1 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 FEB - 1 2011 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-2380 By X. Mar 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of DRE No. H-5430 SAC 12 CODE 3 REALTY & MORTGAGE, INC. 13 and JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS, STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER 14 Respondents. 15 16 It is hereby stipulated by and between CODE 3 REALTY, INC., (CODE 3), and 17 JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS, (BURGESS) and the Complainant, acting by and through 18 Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of 19 settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on July 20, 2010, in this matter: 20 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be 21 presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which 22 hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act 23 ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of 24 this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 25 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, 26 the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate

- 1 -

27

in this proceeding.

- 3. On July 23, 2010, Respondents CODE 3 and BURGESS, filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense they will thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations or findings of fact as set forth in the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the rights to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

26 || ///

27 || ///

- 2 -

----

### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

\_-8

23.

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as described in the Accusation, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of CODE 3 REALTY & MORTGAGE, INC. under the provisions of Section 10137 of the Business and Professions Code (the Code), and constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS under the provisions of Section 2725 of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations (Regulations) and Sections 10159.2, 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the Code.

#### ORDER

# A. CODE 3 REALTY & MORTGAGE, INC.

1. The corporate real estate broker license and license rights of Respondent

CODE 3 REALTY & MORTGAGE, INC. (CODE 3), under the Real Estate Law are

suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Order; provided,
however, that if CODE 3 petitions, thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed upon
condition that:

- a. CODE 3 pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$100.00 per day for thirty (30) days of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00.
- b. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund: Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- c. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of CODE 3 occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- d. If CODE 3 fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate

1 execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the CODE 3 shall not be 2 entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department 3 under the terms of this Decision. 4 e. If CODE 3 pays the monetary penalty, and if no further cause for disciplinary 5 action against the real estate license of CODE 3 occurs within one (1) year from the effective 6 date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent. 7 2. The remaining thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed for one (1) 8 year upon the following terms and conditions: 9 a. CODE 3 shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, 10 duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and; 11 b. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon 12 stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within one (1) year from the effective date 13 of this Order. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, 14 vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. 15 **B. JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS** 16 1. The real estate broker license and license rights of Respondent JONATHAN 17 GREGG BURGESS (BURGESS), under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of 18 sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Order; provided, however, that if BURGESS 19 petitions, thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed upon condition that: 20 a. BURGESS pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the 21 Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$100.00 per day for thirty (30) days of the 22 suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00 before the effective date of the Stipulation 23 and Agreement. 24 b. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made 25 payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the 26 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

- 4 -

27

///

1 2 idan/Clo 1-3-11 3 4 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 6 7 8 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order and its terms 9 are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving 10 rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to 11 Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, 12 intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 13 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the 14 right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation 15 of the charges. 16 17 18 REALTY & MORTGAGE, INC. Respondent 19 By JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS Designated Officer 20 21 22 THAN GREGG BURGESS 23 Respondent 24 25 26

- 6 -

27

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on IT IS SO ORDERED //14/11 JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner Chief Deputy Commissioner . 23 

- 7 -

RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel (SBN 98275) Department of Real Estate 2 JUL 2 0 2010 P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 (916) 227-2380 (Direct) 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 CODE 3 (THREE) REALTY & MORTGAGE, NO. H-5430 SAC 13 INC. and JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS, ACCUSATION 14 Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, Tricia D. Sommers, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 17 State of California, for Accusation against Respondents CODE 3 (THREE) REALTY & MORTGAGE, INC. (CODE 3) and JONATHAN GREGG BURGESS (BURGESS), is 18 19 informed and alleges as follows: 20 21 The Complainant makes this Accusation against Respondents in her official 22 capacity. 23 2 24 CODE 3 is presently licensed by the Department of Real Estate (the Department) 25 as a corporate real estate broker. 26 /// 27 ///

BURGESS is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (the Code) as a real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, BURGESS was licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of CODE 3. As the designated broker/officer, BURGESS was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code, for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of CODE 3 for which a real estate license is required.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, Respondents managed property for various property owners, all for or in expectation of compensation.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of CODE 3, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with CODE 3 committed such act or omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operations of CODE 3 and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 6, above, and incorporates them herein by reference.

.  At no time within the last three years did the Department license Jay Ceccato (CECCATO), either as a real estate broker or as a real estate salesperson.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, Respondent CODE 3 employed and compensated CECCATO to perform the activities requiring a real estate license as alleged in Paragraph 5, above.

Within the last three years before the filing of this accusation, CECCATO engaged in property management activities including leasing or renting, soliciting places for rent, soliciting prospective tenants, collecting rents from tenants on properties owned by landlords on behalf of CODE 3, including, but not limited to that certain real property known as 6306 Surfside, Sacramento, California.

The facts alleged above constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CODE 3 under Section 10137 (Unlawful Employment) of the Code.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 11, above, and incorporates them herein by reference.

At all times herein above mentioned, BURGESS, was responsible as the supervising broker for CODE 3, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of CODE 3'S business by its employees. BURGESS failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the property management activities of CODE 3. In particular, BURGESS permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct described above, to occur, and failed to

take reasonable steps, including but not limited to handling of trust funds, supervision of employees, and the implementation of policies, rules, and systems to ensure the compliance of the business with the Real Estate Law and the Regulations. The above acts and/or omission of BURGESS violate Section 10159.2 (Responsibility/Directing Officer) of the Code and Section 2725 (Broker Supervision) of the Regulations and constituted grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(d) (Willful Disregard/Violation of Real Estate Law) and (h) (Broker Supervision) of the Code. WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Sacramento, California,