FILED
MAR 27 2012

BY:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

5

2

3

6

7 8

•

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

L/

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-531

RIVERSIDE CORP, a California Corporation, OAH No. 2

RORY LEE HOELKER, and MICHELLE CELESTE PETRUZELLI,

)

Respondents.

In the Matter of the Accusation of

MATTHEW WAYNE STEWART,
DANCE HALL INVESTORS, INC., a
California corporation,
WAYNE THOMAS HALL,
RIVERSIDE CORP, a California corporation,
and RORY LEE HOELKER.

Respondents.

No. H-5317 SAC OAH No. 2011040496

NO. H-5482 SAC OAH No. 2011040494

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP, and RORY LEE HOELKER (hereinafter "Respondents"), acting by and through their attorney, Justin Dain Hein, and the Complainant, acting by and through Michael B. Rich, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed December 17, 2009, under Department Case No. H-5317 SAC and for the

purpose of settling and disposing of the First Amended Accusation filed on July 7, 2011, under Department Case No. H-5482 SAC (hereinafter collectively "the Accusations"):

- 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusations, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.
- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On January 6, 2010, Respondents filed Notices of Defense in Case No. 5317 SAC and on October 25, 2010, Respondents filed Notices of Defense in Case No. 5482 SAC pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusations. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in the Accusations pertaining to Respondents are true and correct and stipulate and agree that the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order"

	·
1	below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and
2	
3	
4	
5	6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger
6	or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with
7	respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
8	proceeding.
9	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>
10	By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for
11	the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed
12	that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:
13	$oldsymbol{I}$. The second
14	The acts and omissions of Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP described in the
. 15	Accusation under Case No. H-5317 SAC are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
16	licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), 10176(b),
17	10176(g), 10176(i), 10177(g), and Section 10177(i) of the Code, and under Sections 10232.5.
18	10232.5(a)(6), and Section 10240 of the Code all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the
19	Code.
20	II
21	The acts and omissions of Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP described in the
22	Accusation under Case No. H-5482 SAC are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
23	licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), 10176(f),
24	10176(g), 10176(i), 10177(g), and Section 10177(j) of the Code.
25	111
26	
27 -	/ .

The acts and omissions of Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER described in the Accusation under Case No. H-5317 SAC and in the Accusation under Case No. 5482 SAC are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10159.2 of the Code and Section 2725 of the Regulations all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and Section 10177(h) of the Code.

ORDER

I

The corporate real estate broker licenses and all license rights of Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted corporate real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP (permitting Respondent to conduct all activities permitted by a broker license) shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands

1		Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions			
2		attaching to the restricted license.			
3	3 <u>. </u>	Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP shall not be eligible to apply for the			
4	•	issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any			
5		of the	of the conditions, limitations, or restrictions of a restricted license until		
6		three (3) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.			
7	4.	Any r	restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent		
8		RIVERSIDE CORP may be suspended or revoked for a violation by			
9		Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.			
0	5.	The restricted license issued to Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP is			
1	••	suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the date of issuance of			
2		said restricted license; provided, however, that if Respondent petitions,			
3		thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed			
4		upon condition that:			
5		(a.)	Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2		
6			of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$100.00 for		
7		٠٠.	each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of		
8			\$3,000.00.		
9		(b.)	Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or		
20			certified check made payable to the Consumer Recovery Account		
21			of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the		
22	·		Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this		
23			matter.		
24	·	(c.)	No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate		
25		license of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the			
26		effective date of the Decision in this matter.			
7	111				

:	
,	
4	
4	
(
7	
8	
. 9)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

(d.)	If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance		
	with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner		
	may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or		
	any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent		
•	shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or		
	otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of		
	this Decision.		

