities referred to in Paragraphs V and VI above, Respondent engaged in activities for which a real estate license is required under the fictitious business names of SAGE & COMPANY, SAGE AND COMPANY, FINDERS USA, and/or FINDERS USA HOUSING CONSULTANTS.

XVI

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the following provisions:

27 | ///

- 7

1 (a) As to Paragraphs VIII(b), IX, X, and XI(a), under 2 Sections 10145 and 10146 of the Code and Section 3 2832 of the Regulations, in conjunction with 4 Section 10177(d) of the Code; 5 As to Paragraph XI(b), under Section 2831 of the (b) . 6 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 7 of the Code: 8 (c) As to Paragraph XI(c), under Section 2831.1 of the 9 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 1.0 of the Code; 11 (d) As to Paragraph XI(d), under Section 2831.2 of the 12 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 13 of the Code; 14 (e) As to Paragraph XI(e), under Section 10176(e) of 15 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of 16 the Code: 17 (f) As to Paragraph XI(f), under Section 2834 of the 18 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 19 of the Code; 20 As to Paragraph XI(g), under Section 10145(d) of (g) 21 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of 22 the Code: 23 As to Paragraph XII, under Section 10137 of the (h) 24 Code; 25 (i) As to Paragraph XIII, under Section 10146 of the 26 Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations in 27 conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

1 As to Paragraph XIV, under Section 10085 of the 2 Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations in 3 conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and, 5 (k) As to Paragraph XV, under Section 10159.5 of the 6 Code and Section 2731 of the Regulations in 7 conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 8 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 10 XVII 11 In the alternative to the First Cause of Action, or in 12 addition to it, in or about the spring of 1998, RAYMOND and 13 Respondent entered into an agreement for Respondent to sponsor or 14 join RAYMOND's prepaid rental listing service business(es) as the 15 real estate broker of record, wherein they would and did charge 16 prospective tenants for prepaid rental listing services to be 17 provided, including but not limited to supplying listings of 18 residential real property available for tenancy to the 19 prospective tenants. 20 XVIII 21 Beginning in August of 1999, the Department conducted 22 an audit of the above business activities of Respondent for the 23 time period of May 1, 1998 through August 31, 1999 (hereafter the audit period). During the course of the activities 24 described in Paragraphs V and XVII above, Respondent received 25 and disbursed fees paid by prospective tenants in advance of or 26

contemporaneously with the supplying of rental listings.

XIX

Within the last three years, Respondent deposited or caused the deposit of the above prepaid rental listing service fees into the two bank accounts set forth in Paragraph VIII above.

XX

Respondent used, or authorized, permitted or ratified the use by his company(s) of prepaid rental listing service contract forms for the prepaid rental listing service business(es) without advance approval of the materials from the Department as required by Section 10167.9(c) of the Code.

IXX

For transactions that occurred during the audit period, Respondent failed to provide refunds to prospective tenants of the full amount paid in advance by them over and above the sum of a \$25 service charge following receipt of valid claims for refund as required by Section 10167.10 of the Code.

IIXX

During the audit period, Respondent failed to operate the prepaid rental listing service at his business address under his immediate supervision or that of a licensed real estate salesperson licensed to him and acting on his behalf.

XXIII

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent constitute grounds for disciplinary action under Sections 10167.3(b), 10167.9(c), and 10167.10 of the Code, in conjunction with Section 10167.12 of the Code.

Witch Beetlon 1010

PRIOR PROCEEDINGS

On May 27, 1998, the Department filed an Order to Desist and Refrain in Case No. H-7575 SF against RAYMOND, individually and doing business as FINDERS U.S.A. HOUSING CONSULTANTS, ordering her to desist and refrain from performing any activities for which a real estate license is required unless she complies with Section 10130 of the Code; and from using any advance fee agreement without first complying with Section 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations. The order was served on RAYMOND on or about June 2, 1998.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all license(s) and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

...

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California, this 4/1/2 day of February 2000