FILED

1 KENNETH C. ESPELL (SBN 178757) June 18, 2012 Real Estate Counsel II California Department of Real Estate DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 (916) 227-0789 Telephone: 5 -or-(916) 227-0868 (Direct) 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-5840 SAC 12 BARRY LEON WINNETT, ACCUSATION 13 Respondent. 14 The Complainant, TRICIA D. SOMMERS, in her official capacity as a Deputy 15 16 Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against BARRY LEON WINNETT (hereinafter "Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows: 17 18 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 19 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code") as a real 20 estate salesperson. 21 22 On or about January 11, 2012, in the United States District Court for the 23 Northern District of California, case number 10CR 495 MCE, Respondent was convicted of 24 violating United States Code Section 18:1343 (Wire Fraud), a felony which bears a substantial 25 relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, 26

functions, or duties of a real estate licensee and constitutes cause for revocation of all licenses

27

and license rights of Respondent under Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the California Business and Professions Code.

3

Recovery of Reasonable Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Section 10106 of the Code¹ provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 | | /

12 | //

13 ||/

14 | /

15 ||

16

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 10106. (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case. (b) In the case of a disciplined licensee that is a corporation or a partnership, the order may be made against the licensed corporate entity or licensed partnership. (c) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the commissioner or the commissioner's designated representative, shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General, (d) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to costs shall not be reviewable by the commissioner to increase the cost award. The commissioner may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative law judge where the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant to subdivision (a). (e) Where an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as directed in the commissioner's decision, the commissioner may enforce the order for repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the commissioner may have as to any licentiate to pay costs. (f) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the commissioner's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment. (g) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the department shall not renew or reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section. (2) The department may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the department to reimburse the department within that one-year period for the unpaid costs. (h) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement for costs incurred and shall be deposited in the Real Estate Fund to be available, notwithstanding Section 10451, upon appropriation by the Legislature. (i) Nothing in this section shall preclude the department from including the recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated settlement.

¹⁷ 18

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all licenses and license rights of Respondent(s) under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

TRICIA D. SOMMERS

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this 1/9% day of

, 2012.

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedures Act, the Department of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the Administrative Procedures Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.

26

27