- (e.) If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- 6. Thirty (30) days of said sixty-(60) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition that:
 - (a) No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent occurred within three (3) years of the effective date of the Decision herein.
 - (b) Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.
 - (c) If no order vacating the stay is issued, and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

1	7. Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP, together with Respondent RORY LEE
2	HOELKER, shall, within twenty-four (24) months from the effective date
3	of this Decision, provide evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that
4	Respondent has reimbursed Teresa Zuccala the sum of \$15,500.00. If
5	Respondent fails to satisfy this condition within 24 months from the
6	effective date of this Decision, the Commissioner may order suspension
7	of Respondent's restricted license until Respondent has submitted such
8	evidence that Teresa Zuccala has been so reimbursed.
9	→ II
10	All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER under
111	the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall
12	be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code
13	if, within 90 days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order.
14	Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real
15	Estate the appropriate fee therefor.
16	The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the
17	provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following
18	limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:
19	1. The restricted license issued to Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER may be
20	suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on
21	evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated
22	provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law,
.23	Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the
24	restricted license.
25	2. The restricted license issued to Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER may
26	be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in
27	the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime

No. H-5317 SAC and No. H-5482 SAC RIVERSIDE CORP and RORY LEE HOELKER

-7-

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
l	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	l
22	2
2	3
24	1
2.	5
26	ó
27	7

which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- 3. Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until three (3) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- 5. Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.
- 6. The restricted license issued to Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER is suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the date of issuance of said restricted license; provided, however, that if Respondent petitions, thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that;

i
2
.3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
13
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

(a.)	Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of			
	the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each			
	day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00.			

- (b.) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Consumer Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- (d.) If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.
- (e.) If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- 7. Thirty (30) days of said sixty-(60) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition that:
 - (a) No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent occurred within three (3) years of the effective date of the Decision herein.
 - (b) Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his

2	
3	
4	
5	
. 6	
. 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

- disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- 8. Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER, together with Respondent

RIVERSIDE CORP, shall, within twenty-four (24) months from the effective date of this Decision, provide evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has reimbursed Teresa Zuccala the sum of \$15,500.00. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition within 24 months from the effective date of this Decision, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's restricted license until Respondent has submitted such evidence that Teresa Zuccala has been so reimbursed.

<u> FILMANI 22, 2012</u> DATED /

MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel
Department of Real Estate

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

RIVERSIDE CORP.

Approved as to form and content by counsel for Respondents.

2 8 2012
DATED USTINDAIN HEIN
Attorney for Respondents

21 //

. 8

1	* * *			
2	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by me as my			
3	Decision in this matter as to Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP and Respondent RORY LEE			
4	HOELKER and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on APR 1 6 2012			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED 3/23/12.			
6				
7 8	BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner			
9				
	Delan KO			
11	- Conqueries - Constant			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				

1. MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel State Bar No. 84257 2 Department of Real Estate DEC 17 2009 P. O. Box 187007 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 4 Telephone: (916) 227-1126 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 NO. H-5317 SAC 12 RIVERSIDE CORP, a California Corporation, RORY LEE HOELKER, and ACCUSATION 13 MICHELLE CELESTE PETRUZZELLI. 14 Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, TRICIA D. SOMMERS, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 17 of the State of California, for Accusation against Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP, a California 18 Corporation, doing business under the fictitious names of AVALAR REAL ESTATE AND 19 MORTGAGE, AVALAR REAL ESTATE & MORTGAGE NETWORK, AVALAR REALTY, 20 STANFORD RANCH REALTY, SACTOBYOWNER.COM, SACTOBYOWNER, 21 RIVERSIDE PROPERTIES, RIVERSIDE INVESTMENTS, CASH FLOW INVESTMENTS, 22 CASH FLOW PROPERTIES and FOLSOM HILLS REALTY, against Respondent RORY LEE 23 HOELKER, and against Respondent MICHELLE CELESTE PETRUZZELLI formerly known 24 as MICHELLE CELESTE CSEREP, is informed and alleges as follows: 25 26 The Complainant, TRICIA D. SOMMERS, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in her official capacity.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

. 25

26 27

Respondents RIVERSIDE CORP, RORY LEE HOELKER, and MICHELLE CELESTE PETRUZZELLI are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "the Code").

