	•	
· ·	. 1	
ť	د	
	1	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel
	2	State Bar No. 223266 Bureau of Real Estate D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
		[[P.U. Box 13/00/
	3	Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 APR 0 3 2017
	4	Telephone: (916) 263-8672 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
	5	(916) 263-8676 (Direct) $By \underline{B.Allback}$
	6	Fax: (916) 263-3767
	7	
	8	
		BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
	9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	10	* * *
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation of
	12	ARCADE CREEK ASSET PRESERVATION, CalBre No. H-6520 SAC
	13	INC., and RONALD WILLIAM LEIS,
	14	Respondents)
	15	The Complainant, THOMAS D. CAMERON, a Supervising Auditor of the State
		of California, for cause of Accusation against ARCADE CREEK ASSET PRESERVATION,
-	16	INC., and RONALD WILLIAM LEIS (collectively "Respondents"), are informed and allege as
	17	follows:
	18	
	19	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS
	20	1
	21	The Complainant, THOMAS D. CAMERON, a Supervising Auditor of the State
	ĺ	of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.
	22	2
	23	Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real
	24	Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code).
	25	Lotate Latt, Fait For Division 4 of the Dusiness and Floressions Code (Code).
	26	
	27	
	- '	
		- 1 -
	1	

1 3 2 At all times mentioned, Respondent ARCADE CREEK ASSET 3 PRESERVATION, INC. (ACAP) was and is licensed by the State of California Bureau of Real 4 Estate (Bureau) as a real estate broker corporation. 5 4 6 At all times mentioned, Respondent RONALD WILLIAM LEIS (LEIS) was and 7 is licensed by the Bureau individually as a real estate broker, and as the designated broker officer 8 of ACAP. As said designated broker officer, LEIS was responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 9 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and 10 employees of ACAP for which a license is required. 11 5 12 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or 13 omission of ACAP, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, 14 employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with ACAP committed 15 such acts or omissions while engaged in furtherance of the business or operation of ACAP and 16 while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment. 17 6 18 At all times mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the 19 capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within 20 the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code in the operation and conduct of a property 21 management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in 22 expectation of compensation, Respondents leased or rented and offered to lease or rent, and 23 placed for rent, and solicited listings of places for rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of 24 real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents from real property or improvements 25 thereon. 26 111 27 111

- 2 -

*						
1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION					
2						
3	Each and ever	y allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 6, inclusive, is incorporated by				
4	this reference as if fully set fo	-				
5		8				
6	On or about No	ovember 18, 2016, and continuing intermittently through				
7	· ·	conducted of the records of ACAP. The auditor herein examined				
8	the records for the period of October 1, 2015, through October 31, 2016.					
9		9				
10	While acting as	a real estate broker as described in Paragraph 6, Respondents				
11	11	trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of owners and tenants in				
12						
13	connection with the leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or improvements thereon, as alleged herein, and thereafter from time-to-time made disbursements of said trust					
14	funds.	thereafter from time-to-time made disoursements of said trust				
15		10				
16						
17		The trust funds accepted or received by Respondents as described in Paragraph 9 were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondents into trust accounts which were				
18		the handling of trust funds, and thereafter from time-to-time				
19		nts of said trust funds, identified as follows:				
20		ints of said trast funds, fuentified as follows:				
21		ACCOUNT # 1				
22	Bank Name and Location:	Wells Fargo Bank				
23		6047 Sunrise Blvd. Citrus Heights, CA 95610				
24	Account No.:	XXXXXX6242				
25	Entitled:	Arcade Creek Asset Preservation, Inc. PM Operating Trust				
26	///					
27	/// ///					

- 3 -

1	11	
2	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 6, Respondents:	
3	(a) caused, suffered, or permitted the balance of funds in Account #1 to be	
4	reduced to an amount which, as of October 31, 2016, was approximately \$33,804.16 less than	
5	the aggregate liability of Account #1 to all owners of such funds in violation of Section 10145 of	
6		
7	the Code and Section 2832.1 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations (Regulations); and	
8		
9	(b) failed to reconcile the balance of separate beneficiary or transaction records	
10	with the control record of trust funds received and disbursed at least once a month, and/or failed	
11	to maintain a record of such reconciliations for Account #1, as required by Section 2831.2 of the	
12	Regulations.	
13	12	
14	The facts alleged in the First Cause of Action are grounds for the suspension or	
15	revocation of Respondents' licenses and license rights under the following Sections of the Code	
16	and Regulations:	
17	As to Paragraph 11(a), under Section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	
18	conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;	
	As to Paragraph 11(b), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in	I
19	conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.	
20	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
21	. 13	
22	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 12, inclusive, is incorporated	
23	by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
24	14	
25	Respondent LEIS failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of ACAP	
26	in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above to occur.	
27		

۰,

ć

.

- 4 -

.

1		
2	15	
3	The acts and/or omissions of LEIS as described in Paragraph 14, constitutes	
4	failure on the part of LEIS, as designated broker-officer for ACAP, to exercise reasonable	
5	supervision and control over the licensed activities of ACAP as required by Section 10159.2 of	
6	the Code and Section 2725 of the Regulations.	
7	16	
	The facts described above as to the Second Cause of Action constitute cause for	
8	the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent LEIS under Section	
9	10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code, and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction	l
10	with Section 10177(d) of the Code.	
11	COST RECOVERY	
12	17	
13	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above, entitle the Bureau to	
14	reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) (audit costs for trust fund	
15	handling violation) of the Code.	
16	18	
17	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in	
18	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the	
19	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to	
20	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.	
21	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations	
22	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing discipline on all	
23	licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the	
24		
25		
26		
27		
	- 5 -	

.

d,

, .

e,

· ·	
1	Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement of this case as
2	permitted by law, for the cost of the Bureau's audit as permitted by law, and for such other and
3	further relief as may be proper under the provisions of law.
4	
5	Kent
6	THOMAS D. CAMERON
7	Supervising Auditor
8	Dated at Sacramento, California,
9	this <u>30th</u> day of <u>March</u> , 2017
10	
11	DISCOVERY DEMAND
12	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, <i>et seq.</i> of the <i>Administrative Procedure Act</i> , the Bureau of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the
13	<i>Administrative Procedure Act.</i> Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau of Real Estate may
14	result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 6 -

•