3

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP, (hereafter "Respondent RIVERSIDE") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker:

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent RORY LEE HOELKER (hereinafter "Respondent HOELKER") was and is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent HOELKER was licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of Respondent RIVERSIDE. As said designated Broker/officer, Respondent HOELKER was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, and employees of, and of the real estate licensees employed by, Respondent RIVERSIDE and of the activities of said corporation for which a real estate license is required.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MICHELLE CELESTE PETRUZZELLI (hereinafter "Respondent PETRUZZELLI") was and is licensed by the Department as a restricted real estate salesperson employed by Respondent RIVERSIDE as a restricted salesperson licensee.

7

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent RIVERSIDE, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with

Respondent RIVERSIDE committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent RIVERSIDE and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation of and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans to be secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation, and wherein such loans were serviced and payments thereon were collected on behalf of others.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this First, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 8, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, on or about March 20, 2008, Respondent RIVERSIDE CORP and Respondent PETRUZZELLI, in the course and scope of the licensed activities set forth in Paragraph 8, above, solicited and induced Teresa Zuccala (hereinafter "Lender") to loan \$20,000.00 (hereinafter "subject loan") to Ravindar Pratap (hereinafter "Borrower") to be secured by real property at 6330 Cushing Way, Sacramento, California (hereinafter "subject property"), by representing to Lender that the funds would be repaid to lender within 14 days plus 20% interest, and by submitting to Lender a

- 3 -

,,

settlement statement showing costs of \$5,500.00 payable to W. P. Investments for services rendered.

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The representations described in Paragraph 10, above, were false and misleading and were known by Respondents RIVERSIDE and PETRUZZELLI to be false and misleading when made or were made by such Respondents with no reasonable grounds for believing said representations to be true, and/or said Respondents should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence that such representations were false and misleading. In truth and in fact: 1.) the Lender's \$20,000.00 would not be repaid with or without interest by the Borrower within 14 days or at any time thereafter; 2.) Respondents had no certain knowledge and no reason to believe with certainty that Borrower would obtain funds or financing sufficient to repay the \$20,000.00 loan within 14 days or at any time thereafter; 3.) the Borrower had no financial capability to repay the subject loan; 4.) beginning on April 1, 2008, and continuing thereafter, Borrower defaulted on his obligation to make monthly mortgage payments to a preexisting lender holding a senior trust deed encumbering the subject property and lost the property to the senior lender by foreclosure; 5.) W. P. Investments had not rendered any services of any kind with reference to the loan and was not entitled to collect \$5,500.00 from the loan proceeds; 6.) Respondent PETRUZZELLI was a stockholder and chief financial officer of W. P. Investments; 7.) Respondent PETRUZZELLI failed to disclose to Lender PETRUZZELLI's personal interest in W. P. Investments; and, 8.) Respondent PETRUZZELLI failed to disclose to Lender that Respondent PETRUZZELLI would claim and receive the \$5,500.00 from the loan proceeds for her personal benefit.

12

The acts and omissions of Respondent RIVERSIDE and Respondent PETRUZZELLI described in Paragraphs 10 and 11, above, constitute misrepresentation, fraud, deceit, and dishonest dealing.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course of brokering the subject loan as set forth in Paragraph 10, Respondents RIVERSIDE and PETRUZZELLI failed to provide to Lender a written Lender/Purchaser Disclosure Statement as required by Section 10232.5 of the Code containing all of the information required by that Section, including, but not limited to: the subject property address; estimated fair market value of subject property; age, construction type and improvements on subject property; identity, occupation, employment, income and credit data of borrower; terms of promissory note; information regarding pre-existing encumbrances and liens against subject property; knowledge of any anticipated or expected loan to be secured by the property; loan servicing provisions; information regarding any joint beneficiaries; and, detailed statement of any intended use and disposition of loan funds which the licensee will use or benefit from for other than commissions, fees, and costs and expenses.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course of brokering the subject loan as set forth in Paragraph 10, Respondents RIVERSIDE and PETRUZZELLI failed to provide to Lender a copy of a written loan application and a credit report for the Borrower as required by Section 10232.5(a)(6) of the Code

Within the three year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course of brokering the subject loan as set forth in Paragraph 10, Respondents RIVERSIDE and PETRUZZELLI failed to provide to the Borrower a Mortgage Lender Disclosure Statement as required by Section 10240 of the Code containing all of the information required under Section 10241 (requiring disclosure of estimated costs and expenses of loan, commissions, origination fees, lien information, estimated insurance costs, taxes due, creditor claims, loan principal, interest rate, loan term, balloon payments, etc.) as signed by the borrower and the broker, and/or failed to maintain for a period of three years a copy of the Mortgage Lender Disclosure

1 Statement as signed by the borrower and the broker in violation of Section 10148 of the Code (requiring licensed brokers to maintain for three years all transactional documents and records 2 3 executed by the broker or obtained by broker in connection with any transactions requiring a real estate license). 4 5 16 6 The facts alleged in Paragraphs 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15, above, are grounds for 7 the suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent RIVERSIDE and Respondent PETRUZZELLI under the following provisions: 8 9 (a) As to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, under Sections 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial misrepresentation); 10 11 (b) As to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, under Section 10176(b) of the Code 12 (making any false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or 13 induce); 14 (c) As to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, under Section 10176(g) of the Code 15 (claiming or taking any secret or undisclosed amount of compensation, 16 commission or profit); 17 (d) As to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, under Section 10176(i) of the Code (any 18 other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified 19 in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing); As to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, under Section 10177(g) of the Code 20 (e) 21 (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which 22 he or she is required to hold a license); 23 (g) As to Paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, under Section 10177(j) of the Code (any 24 other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified 25 in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing); 26 (h) As to Paragraph 13, under Section 10232.5 of the Code (requiring broker 27 to provide Lender/Purchaser Disclosure Statement to lender) in

conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations);

- (i) As to Paragraph 14, under Section 10232.5(a)(6) of the Code (requiring broker to provide loan application and credit report to lender) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of Chapter 6; Title 10, California Code of Regulations);
- (k) As to Paragraph 15, under Section 10240 of the Code (requiring broker to provide and keep copy of signed Mortgage Lender Disclosure Statement to borrower) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations); and,

The facts alleged in Paragraph 15 are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license and licensing rights of Respondent RIVERSIDE under Section 10148 of the Code (requiring licensed brokers to maintain for three years all transactional documents and records executed by the broker or obtained by broker in connection with any transactions requiring a real estate license) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations).

The facts alleged in Paragraphs 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license and licensing rights of Respondent

PETRUZZELLI under Section 10177(k) of the Code (violated any of the terms, conditions or restrictions, and limitations contained in an order granting a restricted license).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 18, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

At all times above mentioned, Respondent HOELKER was responsible, as the designated broker officer of Respondent RIVERSIDE, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees. Respondent HOELKER failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the mortgage brokering activities of Respondent RIVERSIDE and its licensed employees. In particular, Respondent HOELKER permitted, ratified, and/or caused the conduct described in the First Cause of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including but not limited to the review of loan applications and transactional documents, preventing misrepresentations and false statements on transactional documents, failure to provide required lending disclosure statements and credit reports, failure to disclose personal interests of licensee in transaction, failure to disclose secret or undisclosed amount of compensation to licensee, the supervision of employees, and the implementation of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation and its licensed employees with the Real Estate Law (Business and Professions Code Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq.) and the

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent HOELKER as described in Paragraph 19, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent HOELKER under the provisions Section 10159.2 of the Code (designated

broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonable supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; etc.) all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation).

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION

PRIOR PROCEEDING

Effective June 8, 1995, in Case No. H-3018 SAC (OAH Case No. N-9408053), the real estate salesperson license of Respondent PETRUZZELLI, formerly known as MICHELLE CELESTE CSEREP, was revoked after hearing, subject to the right to apply for a restricted real estate salesperson license subject to terms and conditions. The real estate salesperson license of Respondent PETRUZZELLI was revoked for violating Section 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial misrepresentation) and Section 10176(i) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing) for having defrauded a lender.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate

26 | | / /

-20

26 | | / / /

Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Sacramento, California,

- 10 -